

An independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association

Corporate Medical Policy

Microsatellite Instability and Tumor Mutational Burden Testing AHS-M2178

File Name: microsatellite_instability_and_tumor_mutational_burden_testing

Origination: 01/2022 **Last review:** 1/2024

Description of Procedure or Service

Tumor mutational burden (TMB) is the genetic characteristic of non-inherited mutations within tumor tissue, often reported as the total number of DNA mutations per one million bases (megabase). Original studies calculated TMB based on whole-exome sequencing and reported TMB as the number of mutations that exist within the exome. However, TMB testing has expanded to targeted gene sequencing panels that do not cover the entire exome. TMB may serve as a biomarker to identify patients likely to have a favorable response to immunotherapy, as high TMB levels correlate with objective response rates to immunotherapy in several different cancer types (Ritterhouse, 2019; C.Willis et al., 2019).

Microsatellites are short, repetitive segments of DNA that are highly prone to mutation. Microsatellite instability (MSI) in tumor DNA is defined as the presence of alternate sized repetitive DNA sequences that are not present in the corresponding germline DNA (Nojadeh, et al., 2018). Tumors with high microsatellite instability (MSI-H) are more immunogenic and may therefore respond to drugs that activate the immune system.

Related Policies:

AHS-M2030 Testing for Targeted Therapy of Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer AHS-M2146 General Genetic Testing, Somatic Disorders

***Note: This Medical Policy is complex and technical. For questions concerning the technical language and/or specific clinical indications for its use, please consult your physician.

Policy

BCBSNC will provide coverage for microsatellite instability and tumor mutational burden when it is determined to be medically necessary because the medical criteria and guidelines shown below are met.

Benefits Application

This medical policy relates only to the services or supplies described herein. Please refer to the Member's Benefit Booklet for availability of benefits. Member's benefits may vary according to benefit design; therefore member benefit language should be reviewed before applying the terms of this medical policy.

When Microsatellite Instability and Tumor Mutational Burden is covered

- 1. For individuals being considered for immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) targeted therapy, tissue -based tumor mutational burden (TMB) **and/or** microsatellite instability (MSI) testing (Note 1) for the solid tumors outlined in Note 2, is considered medically necessary.
- 2. When tissue-based testing is infeasible (i.e., quantity not sufficient for tissue-based test or invasive biopsy is medically contraindicated) for an individual being considered for ICI targeted therapy, liquid biopsy based TMB **and/or** MSI testing for the solid tumors outlined in Note 2 is considered medically necessary.

When Microsatellite Instability and Tumor Mutational Burden is not covered

TMB and/or MSI testing for all other tumors and in any other situations not listed above, is considered not medically necessary.

Note 1: NGS panels designed to provide a TMB score are allowed with no restrictions on the number of genes being tested, so long as the panel is designed for TMB assessment.

Note 2: Table of all solid tumors that will be allowed for TMB or MSI testing

Condition	TMB/MSI Targeted Therapy Indications
Ampullary adenocarcinoma	MSI/MMR and TMB testing are recommended for individuals with locally advanced/metastatic adenocarcinoma who are candidates for anti-cancer therapy. Pembrolizumab: Considered useful in certain circumstances as a targeted systemic first-line therapy for metastatic disease in MSI-H, dMMR, or TMB-H tumors for individuals with good or poor performance status (PS). Considered useful in certain circumstances as a targeted systemic subsequent therapy for disease progression in MSI-H, dMMR, or TMB-H tumors for individuals with good or poor PS. Dostarlimab-gxly: Considered useful in certain circumstances as a targeted systemic subsequent therapy for disease progression in MSI-H or dMMR tumors for individuals with good or poor PS Nivolumab + ipilimumab: Considered useful in certain circumstances as a targeted systemic first-line therapy for metastatic disease (intestinal type only) in MSI-H or dMMR tumors for individuals with good or poor performance status (PS). Considered useful in certain circumstances as a targeted systemic subsequent therapy for disease progression in MSI-H or dMMR tumors for individuals with good or poor PS.
Biliary tract cancers (gallbladder, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma	MSI/MMR and TMB testing are recommended for unresectable or metastatic biliary tract cancers (gallbladder, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma [CCA], extrahepatic CCA). Pembrolizumab: Considered useful in certain circumstances as a primary treatment for unresectable and metastatic disease for MSI-H/dMMR tumors. Considered useful in certain circumstances as a

	subsequent-line therapy for biliary tract cancers if there is disease progression for MSI-H/dMMR and TMB-H tumors.
	Dostarlimab-gxly: Considered useful in certain circumstances for as a subsequent-line therapy for biliary tract cancers if there is disease progression for MSI-H/dMMR tumors.
	Nivolumab + ipilimumab: Considered useful in certain circumstances as a primary treatment for unresectable and metastatic disease for TMB-H tumors and a subsequent-line therapy for biliary tract cancers if there is disease progression for TMB-H tumors.
Pana gangara (matastatia	Recommended to consider TMB or MSI testing to inform treatment.
Bone cancers (metastatic chondrosarcoma, chordoma, Ewing sarcoma, and osteosarcoma, NOT Giant Cell Tumor of Bone)	Pembrolizumab: Preferred regimen in MSI-H/dMMR and useful in certain situations in TMB-H tumors. Recommended for patients with unresectable or metastatic tumors who have progressed following prior treatment and who have no satisfactory alternative treatment options.
Breast cancer	Pembrolizumab: Treatment of patients with either unresectable or metastatic, MSI-H, or TMB-H breast tumors that have progressed following prior treatment and with no satisfactory alternative treatment options.
	Dostarlimab-gxly: Indicated for adult patients with MSI-H/dMMR unresectable or metastatic tumors or for TMB-H tumors that have progressed following prior treatment and with no satisfactory alternative treatment options.
	MSI testing is recommended for recurrent, progressive, or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, or adenosquamous carcinoma. TMB testing should be considered for Stage IVB or distant metastases.
Cervical cancers (squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, or adenosquamous carcinoma)	Pembrolizumab: Preferred second-line or subsequent therapy option for TMB-H, PD-L1 positive, or MSI-H/dMMR recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, or adenosquamous carcinoma.

