

Corporate Medical Policy

Molecular Markers in Fine Needle Aspirates of the Thyroid AHS - M2108

File Name: molecular_markers_in_fine_needle_aspirates_of_the_thyroid
Origination: 1/2019
Last Review: 4/2023

Description of Procedure or Service

Thyroid nodules are growths or enlargements on the thyroid gland. These nodules may be caused by several different disorders such as thyroiditis or cysts. However, these nodules may also be caused by thyroid cancer, which occurs in 4-6.5% of nodules. A biopsy is often performed to assess the histological components of this nodule, usually through a fine needle aspiration or FNA. A 23 to 27-gauge (commonly 25 gauge) needle is used, with or without local anesthesia. This technique can obtain an acceptable sample in 90-97% of solid nodules (Ross, 2022).

Molecular markers, such as genetic mutations or microRNA (miRNA) expression, may be used to help identify malignant nodules. Mutational analysis by sequencing or PCR can identify mutations, such as *BRAF*, *RAS*, *RET/PTC*, and *PAX8/PPARG*. Another tool is a gene expression classifier, which measures mRNA to determine the activity level of a number of genes and uses an algorithm to predict malignancy based on gene expression (Nikiforov et al., 2013).

*****Note: This Medical Policy is complex and technical. For questions concerning the technical language and/or specific clinical indications for its use, please consult your physician.**

Policy

BCBSNC will provide coverage for molecular markers in fine needle aspirates of the thyroid when it is determined to be medically necessary because the medical criteria and guidelines shown below are met.

Benefits Application

This medical policy relates only to the services or supplies described herein. Please refer to the Member's Benefit Booklet for availability of benefits. Member's benefits may vary according to benefit design; therefore, member benefit language should be reviewed before applying the terms of this medical policy.

When Molecular Markers in Fine Needle Aspirates of the Thyroid is covered

1. To assist in patient management decisions for individuals 18 years of age or older being evaluated for thyroid carcinoma, mutation analysis (e.g. *BRAF* V600, *RET/PTC*, *RAS*, *PAX8/PPARG*) and/or the use of a gene expression classifier in fine-needle aspirates (FNAs) of the thyroid, is considered medically necessary when the FNA is cytologically characterized as **any** of the following:
 - a. Bethesda-III (atypia of undermined significance [AUS] or follicular lesion of undetermined significance [FLUS]).

Molecular Markers in Fine Needle Aspirates of the Thyroid

AHS - M2108

- b. Bethesda-IV (follicular neoplasm [FN] or suspicious for follicular neoplasm [SFN]).

When Molecular Markers in Fine Needle Aspirates of the Thyroid is not covered

1. For individuals 18 years of age or older being evaluated for thyroid carcinoma, mutation analysis (e.g., BRAF V600, RET/PTC, RAS, PAX8/PPARG) and/or the use of a gene expression classifier in FNAs of the thyroid, it is considered investigational when the FNA is cytologically characterized as **any** of the following:
 - a. Bethesda-I (nondiagnostic or unsatisfactory),
 - b. Bethesda-II (benign).
 - c. Bethesda-V (suspicious for malignancy).
 - d. Bethesda-VI (malignant).
2. For individuals under 18 years of age, mutation analysis (e.g., BRAF V600, RET/PTC, RAS, PAX8/PPARG) or the use of a gene expression classifier in FNAs of the thyroid is considered investigational.
3. MicroRNA profiling tests (e.g., ThyraMIR) in FNAs of the thyroid is considered investigational.
4. For all other situations not discussed above, mutation analysis (e.g., BRAF V600, RET/PTC, RAS, PAX8/PPARG) or the use of a gene expression classifier is considered investigational.

* Note: For 5 or more gene tests being run on the same platform, please refer to AHS-R2162 Reimbursement Policy.

Policy Guidelines

FNA is a traditional diagnostic approach to differentiate malignant thyroid nodules that need surgery from benign nodules that do not require surgery. It offers definitive diagnosis in most cases; however, 20–30% of nodules yield an indeterminate cytologic diagnosis in which cancer cannot be ruled out, and such nodules can exhibit malignancy risk ranging from 12% to 33% (Jackson et al., 2020). This may lead to suboptimal management of these patients and can result in unnecessary resections and surgical interventions (Nikiforov et al., 2013). FNA results are normally categorized according to the National Cancer Institute into six categories. They are, in order of severity: nondiagnostic or unsatisfactory (Bethesda-I), benign (II), atypia of undetermined significance (AUS) or follicular lesion of undetermined significance (FLUS) (III), follicular neoplasm (FN) or suspicious for follicular neoplasm (SFN) (IV), suspicious for malignancy (V), and malignant, which includes lymphomas and carcinomas (VI). A benign result is reported in 60-70% of FNAs. Nondiagnostic does not refer to an absence of cancer; rather it means that the sample provided was inadequate for a conclusive result and another sample must be provided. Categories III and IV are typically referred to as “indeterminate” and typically have a malignancy risk of as high as 40%. Patients with indeterminate nodules will frequently have a surgery to treat the issue; however, up to 95% of these nodules are ultimately evaluated as benign. Further testing must be done with indeterminate cases to categorize these lesions (Cibas & Ali, 2009; Douglas Ross, 2023).

Molecular markers have been used to identify the true status of an indeterminate FNA. Assessing components of FNA aspirates, such as micro-RNA, mutational status of certain genes, or genomic sequencing could prove useful, especially as these components can be reliably detected during the FNA itself (Hodak & Rosenthal, 2013; Xing et al., 2013). Prior to the emergence of molecular markers as an indicator, a repeat FNA was often performed, ultimately leading to a surgery to remove the nodule.

Molecular Markers in Fine Needle Aspirates of the Thyroid

AHS - M2108

Molecular markers could thus reduce unnecessary surgeries as well as provide better risk stratification (Douglas, 2023).

