

Corporate Medical Policy

Continuous Monitoring of Glucose in the Interstitial Fluid

File Name: continuous_monitoring_of_glucose_in_the_interstitial_file
Origination: 10/2000
Last Review: 6/2023

Description of Procedure or Service

Tight glucose control in patients with diabetes has been associated with improved outcomes. Several devices are available to measure glucose levels automatically and frequently (e.g., every 5 to 10 minutes). The devices measure glucose in the interstitial fluid and are approved as adjuncts to traditional self-monitoring of blood glucose levels. Devices can be used on an intermittent (short-term) basis or a continuous (long-term) basis.

The advent of blood glucose monitors for use by patients in the home over 20 years ago revolutionized the management of diabetes. Using fingersticks, patients could monitor their blood glucose level both to determine the adequacy of hyperglycemia control and to evaluate hypoglycemic episodes. Tight diabetic control, defined as a strategy involving frequent glucose checks and a target hemoglobin A_{1c} (HbA_{1c}) level in the range of 7% is now considered standard of care for diabetic patients. Randomized controlled trials assessing tight control have demonstrated benefits for patients with type 1 diabetes in decreasing microvascular complications. The impact of tight control on type 1 diabetes and macrovascular complications such as stroke or myocardial infarction is less certain. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (2002) demonstrated that a relative HbA_{1c} level reduction of 10% is clinically meaningful and corresponds to approximately a 40% decrease in risk for progression of diabetic retinopathy and 25% decrease in risk for progression of renal disease.

Due to an increase in turnover of red blood cells during pregnancy, HbA_{1c} levels are slightly lower in women with a normal pregnancy compared with nonpregnant women. The target A_{1c} in women with diabetes is also lower in pregnancy. The American Diabetes Association recommends that, if achievable without significant hypoglycemia, the A_{1c} levels should range between 6.0% to 6.5%; an A_{1c} levels less than 6% may be optimal as the pregnancy progresses.

Measurements of glucose in the interstitial fluid have been developed as a technique to measure glucose values automatically throughout the day, producing data that show the trends in glucose levels. Although devices measure glucose in the interstitial fluid on a periodic rather than a continuous basis, this type of monitoring is referred to as continuous glucose monitoring (CGM).

Several devices have received U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval. The first approved devices were the Continuous Glucose Monitoring System (MiniMed), which uses an implanted temporary sensor in the subcutaneous tissues, and the GlucoWatch G2 Biographer, an external device worn like a wristwatch that measures glucose in interstitial fluid extracted through the skin with an electric current (referred to as reverse iontophoresis).

Devices subsequently approved include those for pediatric use and those with more advanced software, more frequent measurements of glucose levels, or more sophisticated alarm systems. Devices initially measured interstitial glucose every 5 to 10 minutes and stored data for download and retrospective evaluation by a clinician. With currently available devices, the time intervals at which interstitial glucose is measured ranges from every 1-2 minutes to 5 minutes and most provide measurements in real-time directly to patients. While continuous glucose monitors potentially eliminate or decrease the number of required daily fingersticks, it should be noted that, according to the FDA labeling, monitors are not intended to be

Continuous Monitoring of Glucose in the Interstitial Fluid

an alternative to traditional self-monitoring of blood glucose levels but rather provide adjunct monitoring, supplying additional information on glucose trends that are not available from self-monitoring. In addition, devices may be used intermittently, i.e., for time periods of 72 hours, or continuously on a long-term basis.

In addition to stand-alone continuous glucose monitors, several insulin pump systems have included a built-in CGM. This policy addresses continuous glucose monitoring devices, not the insulin pump portion of these systems.

Several continuous glucose monitoring systems have been approved by the FDA through the premarket approval process:

DEVICE	MANUFACTURER	APPROVAL	INDICATIONS
Continuous Glucose Monitoring System (CGMS [®])	MiniMed	1999	3-d use in physician's office
GlucoWatch G2 [®] Biographer		2001	Not available since 2008
Guardian [®] -RT (Real-Time) CGMS	MiniMed (now Medtronic)	2005	
Dexcom [®] STS CGMS system	Dexcom	2006	
Paradigm [®] REAL-Time System (second-generation called Paradigm Revel System)	MiniMed (now Medtronic)	2006	Integrates CGM with a Paradigm insulin pump
FreeStyle Navigator [®] CGM System	Abbott	2008	
Dexcom [®] G4 Platinum	Dexcom	2012	Adults ≥ 18 y; can be worn for up to 7 d
		2014	Expanded to include patients with diabetes 2-17 y
Dexcom [®] G5 Mobile CGM	Dexcom	2016 ^a	Replacement for fingerstick blood glucose testing in patients ≥ 2 y. System requires at least 2 daily fingerstick tests for calibration purposes, but additional fingersticks are not necessary because treatment decisions can be made based on device readings ⁵ .
Dexcom [®] G6 Mobile CGM	Dexcom	2018	For determining blood glucose levels in children ages ≥ 2 and adults with diabetes
Dexcom [®] G7 Mobile CGM	Dexcom	2023	Indicated for the management of diabetes in persons 2 years and older, intended to replace fingerstick BG testing for diabetes treatment decisions, and aids in the detection of episodes of hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia, facilitating both acute and long-term therapy adjustments.
Freestyle Libre [®] Pro Flash Glucose Monitoring System	Abbott	2017	Adults ≥ 18 y. Readings only made available to patients in consultation with a health care professional. Does not require user calibration with blood glucose values.

