

## Corporate Medical Policy

# Biomarker Testing for Multiple Sclerosis and Related Neurologic Diseases AHS – G2123

**File Name:** biomarker\_testing\_for\_multiple\_sclerosis\_and\_related\_neurologic\_diseases  
**Origination:** 1/1/2019  
**Last CAP Review:** 10/2020  
**Next CAP Review:** 10/2021  
**Last Review:** 10/2020

### Description of Procedure or Service

---

#### I. Policy Description

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is the most common immune-mediated inflammatory demyelinating disease of the central nervous system (CNS) defined by multifocal areas of demyelination with loss of oligodendrocytes and astroglial scarring. The most common presenting symptoms are sensory disturbances, followed by weakness and visual disturbances, but the disease has a highly variable pace and many atypical forms (Olek, 2019a). Besides MS, acute CNS demyelination also occurs in acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM), optic neuritis, transverse myelitis, and neuromyelitis optica (Lotze, 2019).

Neuromyelitis optica and neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders (NMOSD) are inflammatory disorders of the CNS characterized by severe, immune-mediated demyelination and axonal damage predominantly targeting optic nerves and spinal cord. This set of disorders was previously considered a subset of MS, but is now recognized as its own clinical entity with its own unique immunologic features (Glisson, 2019).

#### II. Scientific Background

In the United States, the estimated prevalence of multiple sclerosis (MS) is 100 to 150 per 100,000 individuals, for a total of 300,000 to 400,000 persons with MS (Anderson et al., 1992; Dilokthornsakul et al., 2016). The mean age of MS onset ranges from 28 to 31 years with clinical disease usually becoming apparent between the ages of 15 to 45 years, though onset rarely has been noted as early as the first years of life or as late as the seventh decade (Goodin, 2014). In most cases, but not all, the clinically isolated syndrome (CIS) as the first single clinical event preludes a clinically definite MS (Lublin et al., 2014). The pattern and course of MS is then further categorized into several clinical subtypes (Lublin & Reingold, 1996; Lublin et al., 2014): Relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS), secondary progressive MS (SPMS), and primary progressive MS (PPMS). RRMS is the most common type of disease course (85 to 90 percent of cases at onset (Weinshenker, 1994) and is characterized by clearly defined relapses with full recovery, or with sequelae and residual deficit upon recovery. The transition from RRMS to SPMS usually occurs 10 to 20 years after disease onset (Eriksson, Andersen, & Runmarker, 2003). SPMS is characterized by an initial RRMS disease course followed by gradual worsening with or without occasional relapses, minor remissions, and plateaus. Primary progressive multiple sclerosis (PPMS) is characterized by progressive accumulation of disability from disease onset with occasional plateaus, temporary minor improvements, or acute relapses still consistent with the definition. A diagnosis of PPMS is made exclusively on patient history, and there are no imaging or exam findings that distinguish PPMS from RRMS. PPMS represents about 10 percent of MS cases at disease onset (Koch, Kingwell, Rieckmann, & Tremlett, 2009; Olek, 2019a). Worsening of disability due to MS is highly variable. The impact of MS varies according to a number of

# Biomarker Testing for Multiple Sclerosis and Related Neurologic Diseases AHS – G2123

measures, including severity of signs and symptoms, frequency of relapses, rate of worsening, and residual disability. Worsening of disability over time is a critical issue for MS patients (Olek, 2019a).

MS is primarily diagnosed clinically. The core requirement for the diagnosis is the demonstration of central nervous system lesion dissemination in time and space, based upon either clinical findings alone or a combination of clinical and MRI findings. The history and physical examination are most important for diagnostic purposes. MRI is the test of choice to support the clinical diagnosis of MS (Filippi & Rocca, 2011). The McDonald diagnostic criteria include specific MRI criteria for the demonstration of lesions dissemination in time and space; however, the McDonald criteria are not intended for distinguishing MS from other neurologic conditions (Brownlee, Hardy, Fazekas, & Miller, 2017). The sensitivity and specificity of MRI for the diagnosis of MS varies widely in different studies, and the variation is probably due to differences among the studies in MRI criteria and patient populations (Offenbacher et al., 1993; Schaffler et al., 2011). Using the 2010 McDonald criteria, the sensitivity and specificity were approximately 53 and 87 percent, respectively (Rovira et al., 2009). In the first studies of the application of the 2017 criteria (Hyun et al., 2018), the sensitivity is higher (83.6%), but the specificity is lower (85%).

Qualitative assessment of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) for oligoclonal IgG bands (OCBs) using isoelectric focusing can be an important diagnostic CSF study when determining a diagnosis of MS. Elevation of the CSF immunoglobulin level relative to other protein components is a common finding in patients with MS and suggests intrathecal synthesis. The immunoglobulin increase is predominantly IgG, although the synthesis of IgM and IgA is also increased (Olek, 2019a). A positive finding is defined by “finding of either oligoclonal bands different from any such bands in serum, or by an increased IgG index” and can be measured by features such as percentage of total protein or total albumin. Up to 95% of clinically definite MS cases will have these oligoclonal bands (Olek, 2019b).

The 2017 McDonald criteria allow the presence of CSF oligoclonal bands to substitute for the requirement of fulfilling dissemination in time. However, Thompson notes that “currently, no laboratory test in isolation confirms the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis” (Thompson et al., 2018). Luzzio et al also note that in a review of four guidelines from the Consortium of Multiple Sclerosis Centers, the European Academy of Neurology, and the Magnetic Resonance Imaging in MS Network, that MRI is the “imaging procedure of choice for confirming MS and monitoring disease progression in the brain and spinal cord” (Luzzio, 2019).

Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders (NMOSD, also known as Devic disease or neuromyelitis optica, NMO) are a range of conditions that are characterized by similar symptoms to MS; namely demyelination and axonal damage to structures of the central nervous system, such as the spinal cord. Previously, NMOSD were considered a subset of MS; however, now NMOSD and NMO are recognized as having distinct features, specifically the presence of NMOSD/NMO-specific antibody that bind aquaporin-4 (AQP4), which sets these apart from relapsing-remitting MS. AQP4 is a water channel protein primarily located in the spinal cord gray matter. NMO-IgG (or anti-AQP4) is involved in the pathogenesis of NMOSD/NMO. This antibody selectively binds AQP4, but differs from MS in that the loss of AQP4 expression is unrelated to the stage of demyelination. The presence of this antibody is incorporated into the current diagnostic criteria for NMOSD and can differentiate MS cases from NMOSD cases (Glisson, 2019).

## *Clinical Utility and Validity*

There is a strong unmet clinical need for objective body fluid biomarkers to assist early diagnosis and estimate long-term prognosis, monitor treatment response, and predict potential adverse effects in MS. Currently, no biomarkers of MS have been validated; however, many are under

# Biomarker Testing for Multiple Sclerosis and Related Neurologic Diseases AHS – G2123

consideration: microRNA (miRNA), messenger RNA (mRNA), lipids, autoantibodies, metabolites and proteins all have been reported to have potential as possible biomarkers (Comabella & Montalban, 2014; Comabella, Sastre-Garriga, & Montalban, 2016; El Ayoubi & Khoury, 2017; Lim et al., 2017; Raphael, Webb, Stuve, Haskins, & Forsthuber, 2015; Teunissen, Malekzadeh, Leurs, Bridel, & Killestein, 2015).

Fryer et al. (2014) compared three assays for measuring aquaporin-4 IgG, ELISA, fixed cell-based fluorescence (CBA), and live cell-based fluorescence (FACS, M1 and M23 versions). Four groups of patients were measured with these assays. In Group 1 (n = 388), FACS was optimal, with the highest area under the curve. In Group 2, FACS identified the highest percentage of neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders, identifying 23 (M1) and 24 (M23) of 30 patients. In Group 3, all four assays identified true negatives at approximately an 85% success rate (5 of 31 positives). In Group 4, all four assays identified true positives in 40 of 41 samples. The authors noted that “aquaporin-4-transfected CBAs, particularly M1-FACS, perform optimally in aiding NMOSD serologic diagnosis” (Fryer et al., 2014).

Jitrapaikulsan et al. (2018) evaluated the prognostic value of aquaporin-4 IgG and myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein IgG (MOG) in patients with recurrent optic neuritis (rON). 246 patients were studied, and autoantibodies were detected in 32% of patients (aquaporin-4 in 19%, MOG in 13%). 186 patients had rON only and 60 patients had “additional inflammatory demyelinating attacks” (rON plus). Of the 186 rON patients, 27 were positive for MOG, 24 were positive for aquaporin-4, and 110 were negative for both. In the rON plus group, 23 were positive for aquaporin-4, 4 were positive for MOG, and 11 were negative for both. The authors noted that 5 years after optic neuritis onset, 59% of aquaporin-4 positive patients and 12% of MOG positive patients were estimated to have “severe visual loss”. The authors concluded that “aquaporin-4 IgG seropositivity predicts a worse visual outcome than MOG IgG1 seropositivity, double seronegativity, or MS diagnosis. Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein IgG1 is associated with a greater relapse rate but better visual outcomes” (Jitrapaikulsan et al., 2018).

Sotirchos and colleagues compared optic neuritis (ON) between individuals with aquaporin-4 IgG-associated ON (AQP4-ON), those with myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein-IgG-associated ON (MOG-ON), and MS-associated ON (MS-ON). The study included 48, 16, and 40 individuals from each group, respectively, as well as 31 healthy controls. The authors note, “AQP4-ON eyes exhibited worse high-contrast letter acuity (HCLA) compared to MOG-ON ( $-22.3 \pm 3.9$  letters;  $p < 0.001$ ) and MS-ON eyes ( $-21.7 \pm 4.0$  letters;  $p < 0.001$ ). Macular ganglion cell + inner plexiform layer (GCIPL) thickness was lower, as compared to MS-ON, in AQP4-ON ( $-9.1 \pm 2.0$   $\mu\text{m}$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ) and MOG-ON ( $-7.6 \pm 2.2$   $\mu\text{m}$ ;  $p = 0.001$ ) eyes. Lower GCIPL thickness was associated with worse HCLA in AQP4-ON ( $-16.5 \pm 1.5$  letters per 10  $\mu\text{m}$  decrease;  $p < 0.001$ ) and MS-ON eyes ( $-8.5 \pm 2.3$  letters per 10  $\mu\text{m}$  decrease;  $p < 0.001$ ), but not in MOG-ON eyes ( $-5.2 \pm 3.8$  letters per 10  $\mu\text{m}$  decrease;  $p = 0.17$ ), and these relationships differed between the AQP4-ON and other ON groups ( $p < 0.01$  for interaction).” These data indicate that AQP4-IgG seropositivity suggests worse visual outcomes than those occurring after MOG-ON or even MS-ON (Sotirchos et al., 2019).