Proprietary Testing

Commercially available panels of molecular markers utilizing FNA specimens from the thyroid include the following tests:

ThyGeNEXT and ThyraMIR

ThyGeNEXT (Interpace Diagnostics, Parsippany, NJ) is a specific oncogene, mutational panel that tests genetic alterations across 10 genes associated with papillary carcinoma and follicular carcinoma. ThyGeNEXT uses a next generation sequencing (NGS) platform to identify genetic alterations across those 10 genes, which are as follows: *ALK*, *BRAF*, *GNAS*, *HRAS*, *KRAS*, *NRAS*, *PIK3CA*, *PTEN*, *RET*, *TERT*. This test is primarily for category III or IV nodules. Recently, Interpace Diagnostics has developed a new molecular test, ThyraMIR. This test is based on microRNA analysis of the expression of 10 microRNAs. The manufacturer claims that this test can identify malignancy in nodules that otherwise evince no or “weak” mutation for ThyGeNEXT. According to Interpace Diagnostics, combined test performance has negative predictive value of 99%, positive predictive value of 96%, with 98% sensitivity and 98% specificity. The sensitivity and specificity for the ThyGeNEXT panel alone is 63% and 84%, respectively, for cases of indeterminate cytology (Interpace, 2023).

Lupo et al. (2020) featured a blinded multicenter study centered around the performance of the ThyGeNext expanded mutation panel (MPTX) and the expanded panel with the microRNA classifier ThyraMIR by comparing them to the histopathology diagnosis by three pathologists. The expanded mutation panel included *NTRK* and *ALS* fusions that have targeted therapies as well as proto-oncogenes *TERT* and *RET*, which are indicative of aggressive disease. The study found that the performance of the expanded mutation panel (ThyGeNext) alone unsatisfactory due to numerous false positives, 90% of which were attributed to individual *RAS* mutations primarily found in benign adenomas, with a few additional errors due to *TERT* mutations in benign disease. It was therefore reported that the expanded mutation panel of ThyGeNext alone demonstrated an NPV of 81% while the PPV was even less stellar, a mere 56% (Lupo et al., 2020). This contrasts with the reported high sensitivity for malignancy (95%) in negative mutation panel testing results and high (90%) specificity in nodules with Bethesda III and IV cytology, purportedly driving an increase in the NPV to 97% and the PPV to 75%, as aforementioned above. The researchers suggest that the increases in NPV and PPV from the inclusion of the microRNA classifier, therefore, possess great power and potential in ruling in and out the need for surgery for indeterminate thyroid nodule cytology, leading them to conclude that ancillary use of the three category MPTX approach can be leveraged to accurately inform the need for surgery in four out of five indeterminate nodules tested (Lupo et al., 2020).

The same optimism may be found in Jackson et al. (2020), where researchers aimed to better understand the incremental use of using expanded mutation panels, along with the integration of microRNA classifier testing to provide additional and more accurate diagnostic information. Using molecular results from two consecutive cohorts of patients totaling 12993 members, who had FNAs performed on thyroid nodules resulting in Bethesda Diagnostic categories III or IV cytology results, underwent either focused mutation panel testing (n=8619) alone or expanded mutation panel testing (n=4374), the latter of which included the microRNA classifier test ThyraMIR. The study found that 89% of patients who underwent the simple focused panel testing lacked detectable oncogenic mutations and fusions as compared to the 74% in the cohort who underwent the expanded panel testing ($P < 0.001$). Moreover, weak drivers were more frequently identified in patients who underwent expanded (20%) compared with focused (9%) panel testing ($P < 0.001$), and strong drivers were likewise more frequent in patients who underwent expanded (6%) compared with focused (2%) panel testing ($P < 0.001$). Thus, the power of the expanded panel was not limited to detecting weak drivers, as 16% of those who underwent focused panel testing had strong drivers, while 24% of those who underwent expanded panel testing had strong drivers ($P < 0.001$). Inclusion of less common mutations in the expanded panel increased the detection of multiple coexisting

Molecular Markers in Fine Needle Aspirates of the Thyroid

AHS - M2108

mutations by 4%, which provides increased utility in identifying aggressive forms of thyroid cancer. However, the study concluded broadly that all oncogenic changes can contribute to neoplastic growth and progression, and therefore both strong and weak drivers should be considered clinically important. From the results of the study, the researchers contend that subsequent microRNA testing can help overcome uncertainty as to the presence of cancer, as approximately half of nodules with weak drivers had positive microRNA results consistent with a higher risk of malignancy, and 33% of those with positive microRNA results likewise had strong positive microRNA levels specific for malignancy that are prevalent in nodules with strong drivers (Jackson et al., 2020).

Ciarletto et al. (2021) used ThyraMIR to study if pairwise comparisons of differentially expressed miRNAs can identify medullary thyroid carcinoma in FNA. Differential pairwise analysis was performed on 10 miRNAs in 7557 specimens. Nine differential pairs were determined to have significant power to differentiate medullary thyroid carcinoma and non-medullary thyroid carcinoma samples. The test accuracy was 100%, “the assay correctly classified all MTC [medullary thyroid carcinoma] and non-MTC samples.” The authors conclude that “pairwise miRNA expression analysis of ThyraMIR results were found to accurately predict medullary thyroid carcinoma in thyroid FNA samples, including those with indeterminate FNA findings” (Ciarletto et al., 2021).

ThyroSeq v3

ThyroSeq is a test intended for assessment of thyroid nodules with undetermined cytology initially designed to target 12 cancer genes with 284 mutational hotspots. The latest version of this test, ThyroSeq v3, is based on NGS of DNA and RNA. This test detects 4 types of alterations; mutations, gene fusions, expression alterations, and copy number alterations. This test analyzes 112 genes, providing information on more than 12,000 mutation hotspots and more than 120 gene fusion types. First, the sample is ensured to have enough material to proceed (such as amount of thyroid follicular cells). Then, the NGS is performed and reviewed by a pathologist. Finally, the report is sent to the patient in about 2 weeks (ThyroSeq, 2023).

ThyroSeq test

In a multicenter study, this test produced a negative predictive value of 97%, positive predictive value of 66%, with 94% sensitivity and 82% specificity in 257 cases of Bethesda-III and -IV nodules. The authors concluded that up to 61% of patients with indeterminate cytology could avoid diagnostic surgery through multigene genomic classifier testing (Steward et al., 2018). Other NGS-based molecular tests for thyroid nodules are available from other labs.