Continuous Monitoring of Glucose in the Interstitial Fluid

Freestyle Libre® Flash Glucose Monitoring System	Abbott	2018	Adults ≥18 y. Extended duration of use to 14 days
Guardian Connect	Medtronic MiniMed	2018	Adolescents and adults (14-75 years) Continuous or periodic monitoring of interstitial glucose levels. Provides real-time glucose values, trends, and alerts through a Guardian Connect app installed on a compatible consumer electronic mobile device.
Eversense	Senseonics	2019	Fully implantable sensor, approved by FDA for non-adjunctive use in adults 18 years and older.
Freestyle Libre® 3	Abbott	2022	Real-time continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) device with real-time Alarms indicated for use in people living with diabetes ages 4 and older.

Related Policy:

Artificial Pancreas Device Systems

*****Note: This Medical Policy is complex and technical. For questions concerning the technical language and/or specific clinical indications for its use, please consult your physician.**

Policy

BCBSNC may provide coverage for Continuous Monitoring of Glucose in the Interstitial Fluid when it is determined to be medically necessary because the medical criteria and guidelines shown below are met.

Benefits Application

This medical policy relates only to the services or supplies described herein. Please refer to the Member's Benefit Booklet for availability of benefits. Member's benefits may vary according to benefit design; therefore member benefit language should be reviewed before applying the terms of this medical policy.

The DME supplier must meet eligibility and/or credentialing requirements as defined by the Plan to be eligible for reimbursement.

When Continuous Monitoring of Glucose in the Interstitial Fluid is covered

- A. Intermittent monitoring (72 hours) of glucose levels in interstitial fluid may be considered medically necessary in the following situations:
 1. Patients with type 1 diabetes whose diabetes is poorly controlled, despite current use of best practices (see Policy Guidelines section). Poorly controlled type 1 diabetes includes the following clinical situations: unexplained hypoglycemic episodes, hypoglycemic unawareness, suspected postprandial hyperglycemia, and recurrent diabetic ketoacidosis; or
 2. Patients with type 1 diabetes prior to insulin pump initiation to determine basal insulin levels; or
 3. Patients with type 2 diabetes who require multiple daily doses of insulin whose diabetes is poorly controlled, despite current use of best practices (see Policy Guidelines section). Poorly controlled type 2 diabetes includes the following clinical situations: unexplained

Continuous Monitoring of Glucose in the Interstitial Fluid

hypoglycemic episodes, hypoglycemic unawareness, and persistent hyperglycemia and A1C levels above target; or

4. Patients with type 2 diabetes who require multiple daily doses of insulin to determine basal insulin levels prior to insulin pump initiation.
- B. Continuous monitoring of glucose levels in interstitial fluid, including real-time monitoring, as a technique in diabetic monitoring may be considered medically necessary in the following situations:
1. Patients with type 1 diabetes who have demonstrated an understanding of the technology, are motivated to use the device correctly and consistently, are expected to be adherent to a comprehensive diabetes treatment plan supervised by a qualified provider, and are capable of using the device to recognize alerts and alarms; or
 2. Patients with type 1 diabetes who have recurrent unexplained, severe, (generally blood glucose levels less than 50 mg/dl) hypoglycemia or impaired awareness of hypoglycemia that puts the patient or others at risk; or
 3. Patients with poorly controlled type 1 diabetes who are pregnant. Poorly controlled type 1 diabetes includes unexplained hypoglycemic episodes, hypoglycemic unawareness, suspected postprandial hyperglycemia, and recurrent diabetic ketoacidosis; or
 4. Patients with type 2 diabetes who are willing and able to use the device and have adequate medical supervision and who experience significant hypoglycemia on multiple daily doses of insulin or an insulin pump in the setting of insulin deficiency.

**NOTE: See Policy Guidelines section for the definition of "best practices" in diabetes.*

When Continuous Monitoring of Glucose in the Interstitial Fluid is not covered

Other uses of continuous monitoring of glucose levels in interstitial fluid (including real-time monitoring) as a technique of diabetic monitoring are considered investigational.

Continuous glucose monitoring using an implantable glucose sensor (i.e., Eversense™ CGM system) is considered investigational.

The use of d-Nav technology for automated, intermittent glucose monitoring and insulin titration is considered investigational.

Policy Guidelines

Best practices in diabetes control for patients with diabetes mellitus include compliance with a regimen of 4 or more fingersticks each day and use of an insulin pump. During pregnancy, 3 or more insulin injections daily could also be considered best practice for patients not on an insulin pump prior to the pregnancy. Prior use of an intermittent (72 hour) glucose monitor would be considered a part of best practices for those considering use of a continuous glucose monitor.