Cantó et al. (2019) evaluated neurofilament light chain’s (NfL) ability to “serve as a reliable biomarker of disease worsening for patients with multiple sclerosis (MS)”. 607 patients with MS were included and assessed over a period of 12 years. Serum NfL was measured, and disability progression was the primary clinical outcome (defined as “clinically significant worsening on the Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) score and brain fraction atrophy”). Baseline measurements of NfL showed significant association with EDSS score, MS subtype, and treatment status. Worsening of EDSS score and changes of NfL levels over time were found to be correlated. The baseline NfL measurement was also found to be associated with approximately 11.6% of brain fraction atrophy over 10 years; this increased to 18% after multivariable analysis. Furthermore, active treatment was associated with declining levels of NfL, with “high-potency treatments” associated with the greatest decrease of all treatments assessed. Overall, the authors

# Biomarker Testing for Multiple Sclerosis and Related Neurologic Diseases AHS – G2123

concluded that they had confirmed the significant associations of serum NfL with clinical outcomes of MS, but acknowledged that “further prospective studies are necessary to assess the assay’s utility for decision-making in individual patients” (Cantó et al., 2019).

Gil-Perotin et al. (2019) evaluated the combined biomarker profile of neurofilament light chain protein (NFL) and chitinase3-like1 (CHI3L1) and its ability to provide prognostic information for patients with multiple sclerosis (MS). A total of 157 MS patients were included, with 99 relapsing-remitting patients (RRMS), 35 secondary progressive patients (SPMS), and 23 primary progressive patients (PPMS). Disease activity was defined by “clinical relapse and/or gadolinium-enhanced lesions (GEL) in MRI within 90 days from CSF collection.” Levels of both biomarkers were found to be higher in MS patients compared to non-MS patients. NFL increase was associated with clinical relapse and GEL in RRMS and SPMS patients and high CHI3L1 levels were characteristic of progressive disease. The authors also found the combined profile useful for differentiating between MS subtypes, with high NFL and low CHI3L1 often indicating a RRMS stage. Elevation of both biomarkers was also found to indicate disease progression. Overall, the authors concluded these biomarkers were useful for disease activity and progression, and that the biomarker profile can discriminate between MS subtypes (Gil-Perotin et al., 2019).

Martin et al. (2019) performed a meta-analysis to evaluate the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) levels of neurofilament light chain (NfL) to determine “whether, and to what degree, CSF NfL levels differentiate MS [multiple sclerosis] from controls, or the subtypes or stages of MS from each other”. The authors identified 14 articles for inclusion in their meta-analysis. NfL levels were found to be higher in 746 MS patients than 435 controls (mean of 1965.8 ng/L in MS patients compared to 578.3 ng/L in healthy controls) and mean NfL levels were found to be higher in 176 patients with relapsing disease (mean = 2124.8ng/L) compared to 92 patients with progressive disease (mean = 1121.4ng/L). The authors also found that patients with relapsing disease (138 in this cohort) had approximately double the levels of CSF NfL as patients in remission (268), with an average of 3080.6ng/L in the relapsing cohort compared to 1541.7ng/L in the remission cohort. Overall, the authors concluded that CSF NfL correlates with MS activity throughout the course of disease, that relapse was more strongly associated with elevated CSF NfL levels, and that CSF NfL may be more useful as a measure of activity (Martin, McGlasson, Hunt, & Overell, 2019).

Simonsen et al. (2020) performed a retrospective study investigating if analysis of IgG index could safely predict oligoclonal band findings. A total of 1295 MS patients were included, with 93.8% of them positive for oligoclonal bands. Of 842 MS patients with known IgG status and known oligoclonal band status, 93.3% were oligoclonal band positive and 76.7% were found to have an elevated IgG profile. The authors found the positive predictive value of elevated IgG based on positive oligoclonal bands to be 99.4%, and the negative predictive value of normal IgG based on negative oligoclonal bands to be 26.5%. The authors concluded that an IgG index of >0.7 has a positive predictive value of >99% for oligoclonal bands (Simonsen et al., 2020).

### III. State and Federal Regulations, as applicable

A search on the FDA website on June 23, 2020 yielded no results for “myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein,” “oligoclonal band,” or “neurofilament light chain” (FDA, 2020). A search on the FDA website on the same date for “aquaporin-4” yielded one result, the KRONUS Aquaporin-4 Autoantibody (AQP4Ab) ELISA Assay. The indication for use is as follows: “The KRONUS Aquaporin-4 Autoantibody (AQP4Ab) ELISA Assay is for the semi-quantitative determination of autoantibodies to Aquaporin-4 in human serum. The KRONUS Aquaporin-4 Autoantibody (AQP4Ab) ELISA Assay may be useful as an aid in the diagnosis of Neuromyelitis Optica (NMO) and Neuromyelitis Optica Spectrum Disorders (NMOSD). The KRONUS Aquaporin-4 Autoantibody (AQP4Ab) ELISA Assay is not to be used alone and is to be used in conjunction with other clinical, laboratory, and radiological (e.g. MRI) findings” (FDA, 2016).

# Biomarker Testing for Multiple Sclerosis and Related Neurologic Diseases AHS – G2123

Additionally, many labs have developed specific tests that they must validate and perform in house. These laboratory-developed tests (LDTs) are regulated by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) as high-complexity tests under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA '88). As an LDT, the U. S. Food and Drug Administration has not approved or cleared this test; however, FDA clearance or approval is not currently required for clinical use.

**\*\*\*Note: This Medical Policy is complex and technical. For questions concerning the technical language and/or specific clinical indications for its use, please consult your physician.**

## Policy

---

**BCBSNC will provide coverage for biomarker testing for multiple sclerosis and related neurologic diseases when it is determined the medical criteria or reimbursement guidelines below are met.**

## Benefits Application

---

This medical policy relates only to the services or supplies described herein. Please refer to the Member's Benefit Booklet for availability of benefits. Member's benefits may vary according to benefit design; therefore member benefit language should be reviewed before applying the terms of this medical policy.