Nikiforova et al. (2018) evaluated the analytical performance of ThyroSeq v3 (intended to analyze 112 genes for alterations). A genomic classifier (GC) is used to differentiate malignant nodules from benign. 238 tissue samples and 175 FNA samples were included in the cohort. ThyroSeq detected over 100 genetic alterations. The GC cutoff identified malignant nodules in the tissue samples from benign at 93.9% sensitivity, 89.4% specificity, and 92.1% accuracy. For the FNA sample, the GC sensitivity was 98.0%, the specificity was 81.8%, and the accuracy was 90.9% (Nikiforova et al., 2018).

Afirma Series

The Afirma Genomic Sequencing Classifier (GSC) is offered by Afirma. This test is intended to assess indeterminate nodules (Bethesda categories III and IV) and “conclusively rule out” surgery (Afirma, 2022a). This test is based on the Afirma Gene Expression Classifier (GEC), which measured the activity level of 167 genes. The GSC added several features to better classify nodules, such as classifiers for medullary thyroid cancer, parathyroid lesions, *BRAF V600E* mutations, and overall better discrimination of Hurthle cell neoplasms (Douglas, 2023). Wei et al note that the GSC is an “updated” version of the GEC, available since 2011. Wei et al also published a study discussing proposed advantages of the GSC over the GEC, namely its improved specificity (as a weakness of the GEC was its inability to identify true oncocytic lesions in the “suspicious” category). The authors compared the results of indeterminate

Molecular Markers in Fine Needle Aspirates of the Thyroid

AHS - M2108

FNA specimens (Bethesda categories III and IV) that were tested by Afirma GEC or GSC. 272 tests (194 GEC, 78 GSC) were evaluated. 221 samples were classified as AUS/FLUS and 51 were classified as FN/SFN. Out of the 194 samples tested with GEC, 88 were considered benign (45.4%) whereas 52 of the 78 GSC samples were considered benign (66.7%). In the AUS/FLUS category, 47.1% of cases were considered benign by GEC whereas 71.2% were considered benign by GSC (Wei et al., 2019).

A component of the Afirma GSC is the medullary thyroid cancer (MTC) component. This component includes 108 genes and is performed along with the primary GSC at no extra charge (Afirma, 2021a, 2021b). Randolph et al. performed a validation of this test using 211 samples (21 MTC cases, 190 controls), and all 211 samples were identified correctly. These sample results were confirmed by surgery (for positive cases) and pathology (for negative cases) (Randolph, 2017).

Another test is the Afirma Xpression Atlas. This test is a comprehensive panel encompassing 511 genes, 761 variants, and 130 fusion pairs. Genes such as *BRAF*, *RET*, and the *RAS* pathway of genes are included in this panel. This test is intended to inform surgical and therapeutic decisions for high-risk patients. Afirma lists three populations for this test; those who are “suspicious” per the Afirma GSC test, Bethesda category V, and Bethesda category VI. Angell et al. validated this test. The XA was compared to the results of multiple other methodologies (such as whole-transcriptome RNA-seq, targeted DNA-seq, et al) and concordance was evaluated. 943 blinded FNA samples were used to compare the DNA and RNA detection and 695 blinded FNAs were used to compare the fusion detections. At the cutoff of 5% variant frequency, 74% of allele variants detected by traditional methods were also detected by the XA, with 88% detected by the XA at a 20% variant frequency cutoff. 82% of fusions detected by the targeted RNA fusion assay were detected by the XA. From an analytical validity standpoint, intra-plate reproducibility was found to be 89%-94%, and inter-plate reproducibility was found to be 86%-91% (Angell et al., 2019). More recently, Krane et al. (2020) compiled evidence for the analytical validity, clinical validity, and clinical utility of the Afirma series in an evidence-based assessment. Analytical validation of the Afirma XA test using 69 variant-positive FNA samples demonstrated that there was high accuracy between the detection of variants (90%) and detection of fusion (94%) across two different laboratories. Clinical validation on the Afirma XA test’s ability to detect genomic variants was measured against those of currently accepted methods of DNA and RNA sequencing using the aforementioned 943 FNA-blinded samples. However, it should be noted that 95% or more of the variants and fusions identified by Afirma XA can be identified simply through the reference DNA and RNA method. Moreover, some variants that were identified by DNA were absent or poorly expressed in RNA, and important promoter variants such as *TERT* are not identified by said test.

Jug et al. (2018) evaluated the performances of ThyroSeq and Afirma GEC within the context of ultrasonographic features and with the incorporation of the “noninvasive follicular thyroid neoplasm with papillary-like nuclear features (NIFTP) nomenclature”. The ultrasonographic pattern evaluations were derived from the 2015 American Thyroid Association guidelines. A total of 304 cases were evaluated, with 119 resections. All cases that met criteria for NIFTP were considered high-risk by both tests. However, when these NIFTP cases were moved from the malignant to the non-malignant category, the positive predictive value of ThyroSeq dropped from 42.9% to 14.3% and Afirma’s dropped from 30.1% to 25.3% (Jug et al., 2018).

Endo et al. (2019) compared Afirma’s GEC to its Gene Sequencing Classifier (GSC), using cytologically indeterminate nodules. 343 GEC-tested nodules and 164 GSC-tested nodules were identified. The GSC was found to have a “statistically significant higher benign call rate (76.2% vs. 48.1%), PPV (60.0% vs. 33.3%), and specificity (94.3% vs. 61.4%)”. The authors noted that the improvement was statistically significant for Bethesda III and IV nodules. The GSC benign call rate was significantly higher in Hürthle cell changes (88.8% vs 25.7%). 52.5% of indeterminate nodules went to surgery when using the GEC compared to only 17.6% when using the GSC (Endo et al., 2019). Vuong et al. (2021) completed a meta-analysis of seven studies comparing the clinical impact and diagnostic performance of Afirma’s GEC and GSC. Similarly, this study showed that GSC had a higher benign cell rate, particularly in Hürthle cell predominated nodules, as well as a lower resection rate and higher risk of malignancy. The authors conclude that “the specificity (43.0% vs 25.1%; $P = .003$) and PPV (63.1% vs 41.6%; $P = .004$) of Afirma

Molecular Markers in Fine Needle Aspirates of the Thyroid

AHS - M2108

GSC were significantly improved while it still maintained a high sensitivity (94.3%) and a high NPV (90.0%)” compared to the GEC (Vuong et al., 2021).