Colon cancer	MSI/MMR testing advised in all newly diagnosed patients, as a workup in pedunculated or sessile colon polyps (adenoma), in colon cancer appropriate for resection (non-metastatic), in documented metachronous metastases by CT, MRI, and/or biopsy, and in suspected or proven metastatic synchronous adenocarcinoma (any T, any N, M1) if not already done. Stage II MSI-H patients may have a good prognosis and do not benefit from 5-FU adjuvant therapy. Adjuvant therapy should not be given to patients with low-risk stage II MSI-H tumors. MMR/MSI testing should be done during initial workup to help with the diagnosis of Lynch syndrome and inform treatment decision-making if adjuvant therapy is later indicated. Nivolumab ± ipilimumab or pembrolizumab (preferred): Recommend ed when followed by synchronous or staged colectomy and resection and/or local therapy of metastatic disease and in unresectable synchronous liver and/or lung metastases where MSI-H patients have received a previous adjuvant FOLFOX/CAPEOX within the past 12 months and in MSI-H patients that are and are not appropriate for intensive therapy. Nivolumab ± ipilimumab, dostarlimab-gxly, or pembrolizumab (preferred): As a continuum of care for advanced or metastatic disease, in MSI-H patients that received previous oxaliplatin based therapy without irinotecan, MSI-H patients with previous irinotecan-based therapy without oxaliplatin, MSI-H patients that received previous treatment with both oxaliplatin and irinotecan, and MSI-H patients that received previous therapy without either irinotecan or oxaliplatin.
Esophageal and Esophagogastric junction (EGJ) cancers	MSI/MMR recommended for locally advanced, recurrent, or metastatic esophageal and EGJ cancers in patients who are candidates for treatment with PD-1 inhibitors. Pembrolizumab: Treatment based on MSI-H or TMB-H, useful in certain circumstances as a second-line or subsequent therapy for unresectable, locally advanced, recurrent, or metastatic disease where local therapy is not indicated. Indicated for MSI-H tumors as a form of systemic therapy for non-nasopharyngeal cancers, including lip, oral cavity, oropharynx, hypopharynx, glottic larynx, supraglottic larynx, ethmoid sinus, and maxillary sinus. Pembrolizumab + nivolumab: Based on testing for MSI, MMR, PD-L1, or TMB-H. Dostarlimab-gxly: Indicated for MSI-H/dMMR tumors for systemic therapy for metastatic or locally advanced cancer where local therapy is not indicated.

Gastric cancers	Universal testing, no matter the specimen type, for MSI or MMR is recommended in all newly diagnosed patients and in unresectable locally advanced, locally recurrent, or metastatic disease if not previously performed. Pembrolizumab: Recommended as a second-line or subsequent therapy in locally advanced, recurrent, or metastatic disease where local therapy is not indicated in MSI-H or TMB-H tumors. Dostarlimab-gxly: Recommended for MSI-H or dMMR tumors.
Head and Neck Cancers	Pembrolizumab: Treatment based on MSI-H or TMB-H, useful in certain circumstances as a second-line or subsequent therapy for unresectable, locally advanced, recurrent, or metastatic disease where local therapy is not indicated.
Neuroendocrine/Adrenal cancers (Grade 3 neuroendocrine tumors, adrenocortical carcinoma, and for poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma/large or small cell)	TMB and MSI testing should be considered for adrenocortical carcinoma and poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma/large or small cell and TMB testing should be considered for well-differentiated Grade 3 neuroendocrine tumors. TMB and MSI testing are an appropriate evaluation when the tumor type is poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma, large or small cell carcinoma (other than the lung), or unknown primary (poorly differentiated). Pembrolizumab: Recommended for TMB-H, Grade 3, well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumors that are locally advanced/metastatic disease with favorable biology that have progressed following prior treatment and that have no satisfactory alternative options. Also recommended as a systemic therapy option in TMB-H or MSI-H tumors that are locally advanced, metastatic disease with unfavorable biology.
Occult primary cancers (cancer of unknown primary [CUP])	Suspected metastatic malignancy should undergo TMB determination or MSI/MMR testing during the workup of the tumor. Of note, the population of patients with MSI-H/dMMR occult primary tumors is low. Pembrolizumab: recommended for occult primary tumors that are MSI-H/dMMR or TMB-H. Dostarlimab-gxly: useful in certain circumstances for MSI-H/dMMR occult primary adenocarcinomas.
Ovarian cancers (epithelial ovarian, including less common ovarian cancers, low-grade serous carcinoma fallopian tube, primary peritoneal cancers)	TMB testing as a clinically indicated tumor molecular analysis in addition to NGS for BRCA1/2 mutations and other somatic mutations. TMB and MSI recommended to identify potential benefit from targeted therapeutics for patients with recurrent disease and rising CA-125 (regardless of prior chemotherapy status) in epithelial ovarian cancer/fallopian tube cancer/primary peritoneal cancer and for low-grade serous carcinoma. Pembrolizumab: Recommended as a recurrence therapy for epithelial ovarian (including less common ovarian cancers)/fallopian tube/primary peritoneal cancers when the tumors are MSI-H, dMMR

	solid, or TMB-H and have no satisfactory alternative treatment options and as a palliative recurrence therapy if there is MSI-H/dMMR or TMB-H for malignant germ cell/sex cord-stromal tumors.
	MSI testing is recommended in locally advanced disease when adenocarcinoma is confirmed, in metastatic disease when tumor tissue is available, and in tumors with recurrence after resection.
Pancreatic cancer (adenocarcinoma)	Pembrolizumab: Recommended as a useful first-line therapy in certain circumstances in metastatic disease for individuals with good or poor performance status (PS) only for MSI-H/dMMR/TMB-H tumors and useful as a preferred regimen for subsequent therapy in certain situations only for MSI-H/dMMR/TMMB-H tumors for locally advanced/metastatic disease and as a therapy for recurrent disease for individuals with both good and poor PS.
	Dostarlimab-gxly: Recommended as useful in certain circumstances as a subsequent therapy only for MSI-H/dMMR tumors for locally advanced/metastatic disease and a therapy for recurrent disease for individuals with both intermediate or poor PS.
	TMB is recommended to guide targeted therapy of recurrent and progressive disease for diffuse high-grade gliomas EXCEPT oligodendroglioma, <i>IDH</i> -mutant and 1p/19q codeleted or astrocytoma <i>IDH</i> -mutant.
Pediatric central nervous system cancers (high-grade gliomas EXCEPT oligodendroglioma)	Pembrolizumab or nivolumab: Recommended as a preferred regimen for recurrent or progressive disease for individuals with TMB-H tumors, as an "other recommended regimen" as a targeted adjuvant therapy for individuals under 3 years of age with TMB-H tumors, and as useful in certain circumstances as an adjuvant therapy for individuals with TMB-H tumors.
Penile cancer	Pembrolizumab: Recommended as a subsequent-line systemic therapy for metastatic/recurrent disease in MSI-H/dMMR tumors that have progressed following prior treatment and that have no satisfactory alternative treatment options.
Prostate cancers (castration-	TMB testing should be considered when CRPC imaging studies are positive for metastases. Tumor testing recommended in MSI-H or dMMR among patients with metastatic CRPC and may be considered in patients with regional or
resistant prostate cancer[CRPC], adenocarcinoma)	castration-naïve metastatic prostate cancer. TMB may be considered in patients with metastatic CRPC. Pembrolizumab: For MSI-H, dMMR, or TMB-H adenocarcinoma tumors, pembrolizumab is recommended only as a subsequent