## When biomarker testing for multiple sclerosis and related neurologic diseases is covered

---

1. Reimbursement for cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and serum oligoclonal band analysis for multiple sclerosis is allowed in any of the following situations
  - a. Atypical clinical, laboratory, or imaging features; OR
  - b. An atypical clinically isolated syndrome such as but not limited to, primary progressive multiple sclerosis or relapsing-remitting course; OR
  - c. Belongs to a population in which MS is less common, such as but not limited to children, older individuals, or non-Caucasians; OR
  - d. Insufficient clinical or imaging evidence for diagnosis
2. Reimbursement for serum indirect fluorescence assay or fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) assay of aquaporin-4-IgG (AQP4-IgG) and myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG-IgG) in cases of suspected NMOSD, including NMO, or MOG-EM is allowed when the following conditions are met:
  - a. Monophasic or relapsing acute optic neuritis, myelitis, brainstem encephalitis, encephalitis, or any combination thereof; AND
  - b. Radiological or electrophysiological findings compatible with CNS demyelination; AND
  - c. At least one of the following:
    - i. Belong to a higher risk population—African American, Latin American, Asian, or pediatric; OR
    - ii. Abnormal MRI depicting extensive optic nerve lesion, extensive spinal cord lesion or atrophy, or large confluent T2 brain lesions; OR
    - iii. Prominent papilledema/papillitis/optic disc swelling during acute optic neuritis; OR
    - iv. Neutrophilic CSF pleocytosis; OR

# Biomarker Testing for Multiple Sclerosis and Related Neurologic Diseases AHS – G2123

- v. Histopathology finding primary demyelination with intralésional complement and IgG deposits or previous diagnosis of “pattern II MS”; OR
- vi. Simultaneous bilateral acute optic neuritis; OR
- vii. Severe visual deficit or blindness in one or both eyes during or after acute optic neuritis; OR
- viii. Severe or frequent episodes of acute myelitis or brainstem encephalitis; OR
- ix. Permanent sphincter and/or erectile disorder after myelitis; OR
- x. Previous diagnosis of acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM)

## When biomarker testing for multiple sclerosis and related neurologic diseases is not covered

---

1. Reimbursement is not allowed for serum biomarker tests for multiple sclerosis in all other situations.
2. Reimbursement is not allowed for ELISA, Western blot, immunohistochemistry or any other serum assays to test for NMOSD or MOG-EM.
3. Reimbursement is not allowed for all other cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarker tests, including AQP4-IgG or MOG-IgG, for multiple sclerosis, NMOSD, or MOG-EM.

## Policy Guidelines

---

### A. Guidelines and Recommendations

#### **International Advisory Committee on Clinical Trials in Multiple Sclerosis (Lublin et al., 2014)**

In 2014, the International Advisory Committee on Clinical Trials in Multiple Sclerosis, jointly sponsored by the U.S. National Multiple Sclerosis Society, the European Committee for Treatment and Research in Multiple Sclerosis and the MS Phenotype Group, re-examined MS phenotypes, exploring clinical, imaging, and biomarker advances through working groups and literature searches. The committee concluded that “To date, there are no clear clinical, imaging, immunologic or pathologic criteria to determine the transition point when RRMS [relapse-remitting MS] converts to SPMS [secondary progressive MS]; the transition is usually gradual. This has limited our ability to study the imaging and biomarker characteristics that may distinguish this course (Lublin et al., 2014).”

#### **The International Panel on Diagnosis of Multiple Sclerosis (Thompson et al., 2018)**

The Panel reviewed the 2010 McDonald criteria and recommended: “In a patient with a typical clinically isolated syndrome and fulfilment of clinical or MRI criteria for dissemination in space and no better explanation for the clinical presentation, demonstration of CSF-specific oligoclonal bands in the absence of other CSF findings atypical of multiple sclerosis allows a diagnosis of this disease to be made.” The Panel goes on to state that “CSF oligoclonal bands are an independent predictor of the risk of a second attack when controlling for demographic, clinical, treatment, and MRI variables” and that in the absence of atypical CSF findings, demonstration of these CSF oligoclonal bands can allow for a diagnosis of MS to be made. The Panel remarks that inclusion of this CSF criterion can substitute for the traditional “dissemination in time” criterion, but that no laboratory test in isolation can confirm an MS diagnosis (Thompson et al., 2018).

# Biomarker Testing for Multiple Sclerosis and Related Neurologic Diseases AHS – G2123

CSF examination is “strongly recommended” in some circumstances for MS diagnosis, and the Panel remarks that the threshold for additional testing should be low. Those circumstances are as follows:

- “when clinical and brain MRI evidence supporting a diagnosis of multiple sclerosis is insufficient, particularly if initiation of long-term disease-modifying therapies are being considered”
- “when there is a presentation other than a typical clinically isolated syndrome, including patients with a progressive course at onset (primary progressive multiple sclerosis)”
- “when there are clinical, imaging, or laboratory features atypical of MS”
- “in populations in which diagnosing MS is less common (for example, children, older individuals, or non-Caucasians).”

The Panel does emphasize that paired analysis of both CSF and another serum sample is essential to demonstrate that the oligoclonal bands are unique to the CSF (Thompson et al., 2018).