RosettaGX Reveal

The RosettaGX Reveal test from Rosetta Genomics is a micro-RNA-based diagnostic test that evaluates indeterminate thyroid nodules. The test measures 24 sequences of miRNA through quantitative RT-PCR, as well as a medullary carcinoma marker (hsa-miR-375). Each sample is classified as benign or suspicious for malignancy.

The test has a claimed negative predictive value of 99%, a 98% sensitivity, and a 78% specificity from a sample of 150 in which three pathologists all agreed on the evaluation. Out of the 189 total samples, the negative predictive value was 91%, sensitivity was 85%, and specificity was 72%. The nodule sizes were >0.5 cm. The authors concluded that this test may be able to differentiate between malignant and benign from a previously evaluated indeterminate sample (Lithwick-Yanai et al., 2017). This test has been discontinued.

NeoGenomics

NeoGenomics offers a “NeoTYPE” thyroid profile, intended for evaluation of “fine needle aspirates of thyroid nodules that are indeterminate or suspicious on cytology.” NeoGenomics states that FISH detects mutations and other gene rearrangements, and that *BRAF* mutation V600E is associated with poor prognosis of papillary thyroid carcinoma. The test measures the following genetic features: “*AKT1*, *ALK*, *ARID1A*, *ATM*, *BRAF*, *CDKN2A*, *CTNNB1*, *ERBB2*, *ERBB4*, *HRAS*, *KRAS*, *MEN1*, *MET*, Microsatellite Instability (MSI), *NF1*, *NF2*, *NRAS*, *PIK3CA*, *PTEN*, *RET*, *SMAD4*, *SMO*, *SRC*, *TERT* Promoter, *TP53*, *TSC1*, *TSC2*, Tumor Mutation Burden (TMB)”, *MET* and *RET* by FISH, PD-L1, and Pan-TRK (NeoGenomics, 2022).

Clinical Validity and Utility

A study focusing on detection of *BRAF*, *RAS*, *RET/PTC*, and *PAX8/PPARg* mutations found that detection of any mutation resulted in a risk of histologic malignancy of 88%, 87%, and 95% for samples of Bethesda categories III, IV, and V, respectively. 967 samples were taken, and 87 mutations were found. The risk of cancer in mutation-negative samples was 6%, 14%, and 28%, respectively. Unfortunately, there was also a 14% false negative rate, limiting the usefulness of this panel (Douglas, 2023; Nikiforov et al., 2011).

Additional point mutations (including *TERT*, *TP53*, and others), as well as gene fusions that occur in thyroid cancer were found in another study using a next-generation sequencing (NGS) assay. 143 samples with a classification of follicular neoplasm or suspicious for follicular neoplasm were assessed, and the NGS panel “ThyroSeq v2” was used, which tests for point mutations in 13 genes and 42 types of gene fusions. 104 samples were found to be benign with the other 39 sample results being malignant. Overall, the NGS panel performed at a negative predictive value of 96%, a positive predictive value of 83%, 90% sensitivity, 93% specificity, and 92% accuracy for FN/SFN nodules (Nikiforov et al., 2014).

Another method that has been used is assessment of mRNA expression through a gene expression classifier. This is the basis of the Afirma genomic sequencing classifier, which identifies markers for features, such as medullary thyroid cancer, as well as distinguishes between Hürthle cell neoplasms from non-neoplastic Hürthle cell neoplasms. The second version of this test was assessed with 191 indeterminate samples. The sensitivity and specificity were 91% and 68%, respectively, and the negative and positive predictive values were 96% and 47%, respectively (Douglas, 2023; Patel et al., 2018). Afirma has produced a similar gene expression classifier “Xpression Atlas” that can be used to assess B-III to B-VI category neoplasms. Xpression Atlas evaluates a total of 761 gene variants and 130 fusion pairs (Afirma, 2022b; Douglas, 2023).

Molecular Markers in Fine Needle Aspirates of the Thyroid

AHS - M2108

The above methods may be combined to better evaluate neoplasms, for instance an miRNA gene expression classifier using both mutation analysis and miRNA expression. Labourier et al evaluated a diagnostic algorithm that combined mutation analysis and miRNA expression to assess preoperative FNAs. This algorithm contained 17 validated gene alterations using the *miRInform* thyroid test and a 10-miRNA gene expression classifier. 109 samples of either AUS/FLUS or FN/SFN were evaluated with this combined algorithm, and this algorithm correctly identified 64% of malignant samples and 98% of benign ones. The sensitivity and specificity were 89% and 85%, respectively. For AUS/FLUS and FN/SFN, the negative predictive value was 97% and 91%, and the positive predictive value for malignancy was 68% and 82%, respectively (Douglas, 2023; Labourier et al., 2015).

No single methodology has achieved clinical utility to reliably resolve all indeterminate cytology, and thus several professional organizations, including the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE), the American Thyroid Association (ATA), and the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN), have published guidelines for the evaluation of thyroid nodules, all of which endorse a similar multistep strategy suggesting molecular markers can be of use when cytology is indeterminate, yet acknowledging its current limitations (Gharib et al., 2016; Haugen et al., 2016; NCCN, 2022).

Baniz et al. (2019) evaluated the utility of “combined mutation analysis and microRNA classification in reclassifying cancer risk of cytologically indeterminate thyroid nodules.” A three-tiered microRNA threshold was determined based on nodules with known disease status, and an expected rate of malignancy calculated from mutation analysis and the microRNA approach was validated in an independent cohort of “atypia of undetermined significance or follicular lesion of undetermined significance (AUS/FLUS) and follicular neoplasm or suspicious for follicular neoplasm (FN/SFN) nodules with surgically derived outcomes”. From there, 2685 patients were included in the intended analysis. 82% of these samples lacked mutations, with *BRAF*, *PIK3CA*, *PAX8/PPARg*, and *RET/PTC* mutations all comprising 2% or less. The maximum expected risk of malignancy in these nodules without mutation was 9% for AUS/FLUS nodules and 17% for FN/SFN nodules, but with positive microRNA status, these rates increased to 36% and 54% respectively. *RAS* mutations occurred in 15% of mutations, and the expected malignancy rates in nodules with *RAS* or *PAX8/PPARg* mutations was 49% for AUS/FLUS nodules and 65% for FN/SFN nodules. With positive microRNA status, these rates increased to 85% and 91%, respectively (Baniz & Silverman, 2019).