	systemic therapy for individuals with metastatic CRPC whose cancer has progressed through prior docetaxel and a novel hormone therapy.
	Universal MSI/MMR testing is recommended in all newly diagnosed patients with rectal cancer. In addition, MSI/MMR testing is recommended as an initial workup in pedunculated polyps or sessile polyps (adenoma) with invasive cancer, rectal cancer appropriate for resection, and in suspected or proven metastatic synchronous adenocarcinoma (any T, any N, M1) if not previously done. Nivolumab ± ipilimumab or pembrolizumab (preferred):
Rectal cancers	Recommended as a neoadjuvant treatment for dMMR/MSI-H only resectable synchronous liver and/or lung only metastases when there is a clear circumferential resection margin (CRM) (by MRI) or involved CRM (by MRI), as a primary treatment in unresectable synchronous liver only and/or lung only metastases or medically inoperable tumors, and as a primary treatment in dMMR/MSI-H only unresectable metachronous metastases with previous adjuvant FOLFOX/CAPEOX within the past 12 months. Recommended as a continuum of care as a systemic therapy for advanced or metastatic disease in MSI-H patients either appropriate or not appropriate for intensive therapy.
	Nivolumab ± ipilimumab, dostarlimab-gxly, or pembrolizumab (preferred): Recommended for MSI-H patients with previous oxaliplatin based therapy without irinotecan, MSI-H patients with previous irinotecan-based therapy without oxaliplatin, MSI-H patients with previous treatment with both oxaliplatin and irinotecan, MSI-H patients with previous treatment without irinotecan or oxaliplatin, and in MSI-patients as a subsequent therapy following FOLFOX or CAPEOX ± bevacizumab in patients that did not receive irinotecan or oxaliplatin.
	MSI testing is recommended as a workup when the tumor is in the duodenum or the jejunum/ileum, as well as in metastatic and or recurring tumors such as metastatic adenocarcinoma and universal MMR or MSI testing is recommended in all patients newly diagnosed with small bowel adenocarcinoma.
Small bowel cancer (adenocarcinoma)	Nivolumab \pm ipilimumab or pembrolizumab: Recommended as an initial or a subsequent therapy in dMMR/MSI-H patients with advanced or metastatic disease who are both appropriate or not appropriate for intensive therapy.
	Nivolumab \pm ipilimumab, dostarlimab-gxly, or pembrolizumab: Recommended as an initial therapy for patients with prior oxaliplatin exposure in the adjuvant setting or contraindication.

Soft tissue sarcoma	Pembrolizumab: Recommended as a subsequent line of therapy for advanced/metastatic disease (regardless of soft tissue sarcoma subtype) for individual with MSI-H/dMMR/TMB-H tumors. Nivolumab ± ipilimumab: Recommended as a subsequent line of therapy for advanced/metastatic disease (regardless of soft tissue sarcoma sub-type) for individuals with MSI-H or dMMR tumors.
Testicular cancers (nonseminoma, metastatic germ cell tumors)	TMB and MSI testing are recommended as a third-line therapy in nonseminoma if there is progression after high-dose chemotherapy or other third-line therapies. Pembrolizumab: May be useful in certain circumstances as a third-line chemotherapy regimen for metastatic germ cell tumors when the tumor has a TMB-H or MSI-H status, regardless of prior high-dose chemotherapy status.
Thyroid cancer (anaplastic thyroid carcinoma)	ΓMB and MSI are recommended as diagnostic molecular testing for actionable mutations. In Stage IVC, as part of an aggressive therapy approach, MSI and TMB testing are recommended as molecular testing. MSI/dMMR should also be performed for actionable mutations in metastatic disease if these tests have not already been performed.
Thyroid cancers (papillary carcinoma, follicular carcinoma, and Hörthle cell carcinoma)	TMB or MSI are recommended for advanced, progressive, or threatening disease when tumor is structurally persistent/recurrent locoregional or distant metastatic disease that is not amenable to RAI therapy. Pembrolizumab: Patients with TMB-H status and both bone metastases and CNS metastases.
Thyroid cancer (medullary carcinoma)	Pembrolizumab: Patients with TMB-H tumors may benefit from treatment when a locoregional tumor is unresectable and asymptomatic, symptomatic, or progressive.
Uterine cancers (endometrial carcinoma, uterine sarcoma)	TMB and MSI testing should be included for predicting rare pantumor targeted therapy opportunities in uterine sarcoma. Pembrolizumab: In TMB-H or MSI-H/dMMR tumors, this is the preferred regimens as a biomarker-directed systemic therapy for endometrial carcinoma and uterine sarcoma.
Vulvar cancer (squamous cell carcinoma)	MSI and TMB testing may be considered for treatment planning purposes in patients with recurrent, progressive, or metastatic disease. Pembrolizumab: TMB to be used as a biomarker for second-line treatment with this drug.

MSI-H (MSI-HIGH; MSI-POSITIVE); NCCN DEFINES TMB-H AS A TMB VALUE OF ≥10 MUTATIONS/MEGABASE

Policy Guidelines

Tumor mutational burden (TMB) is an emerging biomarker associated with predicting the response to immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs)— therapies that have made significant progress in helping to treat

certain advanced cancers. ICIs work by releasing the brakes on the immune system's antitumor response and ICI therapy has proven most effective on tumor types with a high TMB, whereby a high TMB value indicates better treatment outcomes (Yarchoan, et al., 2017).

Clinical biomarkers are widely used for making personalized and actionable decisions for cancer treatment. Many mutations result in production of numerous altered peptides—the more mutations present, the larger the number of altered peptides being produced. A subset of these peptides is expressed and processed by the major histocompatibility complex, resulting in neoantigens. These neoantigens can be recognized by the immune system to generate an antitumor response. The recognition of these neoantigens is thought to be largely stochastic and a higher number of DNA mutations means a higher number of candidate peptides being produced, resulting in a greater likelihood of these neoantigens being recognized by the immune system (Ritterhouse, 2019; Yarchoan et al., 2019).