Women with type 1 diabetes taking insulin who are pregnant or about to become pregnant with poorly controlled diabetes are another subset of patients to whom the policy statement on intermittent monitoring may apply.

Intermittent monitoring is generally conducted in 72-hour periods. It may be repeated at a subsequent time depending on the patient's level of diabetes control.

Continuous Monitoring of Glucose in the Interstitial Fluid

The strongest evidence exists for use of CGM devices in patients age 25 and older. However, age may be a proxy for motivation and good control of disease, so it is also reasonable to select patients based on their ability to self-manage their disease, rather than age.

Providers board certified in endocrinology and/or providers with a focus on the practice of diabetes care may be considered qualified to evaluate and oversee individuals for continuous (ie, long-term) monitoring.

Type 1 Diabetes

For individuals with type 1 diabetes who are willing and able to use the device, and have adequate medical supervision, who receive long-term continuous glucose monitoring (CGM), the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, quality of life (QOL), and treatment-related morbidity. Systematic reviews have generally found that at least in the short-term, long-term CGM resulted in significantly improved glycemic control for adults and children with type 1 diabetes, particularly highly compliant patients. A 2017 individual patient data analysis, pooling data from 11 RCTs, found that reductions in Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) levels were significantly greater with real-time CGM than with a control intervention. Two RCTs in patients who used multiple daily insulin injections and were highly compliant with CGM devices during run-in phases found that CGM was associated with a larger reduction in HbA1c levels than previous studies. One of the 2 RCTs prespecified hypoglycemia-related outcomes and reported that time spent in hypoglycemia was significantly less in the CGM group. One RCT in pregnant women with type 1 diabetes, which compared real-time CGM with self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG), has also reported a difference in change in HbA1c levels, an increased percentage of time in the recommended glucose control target range, a smaller proportion of infants who were large for gestational age, a smaller proportion of infants who had neonatal intensive care admissions lasting more than 24 hours, a smaller proportion of infants who had neonatal hypoglycemia requiring treatment, and reduced total hospital length of stay all favoring CGM. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with type 1 diabetes who receive short-term continuous glucose monitoring, the evidence includes RCTs and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, QOL, and treatment-related morbidity as well as intermediate outcomes related to measures of glucose control such as frequency and time in hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia. The evidence for short-term monitoring of glycemic control is mixed, and there was no consistency in HbA1c levels. Some trials have reported improvements in glucose control for the short-term monitoring group but limitations in this body of evidence preclude conclusions. The definitions of control with short-term CGM use, duration of use and the specific monitoring protocols varied. In some studies, short-term monitoring was part of a larger strategy aimed at optimizing glucose control, and the impact of monitoring cannot be separated from the impact of other interventions. Studies have not shown an advantage for intermittent glucose monitoring in reducing severe hypoglycemia events but the number of events reported is generally small and effect estimates are imprecise. The limited duration of use may preclude an assessment of any therapeutic effect. Two RCTs of short-term CGM use for monitoring in pregnancy included women with both type 1 and 2 diabetes, with most having type 1 diabetes. One trial reported a difference in HbA1c levels at 36 weeks; the proportion of infants that were large for gestational age (>90th percentile) favored CGM while the second trial did not. The differences in the proportions of infants born via cesarean section, gestational age at delivery, and infants with severe hypoglycemia were not statistically significant in either study. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Type 2 Diabetes

For individuals with type 2 diabetes who require multiple daily doses of insulin or an insulin pump who receive long-term CGM, the evidence includes RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, QOL, and treatment-related morbidity. Three RCTs have evaluated CGM compared to SMBG in individuals with type 2 diabetes on intensive insulin therapy; 1 using real-time CGM and 2 using an intermittently scanned device. All found either improved glycemic outcomes or no difference between groups with no increase in hypoglycemic events. In the DIAMOND trial, the adjusted difference in mean change in HbA1c level from baseline to 24 weeks was -0.3% (95% CI, -0.5% to 0.0%; p=.022) favoring CGM. The adjusted difference in the proportion of patients with a relative reduction in HbA1c level of 10%