Guidelines and Recommendations

National Comprehensive Cancer Network

NCCN guidelines for thyroid carcinoma state that molecular diagnostic testing to detect individual mutations (such as *BRAF V600E* or *RET/PTC*) or pattern recognition approaches using molecular classifiers may be useful in the evaluating indeterminate FNA samples to assist in management decisions. NCCN states molecular diagnostics may be used to reclassify indeterminate lesions such as AUS/FLUS. Molecular markers should be interpreted within the context of each patient. The NCCN states that molecular testing may be considered to drive treatment decisions, and some mutations may have prognostic importance.

The NCCN further clarifies that, “The choice of the precise molecular test depends on the cytology and the clinical question being asked. Indeterminate groups include: 1) follicular or Hürthle cell neoplasms; and 2) AUS/FLUS.” The NCCN panel recommends molecular diagnostic testing for evaluating FNA results that are suspicious for follicular cell neoplasms or AUS/FLUS (category 2A) and does not recommend testing on suspected Hürthle cell neoplasms as studies historically do not perform well on Hürthle neoplasms. However, the NCCN has acknowledged promising studies for assessing Hürthle neoplasms, with both the Afirma and ThyroSeq v3 tests providing better evaluations than their predecessors. The NCCN notes that molecular diagnostic testing may include individual mutation analysis or a multigene assay such as a gene expression classifier. Molecular markers may also help with treatment decisions or with eligibility in clinical trials.

Molecular Markers in Fine Needle Aspirates of the Thyroid

AHS - M2108

The NCCN further notes that active surveillance may be an option for patients whose molecular diagnostics demonstrate a risk of malignancy under 5% and that the predictive value of molecular diagnostics may be influenced by pre-test probability of disease based on various FNA cytology groups. The NCCN states that molecular diagnostic testing may be useful for follicular cell carcinomas and diagnosing NIFTP [noninvasive follicular thyroid neoplasm with papillary-like nuclear features], although current tests for assessing NIFTP have not been validated. Molecular testing is not recommended for diagnosing anaplastic thyroid carcinoma. Finally, the NCCN highlights that the diagnostic utility of molecular diagnostics in pediatric patients is still unclear because most of the published literature is on adult patients with thyroid nodules (NCCN, 2022).

American Thyroid Association

The 2015 ATA guidelines on the management of adult patients with thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer make the following recommendations on the use of molecular markers:

- If molecular testing is being considered, patients should be counseled regarding the potential benefits and limitations of testing and about the possible uncertainties in the therapeutic and long-term clinical implications of results. (Strong recommendation; low-quality evidence)
- If intended for clinical use, molecular testing should be performed in Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments/College of American Pathologists (CLIA/CAP)-certified molecular laboratories, or the international equivalent, because reported quality assurance practices may be superior compared to other settings. (Strong recommendation; low-quality evidence)
- For nodules with AUS/FLUS cytology, after consideration of worrisome clinical and sonographic features, investigations such as repeat FNA or molecular testing may be used to supplement malignancy risk assessment in lieu of proceeding directly with a strategy of either surveillance or diagnostic surgery. Informed patient preference and feasibility should be considered in clinical decision-making. (Weak recommendation; moderate-quality evidence)
- Diagnostic surgical excision is the long-established standard of care for the management of FN/SFN cytology nodules. However, after consideration of clinical and sonographic features, molecular testing may be used to supplement malignancy risk assessment data in lieu of proceeding directly with surgery. Informed patient preference and feasibility should be considered in clinical decision-making. (Weak recommendation; moderate-quality evidence)
- In general, only nodules >1 cm should be evaluated as they have a greater chance to become a clinically significant cancer. However, there are some cases where nodules <1 cm may be evaluated due to other clinical symptoms. The ATA states that “attempts to diagnose and treat all such small thyroid cancers in an effort to prevent exceedingly rare outcomes is deemed to cause more harm than good.”
- “If the nodule is benign on cytology, further immediate diagnostic studies or treatment are not required.”
- “Each nodule that is >1 cm carries an independent risk of malignancy and therefore multiple nodules may require FNA.”

The guidelines also state that "there is currently no single optimal molecular test that can definitively rule in or rule out malignancy in all cases of indeterminate cytology, and long-term outcome data proving clinical utility are needed" (Haugen et al., 2016).

The ATA Guidelines Task Force on Pediatric Thyroid Cancer have developed unique guidelines for children and adolescents with thyroid tumors. They have presented 34 recommendations including recommendations on molecular markers testing and nodules. The ATA panel recommended the pediatric age to be limited to a patient that is ≤ 18 years of age to more accurately define the impact of the physiologic changes of growth and development on tumor behavior. These guidelines note that a size criterion is more difficult in children as age affects volume greatly and size of the nodule is not predictive

Molecular Markers in Fine Needle Aspirates of the Thyroid

AHS - M2108

of malignancy. Overall, studies focusing on molecular diagnostics in children have not been validated and so cannot be recommended at this time (Francis et al, 2015).

The ATA Guidelines for Management of Patients with Anaplastic Thyroid Cancer notes that FNA cytology can be an important diagnostic tool for ATC diagnosis but recommends a parallel core biopsy to obtain sufficient material for molecular testing and accurate diagnosis (ATA, 2021).

American Academy of Pediatrics

The AAP endorsed the guidelines of the American Thyroid Association Guidelines Task Force on Pediatric Thyroid Cancer (as presented in (Francis et al., 2015)) in a publication released in 2018 (AAP, 2018).