This phenomenon is observed in patients with melanoma, non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC), small cell lung cancer, bladder cancer, colorectal cancer, microsatellite instability cancers, and pan-tumors who are on inhibitors of programmed cell death protein (PD-1) and the ligand for programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-L1) (PD-L1). High TMB has also been associated with improved outcomes in patients on a combination of PD-1/PD-L1 and cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (CTLA-4) inhibitors (Hellmann, Callahan, et al., 2018; Hellmann, Nathanson, et al., 2018; Le et al., 2015; Merino et al., 2020; Rizvi et al., 2015; Snyder et al., 2014; Van Allen et al., 2015).

Originally, TMB was measured with whole-exome sequencing (WES). In theory, WES is the best measure of TMB, as it covers every coding sequence within the tumor, ensuring that every mutation contributes to the TMB score. Recently, however, studies have shown that TMB measured using targeted panels which analyze mutations across specific genes (rather than the whole exome) correlates well with TMB measured by WES (Chalmers et al., 2017; Quy et al., 2019; Wu, et al., 2019). WES method has limited clinical utility as it is considered costly, has a high turnaround time (roughly six-to eight-week sequencing period), and is difficult for many clinical laboratories to use for routine patient care (Ritterhouse, 2019). Hence, targeted next generation sequencing (NGS)-based panels that focus analysis on a subset of genes implicated in cancer biology offer several advantages for TMB estimation (Garofalo et al., 2016).

There are FDA-approved products for calculating TMB include the FoundationOne CDx[™] (F1CDx) assay and the FoundationOne Liquid CDx assay (Foundation Medicine Inc.) (FDA, 2017c, 2020b), as well as an additional FDA 510(k) authorized assay, MSK-IMPACT (Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center) (FDA, 2017a, 2017b). These tests, referred to collectively as comprehensive genomic profiling (CGP), can identify all types of "molecular alterations (i.e., single nucleotide variants, small and large insertion-deletion alterations, copy number alterations, and structural variants) in cancer-related genes, as well as genomic signatures such as microsatellite instability (MSI), loss of heterozygosity [LOH], and TMB" (Klempner et al., 2020). Studies show that TMB calculated from CGP has high concordance with TMB measured from WES. On June 16, 2020, the FDA approved pembrolizumab for the treatment of adult and pediatric patients with a TMB value of greater than 10 mutations per megabase as determined by the FoundationOne CDx[™] assay (FDA, 2020a).

Microsatellites are short, repetitive segments of DNA that are highly prone to mutation. The presence of alternate sized repetitive DNA sequences that are not present in the corresponding germline DNA is defined as microsatellite instability (MSI) (Nojadeh et al., 2018). When a tumor has a high degree of microsatellite instability (MSI-H), it is more immunogenic and thus may respond to drugs that activate the immune system, such as ICIs. (Zhao, et al., 2019).

The National Cancer Institute (NCI) recommends two mononucleotide repeats (BAT-25 and BAT-26) and three dinucleotide repeats (D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250) as the standard sites/markers in panels for MSI testing (Boland et al., 1998). Per the NCI guidelines, when a tumor shows instability in two or more of the five markers, it is defined as MSI-H. However, this definition may vary by source and markers used (NCI, n.d.). When only a single marker shows instability, tumors may be classified as low-frequency MSI

(MSI-L) and tumors lacking any instability are often classified as microsatellite stable (MSS) (Boland et al., 1998).

Microsatellite instability has been identified in many cancer types, with the highest prevalence in uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, colon adenocarcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, and rectal adenocarcinoma. In studies by Bonneville et al. (2017) and Zhao et al. (2019), MSI was undetectable (or at the borderline of detection) in 12 out of the 39 cancer types (Bonneville et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2019).

Proprietary Testing

Caris MI Tumor Seek

Caris Life Sciences has developed MI Tumor SeekTM, an NGS-based tumor profiling service that combines WES analysis of DNA for mutations, copy number alterations, insertions/deletions, and genomic signatures (MSI, TMB, and LOH) with Whole Transcriptome Sequencing (WTS) analysis for RNA fusions and variant transcripts. WES covers about 22,000 genes with 200-500x depth of coverage, while WTS covers about 22,000 genes with 60 million reads/sample. DNA and RNA are isolated from formalin-fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tumor tissue "with a minimum of 20% malignant origin for DNA and 10% malignant origin for RNA. Needle biopsy is also acceptable (4-6 cores)" (Caris Life Sciences, 2020, 2023).

Foundation One CDxTM

FoundationOne CDxTM is a single-site assay that is "a next generation sequencing based in vitro diagnostic device for detection of substitutions, insertion and deletion alterations (indels) and copy number alterations (CNAs) in 324 genes and select gene rearrangements, as well as genomic signatures including microsatellite instability (MSI) and tumor mutational burden (TMB) using DNA isolated from formalin-fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens." It is intended for use by health care professionals as a companion diagnostic to identify patients with solid malignant neoplasms who may benefit from treatment with targeted ICIs (FDA, 2017c).

Foundation One Liquid CDx

The FoundationOne Liquid CDx assay uses circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) that is isolated from plasma from patients with solid malignant neoplasms. The assay uses a "single DNA extraction method to obtain cfDNA from plasma from whole blood. Extracted cfDNA undergoes whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture of 324 cancer-related genes. All coding exons of 309 genes are targeted; select intronic or non-coding regions are targeted in BRCA1 and BRCA2 ... Hybrid-capture selected libraries are sequenced with deep coverage using the NovaSeq® 6000 platform. Sequence data are processed using a custom analysis pipeline designed to detect genomic alterations, including base substitutions and indels in 311 genes, and copy number variants and genomic rearrangements in BRCA1 and BRCA2. A subset of targeted regions in 75 genes is baited for increased sensitivity" (FDA, 2020b).

Guardant360 TissueNext

Guardant Health offers Guardant360® CDx, a liquid biopsy that provides comprehensive genomic results from a simple blood draw. This test "uses targeted high throughput hybridization-based capture technology for detection of single nucleotide variants (SNVs), insertions and deletions (indels) in 55 genes, copy number amplifications (CNAs) in two (2) genes, and fusions in four (4) genes. Guardant360® CDx utilizes circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) from plasma of peripheral whole blood" and is an FDA approved liquid biopsy that can be used for tumor mutation profiling across all solid cancers and as a companion diagnostic to identify NSCLC patients who may benefit from Tagrisso® (ealthinib), RYBREVANT™ (amivantamab-vmjw), or LUMAKRAS™ (sotorasib). To compliment Guardant360 CDx, Guardant Health also offers Guardant360 TissueNext. Guardant360 TissueNext assesses TMB either from an FFPE

tumor specimen or from a blood sample initially processed with Guardant360 CDx. If an actionable biomarker is found in a Guardant360 CDx sample, it is then processed with the Guardant360 TissueNext assay (FDA, 2021; Guardant, 2023a, 2023b).