The treatments for these very similar conditions (MS and NMOSD) can differ—in fact, MS treatments, such as interferon beta, fingolimod, and natalizumab can exacerbate NMOSDs. Therefore, the Panel recommended that “NMOSDs should be considered in any patient being evaluated for multiple sclerosis”. The Panel notes that aquaporin-4 serological testing “generally differentiates” NMOSD from MS (Thompson et al., 2018). Serological testing for AQP4 and for MOG should be done in all patients with features suggesting NMOSDs (such as bilateral optic neuritis, severe brainstem involvement, longitudinally extensive spinal cord lesions, large cerebral lesions, or normal brain MRI or findings not fulfilling dissemination in space [DIS]), and considered in groups at higher risk of NMOSDs (such as African American, Asian, Latin American, and paediatric populations)” (Thompson et al., 2018).

## **International Panel on MOG encephalomyelitis (Jarius et al., 2018)**

Human myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG-IgG)-associated encephalomyelitis (MOG-EM) is considered a unique disease from MS and other NMOSD, but MOG-EM has often been misdiagnosed as MS in the past. An international panel released their recommendations concerning diagnosis and antibody testing in 2018. They state their purpose with the following: “. To lessen the hazard of overdiagnosing MOG-EM, which may lead to inappropriate treatment, more selective criteria for MOG-IgG testing are urgently needed. In this paper, we propose indications for MOG-IgG testing based on expert consensus. In addition, we give a list of conditions atypical for MOG-EM (“red flags”) that should prompt physicians to challenge a positive MOG-IgG test result. Finally, we provide recommendations regarding assay methodology, specimen sampling and data interpretation.”

They list the following recommendations:

- Assay: Indirect fluorescence assays, including fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) targeting full-length human MOG (IgG-specific) are the gold standards. The use of either IgM or IgA antibodies are less specific and can result in both false-negative results due to high-affinity IgG displacing IgM and false-positive results due to cross-reactivity with rheumatoid factors.
- Immunohistochemistry is NOT recommended because it is “less sensitive than cell-based assays, limited data available on specificity, [and] sensitivity depends on tissue donor species.”
- Peptide-based ELISA and Western blot are NOT recommended because they are “insufficiently specific, obsolete”.
- Biomaterial: Serum is the recommended specimen of choice. Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) is “not usually required” because “MOG-IgG is produced mostly extrathecally, resulting in lower CSF than serum titers”.

# Biomarker Testing for Multiple Sclerosis and Related Neurologic Diseases AHS – G2123

- Timing of testing: Serum concentration of MOG-IgG is highest during an acute attack and/or while not receiving immunosuppressive treatment. MOG-IgG concentration may decrease during remission. “If MOG-IgG test is negative but MOG-EM is still suspected, re-testing during acute attacks, during treatment-free intervals, or 1-3 months after plasma exchange (or IVIG [intravenous immunoglobulin treatment]) is recommended.”
- “Given the very low pre-test probability, we recommend against general MOG-IgG testing in patients with a progressive disease course.”
- “In practice, many patients diagnosed with AQP4-IgG-negative NMOSD according to the IPND 2015 criteria will meet also the criteria for MOG-IgG testing...and should thus be tested. However, MOG-IgG testing should not be restricted to patients with AQP4-IgG-negative NMOSD.”

The Table below outlines the recommendation on the criteria required for testing:

**Table 1** Recommended indications for MOG-IgG testing in patients presenting with acute CNS demyelination of putative autoimmune etiology

---

1. Monophasic or relapsing acute optic neuritis, myelitis, brainstem encephalitis, encephalitis, or any combination thereof,  
*and*
2. radiological or, only in patients with a history of optic neuritis, electrophysiological (VEP) findings compatible with CNS demyelination,  
*and*
3. at least one of the following findings:  
*MRI*
  - a. Longitudinally extensive spinal cord lesion ( $\geq 3$  VS, contiguous) on MRI (so-called LETM)<sup>a,b</sup>
  - b. Longitudinally extensive spinal cord atrophy ( $\geq 3$  VS, contiguous) on MRI in patients with a history compatible with acute myelitis<sup>a</sup>
  - c. Conus medullaris lesions, especially if present at onset<sup>c</sup>
  - d. Longitudinally extensive optic nerve lesion (e.g.,  $>1/2$  of the length of the pre-chiasmal optic nerve, T2 or T1/Gd)<sup>d</sup>
  - e. Periopic Gd enhancement during acute ON<sup>e</sup>
  - f. Normal supratentorial MRI in patients with acute ON, myelitis and/or brainstem encephalitis
  - g. Brain MRI abnormal but no lesion adjacent to a lateral ventricle that is ovoid/round or associated with an inferior temporal lobe lesion and no Dawson’s finger-type or juxtacortical U fiber lesion (Matthews-Jurynczyk criteria)
  - h. Large, confluent T2 brain lesions suggestive of ADEM*Fundoscopy*
  - i. Prominent papilledema/papillitis/optic disc swelling during acute ON*CSF*
  - j. Neutrophilic CSF pleocytosis<sup>g</sup> or CSF WCC  $> 50/\mu\text{l}$ <sup>h</sup>
  - k. No CSF-restricted OCB as detected by IEF at first or any follow-up examination<sup>i</sup> (applies to continental European patients only)*Histopathology*
  - l. Primary demyelination with intralesional complement and IgG deposits
  - m. Previous diagnosis of “pattern II MS”<sup>j</sup>*Clinical findings*
  - n. Simultaneous bilateral acute ON
  - o. Unusually high ON frequency or disease mainly characterized by recurrent ON
  - p. Particularly severe visual deficit/blindness in one or both eyes during or after acute ON
  - q. Particularly severe or frequent episodes of acute myelitis or brainstem encephalitis
  - r. Permanent sphincter and/or erectile disorder after myelitis
  - s. Patients diagnosed with “ADEM”, “recurrent ADEM”, “multiphasic ADEM” or “ADEM-ON”
  - t. Acute respiratory insufficiency, disturbance of consciousness, behavioral changes, or epileptic seizures (radiological signs of demyelination required)
  - u. Disease started within 4 days to ~4 weeks after vaccination
  - v. Otherwise unexplained intractable nausea and vomiting or intractable hiccups (compatible with area postrema syndrome)<sup>a</sup>
  - w. Co-existing teratoma or NMDAR encephalitis (low evidence<sup>k</sup>)*Treatment response*
  - x. Frequent flare-ups after IVMP, or steroid-dependent symptoms<sup>l</sup> (including CRION)
  - y. Clear increase in relapse rate following treatment with IFN-beta or natalizumab in patients diagnosed with MS (low evidence)