American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE), American College of Endocrinology (Interspace) and Associazione Medici Endocrinology

These joint guidelines recommend the following:

- “Molecular testing should be considered to complement not replace cytologic evaluation, where the results are expected to influence clinical management. As a general rule, not recommended in nodules with established benign or malignant cytologic characteristics.”
- FNA is recommended for high ultrasound (US) risk lesions of ≥ 10 mm, intermediate risk lesions of ≥ 20 mm, and low risk lesions ≥ 20 mm and growing or with a risk history.
- FNA is not recommended for nodules that are functional on scintigraphy.
- Repeat FNA is recommended in benign nodules with suspicious clinical or US findings, a nondiagnostic initial FDA on a solid nodule, and nodules that become symptomatic or increase in volume by 50%. Pregnancy does not affect cytologic diagnostic criteria. Routine repeat FNA is generally not necessary.
- Nodules with a major diameter of < 5 mm should be monitored instead of biopsied.
- “Consider the detection of *BRAF* and *RET/PTC* and, possibly, *PAX8/PPARG* and *RAS* mutations if available.”
- There is no stance on gene expression classifiers for indeterminate nodules, due to insufficient evidence and limited follow-up.
- There is also insufficient evidence to take any stance on mutation testing to guide surgery decisions, except on mutations with a PPV approaching 100% for papillary thyroid carcinoma such as BRAFV600E (Gharib et al., 2016).

European Thyroid Association

The ETA states that molecular testing for *BRAF*, *RET/PTC* and possibly *PAX8*, *PPARG*, and *RAS* mutations may be considered for cytologically indeterminate lesions. The search for molecular markers in B-II class lesions is not recommended, although one member of the panel did not agree with this non-recommendation. A GEC test cannot be recommended to exclude malignancy to replace diagnostic surgery or close surveillance, although one member did not agree with this non-recommendation. The targeted NGS approach is considered the most promising, with larger panels potentially becoming a rule-in and rule-out test if $> 95\%$ negative predictive value can be reached. The ETA notes that molecular diagnostics may reduce completion thyroidectomies or other surgeries due to clearer assessments of an indeterminate lesion (Paschke et al., 2017).

European Society for Medical Oncology

ESMO remarks that preoperative FNA for cytology is “not required” for nodules 1 cm or smaller. ESMO states that FNA diagnosis “can be facilitated” by assessment of malignancy markers and molecular

Molecular Markers in Fine Needle Aspirates of the Thyroid

AHS - M2108

alterations. Specifically designed gene panels are “reportedly” useful for identifying malignancy with indeterminate samples (Filetti et al., 2019).

State and Federal Regulations, as applicable

Commercially available panels of molecular markers utilizing FNA specimens from the thyroid are currently considered to be a laboratory-developed tests (LTD) which are regulated by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) as high-complexity tests under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA). As an LTD, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has not approved or cleared these tests; however, FDA clearance or approval is not currently required for clinical use.

Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

Many labs have developed specific tests that they must validate and perform in house. These laboratory-developed tests (LDTs) are regulated by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) as high-complexity tests under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA '88). LDTs are not approved or cleared by the U. S. Food and Drug Administration; however, FDA clearance or approval is not currently required for clinical use.

Billing/Coding/Physician Documentation Information

This policy may apply to the following codes. Inclusion of a code in this section does not guarantee that it will be reimbursed. For further information on reimbursement guidelines, please see Administrative Policies on the Blue Cross Blue Shield of North Carolina web site at www.bcbsnc.com. They are listed in the Category Search on the Medical Policy search page.

Applicable service codes: 81210, 81401, 81445, 81455, 81479, 81546, 81599, 0018U, 0026U, 0204U, 0245U, 0287U

BCBSNC may request medical records for determination of medical necessity. When medical records are requested, letters of support and/or explanation are often useful, but are not sufficient documentation unless all specific information needed to make a medical necessity determination is included.

Scientific Background and Reference Sources

- AAP. (2018). Management Guidelines for Children With Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Pediatrics*, 142(6). <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2018-3063>
- Afirma. (2022b). NEW Afirma GSC + Xpression Atlas. <https://www.afirma.com/physicians/practice-resources/#a6>
- Afirma. (2022a). <https://www.afirma.com/physicians/why-afirma/#a1>
- Afirma. (2021a). Case Study: Genomic Analysis Identifies Medullary Thyroid Carcinoma. <https://www.afirma.com/physicians/practice-tools/newsletter/case-study-genomic-analysis-identifies-medullary-thyroid-carcinoma/>
- Afirma. (2021b). Clinical Validation of the Afirma Genomic Sequencing Classifier for Medullary Thyroid Cancer. <https://www.afirma.com/physicians/ata-2017/qa-6/>
- Angell, T. E., Wirth, L. J., Cabanillas, M. E., Shindo, M. L., Cibas, E. S., Babiarz, J. E., . . . Waguespack, S. G. (2019). Analytical and Clinical Validation of Expressed Variants and Fusions From the Whole Transcriptome of Thyroid FNA Samples. *Frontiers in Endocrinology*, 10, 612. Retrieved from <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fendo.2019.00612>
- ATA. (2021). 2021 American Thyroid Association Guidelines for Management of Patients with Anaplastic Thyroid Cancer. *Thyroid*, 31(3), 337-386. <https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2020.0944>
- Banizs, A. B., & Silverman, J. F. (2019). The utility of combined mutation analysis and microRNA classification in reclassifying cancer risk of cytologically indeterminate thyroid nodules. *Diagn Cytopathol*, 47(4), 268-274. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dc.24087>