MSK-IMPACT

The MSK-IMPACT assay is a single-site assay performed at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center and is designed to compare two samples from a single patient—a normal specimen and a specimen from a solid malignant neoplasm—allowing the assay to detect tumor gene alterations in a broad multi gene panel (FDA, 2017a). MSK-IMPACT analyzes 505 genes chosen for their critical role in the development and behavior of tumors. To "take full advantage of MSK-IMPACT, MSK doctors and researchers developed a knowledge base called OncoKB. This system includes information about the clinical and biological effects of more than 4,000 genomic changes. That information is based on public databases, scientific literature, and clinical guidelines" (MSKCC, 2023). The assay is intended to provide information on both somatic mutations and microsatellite instability and is not conclusive or prescriptive for labeled use of any specific therapeutic product (FDA, 2017a).

QIAseq TMB

Qiagen offers QIAseq Tumor Mutational Burden Panels. These new panels collectively create a comprehensive profile of TMB and MSI status by "achieving high analytical sensitivity, with lower false and negative rates, while still maintaining >95% correlation with whole exome datasets." The QIAseq TMB Panel covers 486 genes and can be boosted to add 27 MSI markers, with sample preparation coming from FFPE of solid tumors, patient's plasma/serum, fresh or frozen tissue, or from cell lines in a research setting. This assay has been shown to correlate well with WES and established TMB panels. It uses enzymatic fragmentation for easy workflow, employs robust analysis modules, and incorporates unique molecular indices to correct for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and sequencing errors (Qiagen, 2023).

TruSight Oncology 500

Illumina offers the TruSight Oncology 500 (formerly Illumina TSO500), a NGS assay that enables inhouse CGP of tumor samples, supports the identification of relevant DNA and RNA variants implicated in various solid tumor types, and accurately measure current immune-oncology biomarkers: MSI and TMB. This assay assesses both DNA (523 genes) and RNA (55 genes) for assessment of all variant types in addition to MSI and TMB. The TruSight Oncology 500 assay is intended for multiple solid tumor types and detects CNVs, gene fusions, insertions-deletions, single nucleotide variants, and transcript variants. It provides stealth oncology biomarker coverage, including biomarkers of TMB and MSI and is inclusive of human leukocyte antigen (HLA) regions, DNA polymerase epsilon catalytic subunit isoform b (POLE1) and DNA polymerase delta catalytic subunit (POLD1) (Illumina, 2023).

Analytical Validity

Wu et al. (2019) noted that targeted NGS panels often use correlation (R²) between panel- and WES- based TMB to validate TMB estimation, which can be distorted by cases where a tumor within a certain cancer type has a relatively ultra-high TMB. Thus, these authors proposed using accuracy (the proportion of cases correctly identified as TMB-high or TMB-low using panel based TMB) as a more robust indicator of panel performance, suggesting that accuracy and cancer type individualization are key factors to consider when designing panels for TMB estimation. For their study, the authors examined the TMB estimation from five available NGS panels for TMB determination (F1CDx, MSK-IMPACT, Illumina TSO500 [now TruSight Oncology 500], Oncomine TML, QIAseq TMB) to compare correlation to accuracy. F1CDx seemed to accurately assess TMB (R²≥0.75) in at least 24 out of 33 cancer types. However, when the cutoff point for high TMB was defined as the top 20% in each cancer type, the accuracy within these 24 cancer types ranged largely (56-99%), with only 7 cancer types having satisfactory accuracy. These results indicate that F1CDx-based TMB estimation is only reliable in certain cancer types (cervical squamous-cell carcinoma

and endocervical adenocarcinoma, colon adenocarcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, lung adenocarcinoma, skin cutaneous melanoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, and uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma). In the other 17 cancer types, correlation overestimated TMB status misclassifying a considerable number of TMB-low patients as TMB-high. This overestimation by correlation occurs because correlation is vulnerable to distortion by the common presence of cases with relatively ultra-high TMB within each cancer type. When removing cases with these relatively ultra-high TMB (top 5% within a particular cancer type), a dramatic decline in correlation between F1CDx- and WES-based TMB was observed in half of the 24 cancer types. In contrast, removing the top 5% of cases in each cancer type did not, in general, affect the accuracy within these 24 cancer types. Accuracy was also superior to correlation in the other four NGS panels examined. The authors conclude that the five available NGS panels can assess TMB accurately only in the 7 cancer types aforementioned and note that for relatively ultra-high TMB, correlation is an unreliable evaluation of panel based TMB estimation performance in most cancer types. Instead, they find that accuracy is a superior index in this situation. They conclude that individualized panels by cancer type might be a better strategy to guarantee the most robust TMB estimation and provide better power in detecting the predictive function of TMB in these cancer types (Wu et al., 2019).

J. Willis et al. (2019) aimed to evaluate the validity of cell-free (cf) DNA to detect microsatellite instability (MSI). The authors validated the Guardant360 MSI detection with 1145 cf-DNA samples and further investigated the landscape of cf-DNA based MSI across 28459 plasma samples. Circulating tumor (ct, used as a proxy for cf-DNA) MSI assessment was compared to current standard of care tissue testing (a combination of immunohistochemistry, PCR, NGS). 949 patients were considered unique and evaluable. The ctDNA method detected MSI-high values (82% accuracy) in 71 of 82 patients. 863 of 867 patients reported as MSI-stable (99.5% accuracy) for a total of 98.4% accuracy amongst all MSI-reported groups. The positive predictive value was found to be 95%. Finally, the authors examined the clinical outcomes of 16 patients with MSI-H gastric cancer that were treated with immunotherapy. The authors found that 10 of 16 patients achieved "complete or partial remission with sustained clinical benefit" after being treated with immunotherapy (J. Willis et al., 2019).