Continuous Monitoring of Glucose in the Interstitial Fluid

or more was 22% (95% CI, 0% to 42%; $p=.028$) favoring CGM. There were no events of severe hypoglycemia or diabetic ketoacidosis in either group. Yaron et al (2019) reported higher treatment satisfaction (the primary outcome). On secondary glycemic control measures, HbA1c was reduced by 0.82% compared to 0.33% in the control group ($p=.005$) without an increase in the frequency of hypoglycemic events. At 6 months, there was no difference between groups in the primary outcome of change in HbA1c ($p=.8222$). However, results for secondary outcomes including time in hypoglycemia and treatment satisfaction favored the CGM group. No serious adverse events or severe hypoglycemic events were reported related to device use. At 12-month follow-up in one of the trials of the Freestyle Libre device, hypoglycemic events were reduced by 40.8% to 61.7% with a greater relative reduction in the most severe thresholds of hypoglycemia. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with type 2 diabetes who do not require multiple daily doses of insulin or an insulin pump who receive long-term CGM, the evidence includes 2 RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, QOL, and treatment-related morbidity. The trials found statistically significant benefits of CGM regarding glycemic control. However, participant populations were heterogenous with regard to their diabetic treatment regimens, and participants might not have been receiving optimal therapy. In contrast to recommendations in individuals on intensive insulin regimens, guidelines are less clear on when to prescribe blood glucose monitoring and how often monitoring is needed in individuals using basal insulin only. In individuals on oral antidiabetic agents only, routine glucose monitoring may be of limited additional clinical benefit. Additional evidence would be needed to show what levels of improvement in blood glucose excursions and HbA1c levels over the short-term in this population would be linked to meaningful improvement in long-term health outcomes such as diabetes-related morbidity and complications. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with type 2 diabetes who receive short-term CGM monitoring, the evidence includes RCTs and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, QOL, and treatment-related morbidity. Systematic reviews of 3 to 4 RCTs have found statistically significant benefits from CGM regarding glycemic control. However, the degree of HbA1c reduction and the difference in HbA1c reductions between groups may not be clinically significant. Also, the limited number of RCTs and variability among interventions make it difficult to identify an optimal approach to CGM or a subgroup of type 2 diabetes patients who might benefit. Moreover, studies of CGM in patients with type 2 diabetes have generally not addressed the clinically important issues of severe hypoglycemia and diabetic complications. Very few pregnant women with type 2 diabetes have been included in RCTs. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with type 2 diabetes who receive short-term continuous glucose monitoring, the evidence includes RCTs and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, QOL, and treatment-related morbidity as well as intermediate outcomes related to measures of glucose control such as frequency and time in hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia. The evidence for short-term monitoring of glycemic control is mixed, and there was no consistency in HbA1c levels. Some trials have reported improvements in glucose control for the short-term monitoring group but limitations in this body of evidence preclude conclusions. The definitions of control with short-term CGM use, duration of use and the specific monitoring protocols varied. In some studies, short-term monitoring was part of a larger strategy aimed at optimizing glucose control, and the impact of monitoring cannot be separated from the impact of other interventions. Studies have not shown an advantage for intermittent glucose monitoring in reducing severe hypoglycemia events but the number of events reported is generally small and effect estimates are imprecise. The limited duration of use may preclude an assessment of any therapeutic effect. Two RCTs of short-term CGM use for monitoring in pregnancy included women with both type 1 and 2 diabetes, with most having type 1 diabetes. One trial reported a difference in HbA1c levels at 36 weeks; the proportion of infants that were large for gestational age (>90th percentile) favored CGM while the second trial did not. The differences in the proportions of infants born via cesarean section, gestational age at delivery, and infants with severe hypoglycemia were not statistically significant in either study. Limitations of the published evidence preclude determining the effects of the technology on net health outcome. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Continuous Monitoring of Glucose in the Interstitial Fluid

Implantable CGM

For individuals with type 1 or type 2 diabetes who receive continuous glucose monitoring with an implantable device, the evidence includes nonrandomized studies. There are no RCTs and no comparative observational studies of implantable CGM compared to SBMG. Nonrandomized prospective studies and post-marketing registry studies assessed the accuracy and safety of an implanted glucose monitoring system that provides continuous glucose monitoring for up to 4 insertion/removal cycles as an adjunct to home glucose monitoring devices. Accuracy measures included the mean absolute relative difference between paired samples from the implanted device and a reference standard blood glucose measurement. The accuracy tended to be lower in hypoglycemic ranges. The initial approval of the device has been expanded to allow the device to be used for glucose management decision making. The same clinical study information was used to support what the FDA considered a reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness of the device for the replacement of fingerstick blood glucose monitoring for diabetes treatment decisions. In February 2022, the FDA expanded approval of the device for use up to 180 days. Approval was based on the PROMISE pivotal clinical trial, which assessed accuracy and safety but not glycemic outcomes. Limitations of the evidence base include lack of direct comparisons to SMBG, lack of differentiation in outcomes for type 1 diabetes versus type 2 diabetes, and variability in reporting of trends in secondary glycemic measures. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Gestational Diabetes

For individuals who are pregnant with gestational diabetes who receive long-term CGM or short-term (intermittent) glucose monitoring, the evidence includes an RCT. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. In the RCT, the type of glucose monitoring was unclear. Trial reporting was incomplete; however, there was no difference between the groups for most reported outcomes. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

The 2021, The American Diabetes Association (ADA) “Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes on Diabetes Technology” includes the following recommendations on continuous glucose monitoring:

- When prescribing continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) devices, robust diabetes education, training, and support are required for optimal CGM device implementation and ongoing use. People using CGM devices need to have the ability to perform self-monitoring of blood glucose in order to calibrate their monitor and/or verify readings if discordant from their symptoms. Level of evidence=**B**
- When used properly, continuous glucose monitoring in conjunction with multiple daily injections and continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion (Level of evidence= **A**) and other forms of insulin therapy (Level of evidence=**C**) are a useful tool to lower and/or maintain A1C levels and/or reduce hypoglycemia in adults and youth with diabetes.
- When used properly, intermittently scanned continuous glucose monitors in conjunction with multiple daily injections and continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion (Level of evidence=**B**) and other forms of insulin therapy (Level of Evidence=**C**) can be useful and may lower A1C levels and/or reduce hypoglycemia in adults and youth with diabetes to replace self-monitoring of blood glucose.
- In patients on multiple daily injections and continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion, real-time continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) devices should be used as close to daily as possible for maximal benefit. (Level of evidence=**A**). Intermittently scanned CGM devices should be scanned frequently, at a minimum once every 8 h.