Molecular Markers in Fine Needle Aspirates of the Thyroid

AHS - M2108

- Ciarletto, A. M., Narick, C., Malchoff, C. D., Massoll, N. A., Labourier, E., Haugh, K., . . . Kumar, G. (2021). Analytical and clinical validation of pairwise microRNA expression analysis to identify medullary thyroid cancer in thyroid fine-needle aspiration samples. *Cancer Cytopathol*, *129*(3), 239-249. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncy.22365>
- Cibas, E. S., & Ali, S. Z. (2009). The Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology. *American Journal of Clinical Pathology*, *132*(5), 658-665. <https://doi.org/10.1309/AJCPPHLWMI3JV4LA>
- Douglas, R. (2023, 01/24/2023). *Evaluation and management of thyroid nodules with indeterminate cytology*. <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/evaluation-and-management-of-thyroid-nodules-with-indeterminate-cytology>
- Endo, M., Nabhan, F., Porter, K., Roll, K., Shirley, L. A., Azaryan, I., . . . Sipos, J. A. (2019). Afirma Gene Sequencing Classifier Compared with Gene Expression Classifier in Indeterminate Thyroid Nodules. *Thyroid*, *29*(8), 1115-1124. <https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2018.0733>
- Filetti, S., Durante, C., Hartl, D., Leboulleux, S., Locati, L. D., Newbold, K., . . . Committee, t. E. G. (2019). Thyroid cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up†. *Annals of Oncology*, *30*(12), 1856-1883. <https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdz400> %J Annals of Oncology
- Francis, G. L., Waguespack, S. G., Bauer, A. J., Angelos, P., Benvenega, S., Cerutti, J. M., . . . Yamashita, S. (2015). Management Guidelines for Children with Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Thyroid*, *25*(7), 716-759. <https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2014.0460>
- Gharib, H., Papini, E., Garber, J. R., Duick, D. S., Harrell, R. M., Hegedüs, L., . . . Vitti, P. (2016). AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF CLINICAL ENDOCRINOLOGISTS, AMERICAN COLLEGE OF ENDOCRINOLOGY, AND ASSOCIAZIONE MEDICI ENDOCRINOLOGI MEDICAL GUIDELINES FOR CLINICAL PRACTICE FOR THE DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF THYROID NODULES – 2016 UPDATE. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4158/EP161208.GL>. <https://doi.org/i1530-891X-22-s1-1>
- Haugen, B. R., Alexander, E. K., Bible, K. C., Doherty, G. M., Mandel, S. J., Nikiforov, Y. E., . . . Wartofsky, L. (2016). 2015 American Thyroid Association Management Guidelines for Adult Patients with Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: The American Thyroid Association Guidelines Task Force on Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Thyroid*, *26*(1), 1-133. <https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2015.0020>
- Hodak, S. P., & Rosenthal, D. S. (2013). Information for clinicians: commercially available molecular diagnosis testing in the evaluation of thyroid nodule fine-needle aspiration specimens. *Thyroid*, *23*(2), 131-134. <https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2012.0320>
- Interpace. (2023). Retrieved from <https://thygenext-thyramir.com/>
- Jackson, S., Kumar, G., Banizs, A. B., Toney, N., Silverman, J. F., Narick, C. M., & Finkelstein, S. D. (2020). Incremental utility of expanded mutation panel when used in combination with microRNA classification in indeterminate thyroid nodules. *Diagn Cytopathol*, *48*(1), 43-52. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dc.24328>
- Jug, R. C., Datto, M. B., & Jiang, X. S. (2018). Molecular testing for indeterminate thyroid nodules: Performance of the Afirma gene expression classifier and ThyroSeq panel. *Cancer Cytopathol*, *126*(7), 471-480. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncy.21993>
- Krane, J. F., Cibas, E. S., Endo, M., Marqusee, E., Hu, M. I., Nasr, C. E., . . . Kloos, R. T. (2020). The Afirma Xpression Atlas for thyroid nodules and thyroid cancer metastases: Insights to inform clinical decision-making from a fine-needle aspiration sample. *Cancer Cytopathology*, *128*(7), 452-459. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncy.22300>
- Labourier, E., Shifrin, A., Busseniers, A. E., Lupo, M. A., Manganelli, M. L., Andruss, B., . . . Beaudenon-Huibregtse, S. (2015). Molecular Testing for miRNA, mRNA, and DNA on Fine-Needle Aspiration Improves the Preoperative Diagnosis of Thyroid Nodules With Indeterminate Cytology. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, *100*(7), 2743-2750. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2015-1158>
- Lithwick-Yanai, G., Dromi, N., Shtabsky, A., Morgenstern, S., Strenov, Y., Feinmesser, M., . . . Meiri, E. (2017). Multicentre validation of a microRNA-based assay for diagnosing indeterminate

Molecular Markers in Fine Needle Aspirates of the Thyroid

AHS - M2108

- thyroid nodules utilising fine needle aspirate smears. *J Clin Pathol*, 70(6), 500-507. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jclinpath-2016-204089>
- Lupo, M. A., Walts, A. E., Sistrunk, J. W., Giordano, T. J., Sadow, P. M., Massoll, N., . . . Bose, S. (2020). Multiplatform molecular test performance in indeterminate thyroid nodules. *Diagn Cytopathol*, 48(12), 1254-1264. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dc.24564>
- NCCN. (2022, 11/1/2022). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Thyroid Carcinoma*.
- NeoGenomics. (2022). NeoTYPE® Thyroid Profile. Retrieved from <https://neogenomics.com/test-menu/neotype-thyroid-profile>
- Nikiforov, Y. E., Carty, S. E., Chiose, S. I., Coyne, C., Duvvuri, U., Ferris, R. L., . . . Nikiforova, M. N. (2014). Highly accurate diagnosis of cancer in thyroid nodules with follicular neoplasm/suspicious for a follicular neoplasm cytology by ThyroSeq v2 next-generation sequencing assay. *Cancer*, 120(23), 3627-3634. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.29038>
- Nikiforov, Y. E., Otori, N. P., Hodak, S. P., Carty, S. E., LeBeau, S. O., Ferris, R. L., . . . Nikiforova, M. N. (2011). Impact of mutational testing on the diagnosis and management of patients with cytologically indeterminate thyroid nodules: a prospective analysis of 1056 FNA samples. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 96(11), 3390-3397. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2011-1469>
- Nikiforov, Y. E., Yip, L., & Nikiforova, M. N. (2013). New strategies in diagnosing cancer in thyroid nodules: impact of molecular markers. *Clin Cancer Res*, 19(9), 2283-2288. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.ccr-12-1253>
- Nikiforova, M. N., Mercurio, S., Wald, A. I., Barbi de Moura, M., Callenberg, K., Santana-Santos, L., . . . Nikiforov, Y. E. (2018). Analytical performance of the ThyroSeq v3 genomic classifier for cancer diagnosis in thyroid nodules. *Cancer*, 124(8), 1682-1690. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.31245>
- Paschke, R., Cantara, S., Crescenzi, A., Jarzab, B., Musholt, T. J., & Sobrinho Simoes, M. (2017). European Thyroid Association Guidelines regarding Thyroid Nodule Molecular Fine-Needle Aspiration Cytology Diagnostics. *Eur Thyroid J*, 6(3), 115-129. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000468519>
- Patel, K. N., Angell, T. E., Babiarz, J., & et al. (2018). Performance of a genomic sequencing classifier for the preoperative diagnosis of cytologically indeterminate thyroid nodules. *JAMA Surgery*, 153(9), 817-824. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamasurg.2018.1153>
- Randolph, G., Angell, Trevor, Babiarz, Joshua, Barth, Neil. (2017). *ABSTRACT: Clinical Validation of the Afirma Genomic Sequencing Classifier for Medullary Thyroid Cancer*. Paper presented at the 87th Annual Meeting of the American Thyroid Association.
- Ross, D. (2022, 6/7/2022). *Diagnostic approach to and treatment of thyroid nodules*. <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/diagnostic-approach-to-and-treatment-of-thyroid-nodules>
- Steward, D. L., Carty, S. E., Sippel, R. S., & et al. (2018). Performance of a multigene genomic classifier in thyroid nodules with indeterminate cytology: A prospective blinded multicenter study. *JAMA Oncology*. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2018.4616>
- ThyroSeq. (2023). <https://thyroseq.com/physicians/test-details/test-description>
- Vuong, H. G., Nguyen, T. P. X., Hassell, L. A., & Jung, C. K. (2021). Diagnostic performances of the Afirma Gene Sequencing Classifier in comparison with the Gene Expression Classifier: A meta-analysis. *Cancer Cytopathol*, 129(3), 182-189. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncy.22332>
- Wei, S., Veloski, C., Sharda, P., & Ehya, H. (2019). Performance of the Afirma genomic sequencing classifier versus gene expression classifier: An institutional experience. *Cancer Cytopathology*, 127(11), 720-724. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncy.22188>
- Xing, M., Haugen, B. R., & Schlumberger, M. (2013). Progress in molecular-based management of differentiated thyroid cancer. *Lancet*, 381(9871), 1058-1069. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(13\)60109-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(13)60109-9)

Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel 8/2019

Medical Director review 8/2019

Molecular Markers in Fine Needle Aspirates of the Thyroid

AHS - M2108

Medical Director review 4/2020

Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel 8/2020

Medical Director review 12/2020

Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel 8/2021

Medical Director review 4/2022

Medical Director review 4/2023

Policy Implementation/Update Information

- 1/1/2019 New policy developed. Molecular markers in fine needle aspirates of the thyroid is considered **medically necessary** for mutation analysis (Eg. BRAF V600E, RET/PTC, RAS, PAX8/PPAR) or the use of gene expression classifier (Eg. Afirma GEC) in fine-needle aspirates of the thyroid that are cytologically characterized as follicular cell neoplasm (FN) / suspicious for follicular neoplasm (SFN), atypia of undetermined significance (AUS) or follicular lesion of undetermined significance (FLUS) in adult patients (>18 years of age) being evaluated for thyroid carcinoma to assist in patient management decisions. Medical Director review 1/1/2019. Policy noticed 1/1/2019 for effective date 4/1/2019. (lpr)
- 6/11/19 Reviewed by Avalon 1st Quarter 2019 CAB. Added the following codes to Billing/Coding section: CPT 81445, PLA codes 0018U and 0026U. Deleted G9843. Medical Director review 5/2019. (lpr)
- 10/1/19 Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel review 8/231/19. No change to policy statement. Deleted coding table from Billing/Coding section. Medical Director review 8/2019. (lpr)
- 2/25/20 Corrected typo on date entered for CAP review in previous entry to 8/21/19. No change to policy statement. (lpr)
- 5/12/20 Reviewed by Avalon 1st Quarter 2020 CAB. Medical Director review 4/2020. Updated Covered and Not Covered sections with Bethesda criteria for clarity. No change to policy intent. Removed “E” from BRAF V600. Removed specific examples of Afirma and Rosetta tests. Added table of available tests. (lpr)
- 9/8/20 Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel review 8/19/2020. No change to policy statement. (lpr)
- 12/31/20 Deleted CPT code 81545 from Billing/Coding section effective 1/1/2021. (lpr)
- 7/1/21 Reviewed by Avalon 1st Quarter 2021 CAB. Medical Director review 4/2021. Under “When Not” covered statement 2: added nondiagnostic or unsatisfactory Bethesda I or benign Bethesda II reference. Updated Note 1 for clarity: added statement “except for genomic expression classifiers.” Table in Note 2 updated. Updated Policy Guidelines, Description and References. Added Related Policies section. Under Billing/Coding section: added CPT code 81546, PLA codes: 0204U, 0208U, 0245U. (lpr)
- 9/7/21 Specialty Matched Consultant Advisory Panel review 8/18/2021. No change to policy statement. (lpr)

Molecular Markers in Fine Needle Aspirates of the Thyroid

AHS - M2108

- 2/8/22 Reviewed by Avalon Q4 2021 CAB. Removed PLA code 0208U from Billing/Coding section. Medical Director review 1/2022. No change to policy statement. (lpr)
- 3/8/22 Updated description section, table of tests, policy guidelines and references. Added related policies section. Added CPT codes 81455 and 81546 to Billing/Coding section. Clarified Note 1 with statement “except for genomic expression classifiers.” No change to policy statement. (lpr)
- 6/30/22 Reviewed by Avalon Q1 2022 CAB. Medical Director review 4/2022. Added PLA code 0287U to Billing/Coding section effective 7/1/22. Deleted Notes 1&2 for removal of 50 gene limit for cancer screening. Added new note for 5 or more gene tests run on the same platform. Updated policy guidelines and added references. (lpr)
- 5/16/23 Reviewed by Avalon 1st Quarter 2023 CAB. Medical Director review 4/2023. Deleted related policies section. Edited “When covered and When not covered” sections for clarity. Updated the following sections: description, policy guidelines, references. (lpr)

Medical policy is not an authorization, certification, explanation of benefits or a contract. Benefits and eligibility are determined before medical guidelines and payment guidelines are applied. Benefits are determined by the group contract and subscriber certificate that is in effect at the time services are rendered. This document is solely provided for informational purposes only and is based on research of current medical literature and review of common medical practices in the treatment and diagnosis of disease. Medical practices and knowledge are constantly changing and BCBSNC reserves the right to review and revise its medical policies periodically.