---

## International Panel on NMOSD (Wingerchuk et al., 2015)

The International Panel on NMOSD recommends “testing with cell-based serum assays (microscopy or flow cytometry-based detection) whenever possible because they optimize autoantibody detection (mean sensitivity 76.7% in a pooled analysis; 0.1% false-positive rate in a MS clinic cohort).” They state that ELISA and indirect immunofluorescence assays have lower sensitivity and “strongly” recommend “interpretative caution if such assays are used and when low-titer positive ELISA results are detected in individuals who present with NMOSD clinical symptoms less commonly associated with AQP4-IgG (e.g.,



# Biomarker Testing for Multiple Sclerosis and Related Neurologic Diseases AHS – G2123

presentations other than recurrent optic neuritis, myelitis with LETM, or area postrema syndrome) or in situations where clinical evidence suggests a viable alternate diagnosis. Confirmatory testing is recommended, ideally using 1 or more different AQP4-IgG assay techniques. Cell-based assay has the best current sensitivity and specificity and samples may need to be referred to a specialized laboratory.” The table below outlines the NMOSD diagnostic criteria for adult patients.

<b>Table 1</b>	<b>NMOSD diagnostic criteria for adult patients</b>
<b>Diagnostic criteria for NMOSD with AQP4-IgG</b>	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. At least 1 core clinical characteristic</li><li>2. Positive test for AQP4-IgG using best available detection method (cell-based assay strongly recommended)</li><li>3. Exclusion of alternative diagnoses<sup>a</sup></li></ol>	
<b>Diagnostic criteria for NMOSD without AQP4-IgG or NMOSD with unknown AQP4-IgG status</b>	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. At least 2 core clinical characteristics occurring as a result of one or more clinical attacks and meeting all of the following requirements:<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a. At least 1 core clinical characteristic must be optic neuritis, acute myelitis with LETM, or area postrema syndrome</li><li>b. Dissemination in space (2 or more different core clinical characteristics)</li><li>c. Fulfillment of additional MRI requirements, as applicable</li></ol></li><li>2. Negative tests for AQP4-IgG using best available detection method, or testing unavailable</li><li>3. Exclusion of alternative diagnoses<sup>a</sup></li></ol>	
<b>Core clinical characteristics</b>	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Optic neuritis</li><li>2. Acute myelitis</li><li>3. Area postrema syndrome: episode of otherwise unexplained hiccups or nausea and vomiting</li><li>4. Acute brainstem syndrome</li><li>5. Symptomatic narcolepsy or acute diencephalic clinical syndrome with NMOSD-typical diencephalic MRI lesions (figure 3)</li><li>6. Symptomatic cerebral syndrome with NMOSD-typical brain lesions (figure 3)</li></ol>	

## Billing/Coding/Physician Documentation Information

This policy may apply to the following codes. Inclusion of a code in this section does not guarantee that it will be reimbursed. For further information on reimbursement guidelines, please see Administrative Policies on the Blue Cross Blue Shield of North Carolina web site at [www.bcbsnc.com](http://www.bcbsnc.com). They are listed in the Category Search on the Medical Policy search page.

*Applicable codes: 83520, 83916, 84182, 86255, 86256, 88341, 88342*

BCBSNC may request medical records for determination of medical necessity. When medical records are requested, letters of support and/or explanation are often useful, but are not sufficient documentation unless all specific information needed to make a medical necessity determination is included.