Continuous Monitoring of Glucose in the Interstitial Fluid

- When used as an adjunct to pre- and postprandial self-monitoring of blood glucose, continuous glucose monitoring can help to achieve A1C targets in diabetes and pregnancy. Level of Evidence=**B**
- Use of professional continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) and/or intermittent real-time or intermittently scanned CGM can be helpful in identifying and correcting patterns of hyper- and hypoglycemia and improving A1C levels in people with diabetes on noninsulin as well as basal insulin regimens. Level of Evidence=**C**
- Skin reactions, either due to irritation or allergy, should be assessed and addressed to aid in successful use of devices. Level of Evidence=**E**
- People who have been using continuous glucose monitors should have continued access across third-party payers. Level of Evidence=**E**

Billing/Coding/Physician Documentation Information

This policy may apply to the following codes. Inclusion of a code in this section does not guarantee that it will be reimbursed. For further information on reimbursement guidelines, please see Administrative Policies on the Blue Cross Blue Shield of North Carolina web site at www.bcbsnc.com. They are listed in the Category Search on the Medical Policy search page.

Applicable service codes: 95249, 95250, 95251, 99091, 0446T, 0447T, 0448T, 0740T, 0741T, A4239, A9276, A9277, A9278, E2013, S1030, S1031, K0553, K0554

CPT code 95251 is eligible for reimbursement once every three months.

BCBSNC may request medical records for determination of medical necessity. When medical records are requested, letters of support and/or explanation are often useful, but are not sufficient documentation unless all specific information needed to make a medical necessity determination is included.

Scientific Background and Reference Sources

BCBSA Medical Policy Reference Manual - 8/18/00; 1.01.20

Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel - 9/2000

Medical Policy Advisory Group - 10/2000

BCBSA Medical Policy Reference Manual - 12/15/00; 1.01.20

BCBSA Medical Policy Reference Manual - 2/15/02; 1.01.20

BCBSA Medical Policy Reference Manual - 5/15/02; 1.01.20

BCBSA TEC Assessment, Volume 17, No. 2, 6/2002

Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel - 7/2002

BCBSA Medical Policy Reference Manual. 1.01.20. 12/17/03. Retrieved on 4/8/04 from http://blueweb.bcbs.com/global_assets/special_content/medical_policy/policymanual/policy.html?pnum=10120.

Continuous Monitoring of Glucose in the Interstitial Fluid

American Diabetes Association. (2004, January) Position Statement: Tests of glycemia in diabetes. Diabetes Care. 27(Suppl. 1), S91-S93. Retrieved on 6/10/04 from http://care.diabetesjournals.org/cgi/content/full/27/suppl_1/s91.

Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel - 6/2004

BCBSA Medical Policy Reference Manual [Electronic Version]. 1.01.20, 9/27/2005.

Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel - 5/2006

BCBSA Medical Policy Reference Manual [Electronic Version]. 1.01.20, 12/13/07

American Diabetes Association. (2008, January) Position Statement: Standards of medical care in diabetes - 2008. Diabetes Care. 31:S12-S54. Retrieved 5/29/08 from http://care.diabetesjournals.org/cgi/content/full/31/Supplement_1/S12#SEC5

Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel - 5/2008

BCBSA Medical Policy Reference Manual [Electronic Version]. 1.01.20, 10/7/08

Senior Medical Director Review - 11/17/08

Senior Medical Director Review - 6/24/09

Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel 8/2010

BCBSA Medical Policy Reference Manual [Electronic Version]. 1.01.20, 4/08/10

American Diabetes Association (2010, January) Executive Summary: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes. Diabetes Care 33:S4-S10; doi:10.2337/dc10-S004. Retrieved on July 22, 2010 from http://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/33/Supplement_1/S4.full.pdf+html

American Diabetes Association: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes 2011. Available at: http://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/34/Supplement_1/S11.full

BCBSA Medical Policy Reference Manual [Electronic Version]. 1.01.20, 3/10/11

BCBSA Medical Policy Reference Manual [Electronic Version]. 1.01.20, 3/8/12

Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel 7/2012

BCBSA Medical Policy Reference Manual [Electronic Version]. 1.01.20, 3/14/13

Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel 7/2013

FDA approval of MiniMed 530G. Available at <http://www.fda.gov/medicaldevices/productsandmedicalprocedures/deviceapprovalsandclearances/recently-approveddevices/ucm372176.htm> . Last accessed 10/28/2013.

Medtronic MiniMed 530G. Available at <http://www.medtronicdiabetes.com/treatment-and-products> . Last accessed 10/28/2013.