Georgiadis et al. (2019) aimed to validate a noninvasive approach for detection of MSI and tumor mutational burden (TMB). The authors used "a hybrid-capture—based 98-kb pan-cancer gene panel, including targeted microsatellite regions" as well as a "novel peak-finding algorithm...established to identify rare MSI frameshift alleles in cell-free DNA". The authors evaluated 163 healthy patients with this assay, identifying a specificity of 99.4% (one false positive, which was considered a lower bound by the authors). The authors also evaluated 23 MSI-H cases (from patients with metastatic cancers) and 6 MSI-stable cases with the algorithm. Using cf-DNA, the algorithm detected MSI in 18 of the 23 MSI-H samples (78.3%) and correctly identified all 6 of the MSI-stable cases. Further, this approach was also applied to TMB (using a cutoff of 51 mutations/Mbp), of which the algorithm correctly detected 10 of the 15 samples identified as TMB-High (67%) (Georgiadis et al., 2019).

Woodhouse et al. (2020) evaluated the analytical performance of FoundationOne Liquid CDx assay to detect genomic alterations in cancer patients. The assay was evaluated across more than 30 different cancer types in over 300 genes and greater than 30,000 gene variants. "Results demonstrated a 95% limit of detection of 0.40% variant allele fraction for select substitutions and insertions/deletions, 0.37% variant allele fraction for select rearrangements, 21.7% tumor fraction (Marabelle, Le, et al.) for copy number amplifications, and 30.4% TF for copy number losses. The false positive variant rate was 0.013% (approximately 1 in 8,000). Reproducibility of variant calling was 99.59% (Woodhouse et al., 2020)." In comparison to in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry, FoundationOne had an overall 96.3% positive percent agreement and >99.9% negative percent agreement. "These study results demonstrate that FoundationOne Liquid CDx accurately and reproducibly detects the major types of genomic alterations in addition to complex biomarkers such as microsatellite instability, blood tumor mutational burden, and tumor fraction" (Woodhouse et al., 2020).

Using data from the phase III MYSTIC trial, Si et al. (2021) validated the use of blood TMB (bTMB) using circulating cell-free tumor DNA (ctDNA) through comparisons with TMB measurements from tumor tissue (tTMB). Patients with metastatic NSCLC were treated either with first-line durvalumab (anti-PD-L1 antibody) ± tremelimumab (anticytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated antigen-4 antibody) or chemotherapy, then bTMB and tTMB were evaluated using the GuardantOMNI and the FoundationOne CDx assay, respectively. To identify the optimal bTMB cutoff, the authors used a Cox proportional hazards model and minimal p value cross-validation. In the majority of patients in the MYSTIC study, somatic mutations were detected in ctDNA extracted from plasma samples, which allowed for the subsequent calculation of bTMB. The authors found that the success rate for obtaining valid TMB scores was higher for bTMB (81%) than for tTMB (63%) and that with minimal p value cross-validation analysis, they suggest a bTMB ≥20 mutations per megabase (mut/Mb) as the optimal cutoff for clinical benefit with durvalumab + tremelimumab. Overall, their study demonstrated that use of bTMB from plasma samples was feasible and provided accurate and reproducible TMB detection using the GuardantOMNI ctDNA platform. They also state that using "the new bTMB algorithm and an optimal bTMB cutoff of ≥20 mut/Mb, high bTMB was predictive of clinical benefit with durvalumab + tremelimumab versus chemotherapy" (Si et al., 2021).

Clinical Utility and Validity

Overman et al. (2017) examined MSI-H/dMMR colorectal cancer patient response to nivolumab, a PD-1 ICI. In this phase 2 trial, the authors assessed adult patients with histologically confirmed recurrent or mCRC locally assessed as dMMR/MSI-H who had progressed either while still on or after, or who had been intolerant of, at least one prior line of treatment, including fluoropyrimidine and oxaliplatin or irinotecan. The primary endpoint of this study was investigator-assessed ORR. This study ran from March 12, 2014 to March 16, 2016 and included 74 patients, most of whom (54.1%), had received more than three prior therapies. Twelve months following initiation of nivolumab treatment, 31.1% (23) of the patients had an investigator-assessed ORR, which 68.9% of the patients had disease control for more than 12 weeks. The median duration of response was not reached, as all responders were alive and 8 of them had responses greater than 12 months. Twenty-three of the patients died during the study, though none of the deaths were considered treatment related. The authors concluded that nivolumab provided durable responses and disease control in dMMR/MSI-H patients that had previously received other forms of treatment for their colorectal cancer and they also note that nivolumab is a relevant treatment option for these patients (Overman et al., 2017).

Hellmann, Ciuleanu, et al. (2018) conducted an open-label, multipart, phase 3 trial to examine progression-free survival in non-small-cell lung cancer patients with a high TMB (TMB-H) that were being treated with nivolumab plus ipilimumab or with chemotherapy. TMB was determined by the FoundationOne CDxTM assay. Here, they found that progression-free survival in TMB-H patients was significantly longer with nivolumab plus ipilimumab (42.6%) compared with chemotherapy (13.2%). This discovery was found to be accurate irrespective of PD-L1 expression level and validated that a treatment of nivolumab and ipilimumab for non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) elicited a favorable outcome for select patients, as well as determining that tumor mutational burden was an important biomarker for patient selection when it comes to drug therapy (Hellmann, Ciuleanu, et al., 2018).

To examine the association between TMB and clinical response to ICIs in select cancer types, Samstein et al. (2019) analyzed the clinical and genomic data of 1,662 advanced cancer patients treated with ICI compared to 5,371 patients not treated with ICI. Tumors from these patients underwent targeted NGS with MSK-IMPACT. The authors found that among all patients, higher somatic TMB (highest 20% in each cancer type, consistent with many MSI-high colorectal tumors receiving ICI treatment) was associated with better overall survival. However, the TMB cutoffs associated with improved survival varied markedly between the different cancer types studied, suggesting that while TMB is associated with improved survival in patients receiving ICI across a variety of cancer types, there may not be a single, universal definition of high TMB (Samstein et al., 2019).

Ready et al. (2019) conducted an open-label phase II trial to evaluate the efficacy and safety of nivolumab plus low-dose ipilimumab as first-line treatment of advanced/metastatic NSCLC and assessed the treatment efficacy associated with PD-L1 expression and TMB. For this trial, "the primary end point was objective response rate (ORR) in patients with 1% or more and less than 1% tumor PD-L1 expression. Efficacy on the basis of TMB (FoundationOne CDxTM assay) was a secondary end point." They found that regardless of PD-L1 expression, ORRs were higher in TMB-H patients versus patients with tumors below the threshold for TMB-H status and progression-free survival was longer in patients with TMB-H. They concluded that "nivolumab plus low-dose ipilimumab was effective and tolerable as a first-line treatment of advanced/metastatic NSCLC" and a high TMB status was associated with both improved response and prolonged progression-free survival irrespective of PD-L1 status. This identifies TMB-H as a potentially relevant cutoff in the assessment of TMB as a biomarker for first line nivolumab plus ipilimumab (Ready et al., 2019).