## Scientific Background and Reference Sources

# Biomarker Testing for Multiple Sclerosis and Related Neurologic Diseases AHS – G2123

- Anderson, D. W., Ellenberg, J. H., Leventhal, C. M., Reingold, S. C., Rodriguez, M., & Silberberg, D. H. (1992). Revised estimate of the prevalence of multiple sclerosis in the United States. *Ann Neurol*, 31(3), 333-336. doi:10.1002/ana.410310317
- Brownlee, W. J., Hardy, T. A., Fazekas, F., & Miller, D. H. (2017). Diagnosis of multiple sclerosis: progress and challenges. *Lancet*, 389(10076), 1336-1346. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(16)30959-x
- Cantó, E., Barro, C., Zhao, C., Caillier, S. J., Michalak, Z., Bove, R., . . . Kuhle, J. (2019). Association Between Serum Neurofilament Light Chain Levels and Long-term Disease Course Among Patients With Multiple Sclerosis Followed up for 12 Years. *JAMA Neurol*, 76(11), 1359-1366. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.2137
- Comabella, M., & Montalban, X. (2014). Body fluid biomarkers in multiple sclerosis. *Lancet Neurol*, 13(1), 113-126. doi:10.1016/s1474-4422(13)70233-3
- Comabella, M., Sastre-Garriga, J., & Montalban, X. (2016). Precision medicine in multiple sclerosis: biomarkers for diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment response. *Curr Opin Neurol*, 29(3), 254-262. doi:10.1097/wco.0000000000000336
- Dilokthornsakul, P., Valuck, R. J., Nair, K. V., Corboy, J. R., Allen, R. R., & Campbell, J. D. (2016). Multiple sclerosis prevalence in the United States commercially insured population. *Neurology*, 86(11), 1014-1021. doi:10.1212/wnl.0000000000002469
- El Ayoubi, N. K., & Khoury, S. J. (2017). Blood Biomarkers as Outcome Measures in Inflammatory Neurologic Diseases. *Neurotherapeutics*, 14(1), 135-147. doi:10.1007/s13311-016-0486-7
- Eriksson, M., Andersen, O., & Runmarker, B. (2003). Long-term follow up of patients with clinically isolated syndromes, relapsing-remitting and secondary progressive multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler*, 9(3), 260-274. doi:10.1191/1352458503ms914oa
- FDA. (2016). 510k. Retrieved from [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\\_docs/pdf16/K161951.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf16/K161951.pdf)
- FDA. (2020). Devices@FDA. Retrieved from <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/devicesatfda/index.cfm>
- Filippi, M., & Rocca, M. A. (2011). MR imaging of multiple sclerosis. *Radiology*, 259(3), 659-681. doi:10.1148/radiol.11101362
- Fryer, J. P., Lennon, V. A., Pittock, S. J., Jenkins, S. M., Fallier-Becker, P., Clardy, S. L., . . . McKeon, A. (2014). AQP4 autoantibody assay performance in clinical laboratory service. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm*, 1(1), e11. doi:10.1212/nxi.0000000000000011
- Gil-Perotin, S., Castillo-Villalba, J., Cubas-Nuñez, L., Gasque, R., Hervas, D., Gomez-Mateu, J., . . . Casanova, B. (2019). Combined Cerebrospinal Fluid Neurofilament Light Chain Protein and Chitinase-3 Like-1 Levels in Defining Disease Course and Prognosis in Multiple Sclerosis. *Front Neurol*, 10, 1008. doi:10.3389/fneur.2019.01008
- Glisson, C. C. (2019). Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders. UpToDate. Retrieved from <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/neuromyelitis-optica-spectrum-disorders>

# Biomarker Testing for Multiple Sclerosis and Related Neurologic Diseases AHS – G2123

- Goodin, D. S. (2014). The epidemiology of multiple sclerosis: insights to disease pathogenesis. *Handb Clin Neurol*, 122, 231-266. doi:10.1016/b978-0-444-52001-2.00010-8
- Hyun, J. W., Kim, W., Huh, S. Y., Park, M. S., Ahn, S. W., Cho, J. Y., . . . Kim, H. J. (2018). Application of the 2017 McDonald diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis in Korean patients with clinically isolated syndrome. *Mult Scler*, 1352458518790702. doi:10.1177/1352458518790702
- Jarius, S., Paul, F., Aktas, O., Asgari, N., Dale, R. C., de Seze, J., . . . Wildemann, B. (2018). MOG encephalomyelitis: international recommendations on diagnosis and antibody testing. *Journal of Neuroinflammation*, 15, 134. doi:10.1186/s12974-018-1144-2
- Jitrapaikulsan, J., Chen, J. J., Flanagan, E. P., Tobin, W. O., Fryer, J. P., Weinshenker, B. G., . . . Pittock, S. J. (2018). Aquaporin-4 and Myelin Oligodendrocyte Glycoprotein Autoantibody Status Predict Outcome of Recurrent Optic Neuritis. *Ophthalmology*, 125(10), 1628-1637. doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2018.03.041
- Koch, M., Kingwell, E., Rieckmann, P., & Tremlett, H. (2009). The natural history of primary progressive multiple sclerosis. *Neurology*, 73(23), 1996-2002. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181c5b47f
- Lim, C. K., Bilgin, A., Lovejoy, D. B., Tan, V., Bustamante, S., Taylor, B. V., . . . Guillemin, G. J. (2017). Kynurenine pathway metabolomics predicts and provides mechanistic insight into multiple sclerosis progression. *Sci Rep*, 7, 41473. doi:10.1038/srep41473
- Lotze, T. E. (2019). Differential diagnosis of acute central nervous system demyelination in children. UpToDate. Retrieved from <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/differential-diagnosis-of-acute-central-nervous-system-demyelination-in-children>
- Lublin, F. D., & Reingold, S. C. (1996). Defining the clinical course of multiple sclerosis: results of an international survey. National Multiple Sclerosis Society (USA) Advisory Committee on Clinical Trials of New Agents in Multiple Sclerosis. *Neurology*, 46(4), 907-911. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/>
- Lublin, F. D., Reingold, S. C., Cohen, J. A., Cutter, G. R., Sorensen, P. S., Thompson, A. J., . . . Polman, C. H. (2014). Defining the clinical course of multiple sclerosis: the 2013 revisions. *Neurology*, 83(3), 278-286. doi:10.1212/wnl.0000000000000560
- Luzzio, C. (2019). Multiple Sclerosis Guidelines. Retrieved from <https://emedicine.medscape.com/article/1146199-guidelines>
- Martin, S.-J., McGlasson, S., Hunt, D., & Overell, J. (2019). Cerebrospinal fluid neurofilament light chain in multiple sclerosis and its subtypes: a meta-analysis of case-control studies. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, 90(9), 1059. doi:10.1136/jnnp-2018-319190
- Offenbacher, H., Fazekas, F., Schmidt, R., Freidl, W., Flooh, E., Payer, F., & Lechner, H. (1993). Assessment of MRI criteria for a diagnosis of MS. *Neurology*, 43(5), 905-909. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/>
- Olek, M., Howard, Jonathan. (2019a). Clinical course and classification of multiple sclerosis - UpToDate. In J. Dashe (Ed.), UpToDate. Retrieved from [https://www.uptodate.com/contents/clinical-course-and-classification-of-multiple-sclerosis?source=see\\_link](https://www.uptodate.com/contents/clinical-course-and-classification-of-multiple-sclerosis?source=see_link)