American Diabetes Association: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes 2013. Available at: http://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/36/Supplement_1/S11.full.pdf+html

Continuous Monitoring of Glucose in the Interstitial Fluid

Senior Medical Director review – 10/28/13

Senior Medical Director review – 12/3/13

BCBSA Medical Policy Reference Manual [Electronic Version]. 1.01.20, 3/13/14

American Diabetes A. Standards of medical care in diabetes--2013. *Diabetes Care* 2013; 36 Suppl 1:S11-66

American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care*. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes – 2014. *Diabetes Care* January 2014 vol. 37 no. Supplement 1 S14-S80.

Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel – 7/2014

BCBSA Medical Policy Reference Manual [Electronic Version]. 1.01.20, 12/11/14

Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel – 7/2015

BCBSA Medical Policy Reference Manual [Electronic Version]. 1.01.20, 6/16/2016

American Diabetes Association (ADA). 6. Glycemic Targets. *Diabetes Care*. Jan 2017;40(Suppl 1):S48-S56. PMID 27979893

BCBSA Medical Policy Reference Manual [Electronic Version]. 1.01.20, 7/13/2017

BCBSA Medical Policy Reference Manual [Electronic Version]. 1.01.20, 3/8/2018

American Diabetes Association. 6. Glycemic Targets: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2018. *Diabetes Care*. Jan 2018;41(Suppl 1):S55-S64. PMID 29222377

BCBSA Medical Policy Reference Manual [Electronic Version]. 1.01.20, 11/1/2018

BCBSA Medical Policy Reference Manual [Electronic Version]. 1.01.20, 7/11/2019

Christiansen, MM, Klaff, LL, Bailey, TT, Brazg, RR, Carlson, GG, Tweden, KK. A Prospective Multicenter Evaluation of the Accuracy and Safety of an Implanted Continuous Glucose Sensor: The PRECISION Study. *Diabetes Technol. Ther.*, 2019 Mar 30;21(5). PMID 30925083.

Aronson R, Abitbol A, Tweden KS. First assessment of the performance of an implantable continuous glucose monitoring system through 180 days in a primarily adolescent population with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2019;1–6. doi.org/10.1111/dom.13726

Deiss D, Szadkowska A, Gordon D, et al. Clinical Practice Recommendations on the Routine Use of Eversense, the First Long-Term Implantable Continuous Glucose Monitoring System. *Diabetes Technol Ther.* 2019;21(5):254–264. doi:10.1089/dia.2018.0397

Kropff J, Choudhary P, Neupane S, et al. Accuracy and Longevity of an Implantable Continuous Glucose Sensor in the PRECISE Study: A 180-Day, Prospective, Multicenter, Pivotal Trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2017 Jan;40(1):63-68.

Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel 6/2020

BCBSA Medical Policy Reference Manual [Electronic Version]. 1.01.20, 12/12/2019

Continuous Monitoring of Glucose in the Interstitial Fluid

American Diabetes Association (ADA). 7. Diabetes Technology. Diabetes Care. Jan 2021;44(Suppl 1):S85-S99. DOI: 10.2337/dc21-S007

BCBSA Medical Policy Reference Manual [Electronic Version]. 1.01.20, 12/12/2020

Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel 6/2021

Medical Director review 6/2021

BCBSA Medical Policy Reference Manual [Electronic Version]. 1.01.20, 1/2022

Medical Director review 1/2022

Medical Director review 2/2022

Centers for Medicare & Medicare Services. Durable Medical Equipment, Prosthetics/Orthotics & Supplies Fee Schedule. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare/medicare-fee-for-service-payment/dmeposfeesched>.

Centers for Medicare & Medicare Services. Durable Medical Equipment (DME) Center; <https://www.cms.gov/Center/Provider-Type/Durable-Medical-Equipment-DME-Center>.

American Diabetes Association. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes. 2021. <https://professional.diabetes.org/content-page/practice-guidelines-resources>

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Type 1 diabetes in adults: diagnosis and management [NG17]. 2016; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng17?unlid=382286372016220232952>.

American Association of Clinical Endocrinology and American College of Endocrinology. Comprehensive Type 2 Diabetes Management Algorithm. 2020. <https://pro.aace.com/disease-state-resources/diabetes/clinical-practice-guidelines-treatment-algorithms/comprehensive>.

Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel 6/2022

Medical Director review 6/2022

Medical Director review 11/2022

Writing Team for the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Research Group. Effect of intensive therapy on the microvascular complications of type 1 diabetes mellitus. JAMA. May 15 2002; 287(19): 2563-9. PMID 12020338

American Diabetes Association. 13. Management of Diabetes in Pregnancy: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2018. Diabetes Care. Jan 2018; 41(Suppl 1): S137-S143. PMID 29222384

Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel 6/2023

Medical Director review 6/2023

Policy Implementation/Update Information

10/2000 Original policy issued.

10/2000 Medical Policy Advisory Group - Approved.