A comprehensive study by Singal et al. (2019) examined the electronic health records (EHR) of 4064 individuals with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) from 275 different oncology practices to explore the associations between tumor genomics and patient characteristics with clinical outcome. The authors note that 21.4% of these individuals had a mutation in *EGFR*, *ALK*, *or ROS1* and that patients with driver mutations who received targeted therapies had significantly improved overall survival times than individuals who did not receive targeted therapies (median of 18.6 versus 11.4 months, respectively). Moreover, a TMB of 20 or higher was associated with improved overall survival for patients on PD-L1-targeted therapy compared to patients with a TMB less than 20. TMBs measure the quality of a mutation in a tumor, suggesting whether a patient will benefit from immunology-based cancer therapies or not. The authors concluded that similar associations from previous research were replicated "between clinical and genomic characteristics, between driver mutations and response to targeted therapy, and between TMB and response to immunotherapy" (Singal et al., 2019).

Yarchoan et al. (2019) examined the relationship between the expression of PD-L1, a widely used biomarker for selecting patients for ICI, and TMB across the entire spectrum of ICI-responsive human cancers. They sought to use these predictive biomarkers to provide a broad definition to the immunologic subtypes of cancers and identify opportunities for the development of therapeutics. Their clinical cohort contained 9,887 unique clinical samples with paired CGP and PD-L1 expression obtained during standard clinical care. They found that 15.2% of samples had a positive expression of PD-L1 and that the highest occurrence of PD-L1 positivity occurred in thymic cancer and diffuse large B cell lymphoma, while there were no adenoid cystic or appendiceal samples with positive PD-L1. A total 3.6% of sample specimens were identified as having a high PD-L1 positivity (defined as greater than 50% of tumor cells staining positive). In addition, the median tumor mutational burden for all specimens was 3.48 mutations per megabase. One group of sampled tumors (16.4% of the cancers) had a TMB of greater than 10 mutations per megabase and 7.3% of tumors analyzed had a TMB of more than 20 mutations per megabase, indicating that the overall TMB profiles were comparable to prior cancer studies of a similar nature (including whole-exome studies) that estimated TMB biomarkers in solid tumors. This study found a relative independence of PD-L1 expression and TMB, and that the biomarkers were important indicators of patient response to ICI therapy. The study also defined which biomarkers may help identify future therapies through their ability to segment and profile different tumor types. In conclusion, they found that PD-L1 and TMB status may help determine whether the use of ICI therapy is indicated as well as pinpoint tumor types that would demonstrate a beneficial response to immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy (Yarchoan et al., 2019).

Alborelli et al. (2020) investigated the predictive power of TMB for patients treated with ICIs. Seventy-six NSCLC patients treated with ICIs were included and TMB was evaluated with the Oncomine TM Tumor Mutational Load (TML) sequencing assay. Patients were separated into cohorts of "durable clinical benefit" (DCB) or "no durable benefit" (NDB). TMB was found to be higher in the DCB cohort (median TMB of 8.5 mutations / Mb compared to 6 mutations / Mb in NDB). Sixty-four percent of patients in the highest one third of TMB were responders, compared to 33% and 29% in the middle and lowest thirds, respectively. TMB-H patients were also found to have higher progression free survival and overall

survival. Overall, the authors concluded that the TML panel was an effective tool to stratify patients for ICI treatment and suggested that "a combination of biomarkers might maximize the predictive precision for patient stratification". Further, the authors remarked that their data "supports TMB evaluation through targeted NGS in NSCLC patient samples as a tool to predict response to ICI therapy" (Alborelli et al., 2020).

Marabelle, Fakih, et al. (2020) prospectively explored the association of a high tumor mutational burden with outcomes in ten tumor-type-specific cohorts from the phase 2 KEYNOTE-158 study. The study assessed use of the anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody pembrolizumab in patients with select, previously treated, advanced solid tumors. Patients for this study were from 81 academic facilities across 21 countries in Africa, the Americas, Asia, and Europe, were over 18 years of age, and had an established tumor of anal, biliary, cervical, endometrial, mesothelioma, neuroendocrine, salivary, small-cell lung, thyroid, or vulvar origin. In addition, patients showed continued tumor progression or an inability to tolerate standard therapy and continued measurable disease (based on the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors). The treatment course for this study was pembrolizumab 200 mg intravenously every three weeks for up to thirty-five cycles, with tissue TMB assessed in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumor samples using the FoundationOne CDx assay. The authors report that 13% of the participants showed a high number of tumor mutations (≥ 10 mutations per megabase) while 87% of the participants had a TMB status lower than this threshold. From this study, they found that a high TMB status characterized a small group of patients who might have a beneficial response to pembrolizumab therapy; those with previously treated recurrent or advanced solid tumors. As such, tissue TMB may be both a "novel and useful predictive biomarker" for therapy indications (Marabelle, Fakih, et al., 2020).

Data from the KEYNOTE-158 study was also analyzed by to examine the efficacy of pembrolizumab as an ICI treatment for MSI-H.dMMR cancer. The authors examined the efficacy of pembrolizumab in patients with previously treated, advanced noncolorectal MSI-H/DMMR cancer from the phase II KEYNOTE-158 study. In the 233 enrolled patients, 27 tumor types were represented (endometrial, gastric, cholangiocarcinoma, and pancreatic cancers were the most common). ORR was 34.3%, median PFS was 4.1 months, and median OS was 23.5 months. Adverse, treatment-related events occurred in 64.8% of patients (151) and 14.6% (34) patients had grade 3 to 5 treatment-related fatal adverse events. They report that pembrolizumab is clinically beneficial in patients with previously treated, unresectable or metastatic MSI-H/dMMR noncolorectal cancer and that toxicity of pembrolizumab monotherapy in these patients was consistent with previous data (Marabelle, Le, et al., 2020).

A study by Stahler et al. (2020) explored the ORR, PFS, and OS in the FIRE-3 clinical trial on metastatic colorectal cancer patients who were identified by the FoundationOne CDx panel to have single-nucleotide variants (SNVs), copy number alterations, TMB-H, or MSI-H tumors. Of the 752 patients in the FIRE-3 trial, 373 provided material for this specific analysis. They found that MSI-H (30%) and TMB-H (17.3%) tumors were enriched by FBXW7 mutations a frequent SNV found in the analysis. The authors found that "RAS, BRAF V600E and SMAD4 mutations were identified as poor prognostic biomarkers in patients of the FIRE-3 trial, whereas improved outcome was observed for BRAF non-V600E mutation. SMAD4 mutation might provide predictive relevance for cetuximab efficacy. MSI-H tumours showed numerically lower ORR, OS and PFS" (Stahler et al., 2020).