# Biomarker Testing for Multiple Sclerosis and Related Neurologic Diseases AHS – G2123

Olek, M., Howard, Jonathan. (2019b). Evaluation and diagnosis of multiple sclerosis in adults. In J. Dashe (Ed.), *UpToDate*. Retrieved from [https://www.uptodate.com/contents/evaluation-and-diagnosis-of-multiple-sclerosis-in-adults?search=multiple%20sclerosis&source=search\\_result&selectedTitle=2~150&usage\\_type=default&display\\_rank=2#H11](https://www.uptodate.com/contents/evaluation-and-diagnosis-of-multiple-sclerosis-in-adults?search=multiple%20sclerosis&source=search_result&selectedTitle=2~150&usage_type=default&display_rank=2#H11)

Raphael, I., Webb, J., Stuve, O., Haskins, W. E., & Forsthuber, T. G. (2015). Body fluid biomarkers in multiple sclerosis: how far we have come and how they could affect the clinic now and in the future. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol*, 11(1), 69-91. doi:10.1586/1744666x.2015.991315

Rovira, A., Swanton, J., Tintore, M., Huerga, E., Barkhof, F., Filippi, M., . . . Montalban, X. (2009). A single, early magnetic resonance imaging study in the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis. *Arch Neurol*, 66(5), 587-592. doi:10.1001/archneurol.2009.49

Schaffler, N., Kopke, S., Winkler, L., Schippling, S., Inglese, M., Fischer, K., & Heesen, C. (2011). Accuracy of diagnostic tests in multiple sclerosis--a systematic review. *Acta Neurol Scand*, 124(3), 151-164. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0404.2010.01454.x

Simonsen, C. S., Flemmen, H., Lauritzen, T., Berg-Hansen, P., Moen, S. M., & Celius, E. G. (2020). The diagnostic value of IgG index versus oligoclonal bands in cerebrospinal fluid of patients with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler J Exp Transl Clin*, 6(1), 2055217319901291. doi:10.1177/2055217319901291

Sotirchos, E. S., Filippatou, A., Fitzgerald, K. C., Salama, S., Pardo, S., Wang, J., . . . Saidha, S. (2019). Aquaporin-4 IgG seropositivity is associated with worse visual outcomes after optic neuritis than MOG-IgG seropositivity and multiple sclerosis, independent of macular ganglion cell layer thinning. *Mult Scler*, 1352458519864928. doi:10.1177/1352458519864928

Teunissen, C. E., Malekzadeh, A., Leurs, C., Bridel, C., & Killestein, J. (2015). Body fluid biomarkers for multiple sclerosis--the long road to clinical application. *Nat Rev Neurol*, 11(10), 585-596. doi:10.1038/nrneurol.2015.173

Thompson, A. J., Banwell, B. L., Barkhof, F., Carroll, W. M., Coetzee, T., Comi, G., . . . Cohen, J. A. (2018). Diagnosis of multiple sclerosis: 2017 revisions of the McDonald criteria. *Lancet Neurol*, 17(2), 162-173. doi:10.1016/s1474-4422(17)30470-2

Weinshenker, B. G. (1994). Natural history of multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol*, 36 Suppl, S6-11. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/>

Wingerchuk, D. M., Banwell, B., Bennett, J. L., Cabre, P., Carroll, W., Chitnis, T., . . . Weinshenker, B. G. (2015). International consensus diagnostic criteria for neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders. *Neurology*, 85(2), 177-189. doi:10.1212/wnl.0000000000001729

---

## Policy Implementation/Update Information

1/1/19 New policy developed. BCBSNC will provide coverage for serum biomarker testing for multiple sclerosis and related neurologic diseases when it is determined to be medically necessary because the medical criteria and guidelines are met. Medical Director review 1/1/2019. Policy noticed 1/1/2019 for effective date 4/1/2019. (sk)

10/29/19 Wording in the Policy, When Covered, and/or Not Covered section(s) changed from Medical Necessity to Reimbursement language, where needed (gm)

# Biomarker Testing for Multiple Sclerosis and Related Neurologic Diseases AHS – G2123

11/26/19 Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel review 10/16/2019. (sk)

12/10/19 Reviewed by Avalon 3rd Quarter CAB. No change in overall intent of policy. (sk)

11/10/20 Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel review 10/21/2020. Reviewed by Avalon 3rd Quarter 2020 CAB. Description section updated. Regulatory section updated. Coverage criteria updated with addition of criteria for cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and serum oligoclonal band analysis for multiple sclerosis. Policy Guidelines updated. Code 83916 added to Billing/Coding section. References updated. (sk)

---

Medical policy is not an authorization, certification, explanation of benefits or a contract. Benefits and eligibility are determined before medical guidelines and payment guidelines are applied. Benefits are determined by the group contract and subscriber certificate that is in effect at the time services are rendered. This document is solely provided for informational purposes only and is based on research of current medical literature and review of common medical practices in the treatment and diagnosis of disease. Medical practices and knowledge are constantly changing and BCBSNC reserves the right to review and revise its medical policies periodically.