Continuous Monitoring of Glucose in the Interstitial Fluid

- 5/2001 Policy key word added and changes in formatting.
- 11/2001 Coding format change.
- 5/2002 Policy reaffirmed. Reference sources added. Codes 95250, 99091, S1030, S1031 added to Billing and Coding section and the following statement was removed: "There is no specific CPT or HCPCS coding for this service. E1399 may be used."
- 8/2002 Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel review 7/1/2002. No criteria changes. Format changes.
- 3/04 Benefits Application and Billing/Coding sections updated for consistency.
- 1/19/06 Added 2006 CPT code 95251 to "Billing/Coding" section.
- 6/19/06 Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel review 5/18/2006. No changes to policy statement. Rationale added to "Policy Guidelines" section. References added.
- 11/13/06 "Description of Procedure or Service" was updated to include information related to integrated continuous glucose monitoring systems and insulin pumps. Added statement to the "When not covered" section to indicate, "Glucose sensors and transmitters associated with an integrated insulin pump are non-covered due to the investigational status of the continuous glucose monitoring system." The "Policy Guidelines" section was updated to reference ongoing clinical trials. Added the names various continuous glucose monitors to the "Policy Key Words" section.
- 12/31/07 Added new 2008 HCPCS codes; "A9276, A9277, and A9278" to "Billing/Coding" section.
- 6/30/08 Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel review 5/29/08. No changes to policy statement. Updated rationale in "Policy Guidelines" section. References added.
- 12/8/08 Reviewed policy with Senior Medical Director 11/17/2008. Updated "Description" section. Changed "Policy" statement to; "BCBSNC may provide coverage for Continuous Monitoring of Glucose in the Interstitial Fluid when it is determined to be medically necessary because the medical criteria and guidelines shown below are met." Added criteria to the "When Covered" section indicating; "A. Intermittent monitoring (72 hours) of glucose levels in interstitial fluid may be considered medically necessary in the following situations when the criteria are met: 1. Patients with type 1 diabetes who despite current use of best practices have poorly controlled diabetes, including hemoglobin A1c not in acceptable target range for the patient's clinical situation, unexplained hypoglycemic episodes, evidence suggesting postprandial hyperglycemia, or recurrent diabetic ketoacidosis. 2. Patients with hypoglycemic unawareness. 3. Patients with type 1 diabetes prior to insulin pump initiation to determine basal insulin levels. 4. Women with type 1 diabetes who are pregnant or about to become pregnant and have poorly controlled diabetes. B. Continuous monitoring of glucose levels in interstitial fluid, including real-time monitoring, as a technique in diabetic monitoring may be considered medically necessary in the following situations: 1. Patients with recurrent unexplained severe symptomatic hypoglycemia for whom hypoglycemia puts the patient or others at risk; or 2. Pregnant women with type 1 diabetes complicated by recurrent hypoglycemia, which is not resolved by current use of best practices. ***NOTE: See Policy Guidelines section for the definition of "best practices" in diabetes." Under "When Not Covered" section added; "1. Glucose sensors and transmitters associated with an integrated insulin pump are not medically necessary unless the patient meets criterion B.1. above AND does not already have an adequately functioning insulin pump. 2. Other uses of continuous monitoring of glucose levels in interstitial fluid (including real-time monitoring) as a technique of diabetic monitoring, are considered investigational." Updated "Policy Guidelines" section. References added.

Continuous Monitoring of Glucose in the Interstitial Fluid

- 8/3/09 Added the following statement to the "Description" section; "****Note: This Medical Policy is complex and technical. For questions concerning the technical language and/or specific clinical indications for its use, please consult your physician." Moved "A.2. Patients with hypoglycemic unawareness." into "A.1." in the "When Covered" section. Added "type I diabetes who have" to "B.1." and "severe, symptomatic (generally blood glucose levels less than 50 mg/dl)". Changed "B.2." to indicate; "Patients with type I diabetes who are pregnant whose diabetes is poorly controlled. Poorly controlled type I diabetes includes unexplained hypoglycemic episodes, hypoglycemic unawareness, suspected postprandial hyperglycemia, and recurrent diabetic ketoacidosis." In the "When Not Covered" section removed "A. Glucose sensors and transmitters associated with an integrated insulin pump are not medically necessary unless the patient meets criterion B.1. above AND does not already have an adequately functioning insulin pump." Reviewed by Senior Medical Director 6/24/09. Notice given 8/3/2009. Policy effective date 11/9/2009 (btw)
- 6/22/10 Policy Number(s) removed (amw)
- 10/12/10 Specialty Matched Advisory Panel review 8/2010. Added the MiniMed Paradigm Revel System to the "Description" section. Added the following statements to the Policy Guidelines section: "The patient must meet the FDA age indication for the specific device." and "CPT code 95251, (Ambulatory continuous glucose monitoring of interstitial tissue fluid via a subcutaneous sensor for a minimum of 72 hours; interpretation and report,) is only eligible for reimbursement once every three months." References updated. (mco)
- 8/30/11 Description section and Policy Guidelines sections updated. No change in medical coverage criteria. Specialty Matched Advisory Panel review 7/27/11. (adn)
- 8/7/12 Related guideline added. Information on OmniPod Insulin Management System added. Policy Guidelines section updated. No change in coverage criteria. Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel review 7/18/12. (sk)
- 5/14/13 Reference added. Policy statement added that artificial pancreases are considered investigational. Senior Medical Director review. (sk)
- 11/12/13 Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel review 7/17/13. Information added about MiniMed 530G artificial pancreas system. No change to Policy statement. (sk)
- 12/10/13 Removed the phrase "with low glucose suspend (LGS) features" from the When Not Covered section. (sk)
- 6/10/14 References added. Senior Medical Director review. No change to Policy statement. (sk)
- 7/1/14 Codes S1034, S1035, S1036, S1037 added to Billing/Coding Section. (sk)
- 8/29/14 Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel review 7/29/2014. No change to Policy statement. (sk)
- 10/28/14 Added the following statement to the Benefits Application section: "The DME supplier must meet eligibility and/or credentialing requirements as defined by the Plan to be eligible for reimbursement." (mco)
- 9/1/15 Reference added. Material on artificial pancreas device systems, including the policy statement, removed from policy. Other policy statements unchanged. OmniPod removed from policy as it does not have a CGM included. Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel review 7/29/2015. (sk)

Continuous Monitoring of Glucose in the Interstitial Fluid

- 9/30/16 Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel review 7/27/2016. Minor changes in the Description section. Added rationale for type 2 diabetes to the Policy Guidelines section. No change to policy statement or intent. (an)
- 12/30/16 For 2017 coding update, added codes 0046T, 0047T, 0048T to Billing/Coding section. (an)
- 1/27/17 Correction to coding update. New codes are 0446T, 0447T, 0448T. (an)
- 6/30/17 Added new codes effective 7/1/2017: K0553 and K0554. (an)
- 8/11/17 Description and Policy Guidelines sections updated. The following statement was added to the “When Covered” section, Item B 1: Continuous monitoring of glucose levels in interstitial fluid may be considered medically necessary in patients with type 1 diabetes who have demonstrated an understanding of the technology, are motivated to use the device correctly and consistently, are expected to be adherent to a comprehensive diabetes treatment plan supervised by a qualified provider, and are capable of using the device to recognize alerts and alarms. Item B 2 was revised to read: ...patients with type I diabetes who have recurrent unexplained, severe, (generally blood glucose levels less than 50 mg/dl) hypoglycemia **or impaired awareness of hypoglycemia** that puts the patient or others at risk. Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel review 7/26/2017. (an)
- 12/15/17 Added new code 95249 effective 1/1/2018 to Billing/Coding section. (an)
- 7/27/18 Description and Policy Guidelines sections updated. Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel review 6/27/2018. No change to policy statement. (an)
- 7/16/19 Description Section updated. Minor changes to Covered and Non-Covered Sections for clarity. No change to medical criteria. Policy Guidelines updated. References added. Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel review 6/19/2019. (eel)
- 7/30/19 Description section updated for Eversense FDA approval. Added clarifying statement to “When not covered” section to include implantable CGM. (eel)
- 9/10/19 References added and evidence summary updated to include type 2 diabetes and Eversense CGM. Policy statement updated to include type 2 diabetes as medically necessary for continuous and intermittent monitoring. (eel)
- 7/14/20 References updated. Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel review 6/17/2020. No change to policy statement. (eel)
- 7/1/21 Description, Policy Guidelines, References updated. Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel review 6/16/2021. No change to policy statement. (lpr)
- 1/11/22 References updated. Following statement was added to “When Not Covered” section, The use of intermittently scanned (flash) CGM devices is considered investigational. Medical Director review 1/2022. (tt)
- 3/8/22 Following statement was removed from “When Not Covered” section, The use of intermittently scanned (flash) CGM devices is considered investigational. Medical Director review 2/2022. (tt)
- 7/12/22 References updated. Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel review 6/2022. Medical Director review 6/2022. Added codes G0308 and G0309 to Billing/Coding section, effective

Continuous Monitoring of Glucose in the Interstitial Fluid

- 7/1/2022. Updated FDA approved device list to include Freestyle Libre 3. No change to policy statement. (tt)
- 12/30/22 Added the following statement to When Not Covered section, “The use of d-Nav technology for automated, intermittent glucose monitoring and insulin titration is considered investigational.” Updated Billing/Coding section to add 0740T, 0741T, A4239, and E2103, effective 1/1/2023; removed G0308 and G0309. Medical Director review 11/2022. (tt)
- 6/30/23 Policy Guidelines updated. References updated. Updated FDA approved device list to include Dexcom® G7 Mobile CGM. Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel review 6/2023. Medical Director review 6/2023. No change to policy statement. (tt)

Medical policy is not an authorization, certification, explanation of benefits or a contract. Benefits and eligibility are determined before medical guidelines and payment guidelines are applied. Benefits are determined by the group contract and subscriber certificate that is in effect at the time services are rendered. This document is solely provided for informational purposes only and is based on research of current medical literature and review of common medical practices in the treatment and diagnosis of disease. Medical practices and knowledge are constantly changing and BCBSNC reserves the right to review and revise its medical policies periodically.