While ICIs are highly effective in patients with MSI/dMMR metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC), Cohen et al. (2020) predicted that the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) 1.1 criteria was underestimating the response to ICIs due to the pseudoprogression phenomenon. Using data from the GERCOR NIPICOL phase II study, the authors aimed to evaluate the frequency of pseudoprogressions in patients with MSI/dMMR mCRC that were treated with nivolumab and ipilimumab. Nivolumab was given to MSI/dMMR mCRC patients previously treated with fluoropyrimidines, oxaliplatin, and irinotecan with/without targeted therapies. The primary endpoint of the study was disease control rate at 12 weeks according to RECIST 1.1 and iRECIST by central review. Fifty-seven patients were included in the study, with 48% having received ≥3 prior lines of chemotherapy, 18% with *BRAF* mutations, and 56% with Lynch syndrome-related cancer. Of these 57 patients, 7 discontinued due to adverse events and one

died due to a treatment-related adverse events (TRAE). The authors found that at 12 weeks, the disease control rate was 86% with RECIST 1.1 and 87.7% with iRECIST and 2 pseudoprogressions were observed (one at week 6, one at week 36). These two pseudoprogressions represented 18% of patients with disease progression per RECIST 1.1 criteria. The 12-month PFS rate was 72.9% with RECIST 1.1 and 76.5% with iRECIST and the 12-month OS rate was 84%. The ORR was 59.7% with both criteria. The authors concluded that pseudoprogression is rare in patients with MSI/dMMR mCRC who are treated with nivolumab and ipilimumab and that this combined ICI therapy confirms impressive disease control rate and survival outcomes in these MSI/dMMR patients (Cohen et al., 2020).

Andre et al. (2020) examined the efficacy of first-line pembrolizumab therapy as compared to first-line chemotherapy therapy for dMMR/MSI-H advanced or metastatic colorectal cancer. In this phase 3, open-label clinical trial, 307 patients with metastatic MSI/dMMR colorectal cancer who had not received prior cancer treatment were randomly assigned to pembrolizumab or chemotherapy as a primary treatment and PFS and OS were assessed. Patients that received chemotherapy were able to cross over to pembrolizumab therapy if their disease progressed. The authors found that pembrolizumab treatment in MSI-H/dMMR colorectal cancer patients was superior to chemotherapy with respect to PFS. At the time of cutoff, 56 members of the pembrolizumab group and 69 chemotherapy group patients had died. At the time of the report, OS data were still evolving (they report that 66% of required events had occurred). An overall response (complete or partial) was observed in 43.8% of pembrolizumab patients as compared to only 33.1% of chemotherapy patients. Among those patients with an overall response, 83% of the pembrolizumab group, as compared to just 35% of the chemotherapy group, had an ongoing response at 24 months. TRAEs occurred in just 22% of pembrolizumab patients as compared to 66% of chemotherapy patients (including one death). The authors conclude that pembrolizumab is superior to chemotherapy as a first-line therapy in MSI-H/dMMR metastatic colorectal cancer, with fewer TRAE (Andre et al., 2020).

Wei et al. (2022) evaluated Illumina's TruSight Oncology 500 (TSO500) Assay for its ability to assess tumor mutational burden and the clinical utility of predicting response to pembrolizumab. Using samples collected from approximately 300 patients with "at least twelve different types of advanced solid tumors enrolled in eight clinical trials of pembrolizumab monotherapy," and using FoundationOneCDx and whole exome sequencing (WES) as reference methods for TMB, the researchers found that the TSO500 was able to properly classify the status of high tumor mutational burden, a reproducible finding with subsequent use of the assay. The researchers also found that "similar to the validated and FDA-approved TMB cutpoint of 10 mut/Mb assessed by FoundationOneCDx, the TSO500 TMB cut-point of 10 mut/Mb is predictive of response to pembrolizumab monotherapy." Ensuring the comparability of this assay to current technologies in the necessary settings may increase access to immunotherapy for patients with solid tumors and TMB-H (Wei et al., 2022).

Aggarwal et al. (2023) completed a clinical validation study of a TMB biomarker in the aim of assessing the association between TMB and clinical outcomes. The study included 674 patients with solid tumors from eight different cancer types. Patients were collected from 300 cancer sites between 2018 and 2022. All patients were sequenced with Tempus xT and treated with ICIs. The authors studied the association between the TMB binary category, high or low, and overall survival. Overall, "high TMB (TMB-H) cancers (206 patients [30.6%]) were significantly associated with longer OS than low TMB (TMB-L) cancers." Additionally, "an overall survival benefit was seen regardless of the type of ICI used." The authors concluded that "TMB-H cancers were significantly associated with improved clinical outcomes compared with TMB-L cancers" (Aggarwal et al., 2023).

Guidelines and Recommendations

National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN)

The NCCN includes TMB and MSI testing in several of their guidelines for different types of cancers. Across these guidelines, TMB-high (TMB-H) is defined as tumors with a TMB value of \geq 10 mutations/megabase.

The NCCN has clinical practice guidelines for non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). In 2020, the NCCN deleted TMB as an emerging immune biomarker based on clinical trial data and other issues, though was previously considered potentially useful for selecting patients to be treated with nivolumab with or without ipilimumab. However, recent data showed that overall survival was improved with nivolumab/ipilimumab regardless of TMB status. They conclude that PD-L1 expression level is a more useful immune biomarker than TMB for deciding how to use immunotherapy in NSCLC patients. The NCCN Guidelines "do not recommend measurement of TMB levels before deciding whether to use nivolumab plus ipilmumab regimens or to use other ICIs, such as pembrolizumab" (NCCN, 2023n).

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for cervical cancer advise that for Stage IVB or distant metastases, that TMB testing be considered as determined by a validated and/or FDA-approved assay. For patients with recurrent, progressive, or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, or adenosquamous carcinoma, MSI testing is recommended and TMB testing can be considered as "determined by a validated and/or FDA-approved assay". For systemic cervical cancer therapy, the NCCN reports that pembrolizumab is a preferred regimen as a second-line or subsequent therapy for TMB-H, PD-L1 positive, or MSI-H/dMMR tumors that are recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, or adenosquamous carcinoma (NCCN, 2023g).

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for breast cancer note that pembrolizumab is indicated for the treatment of patients with either unresectable or metastatic, MSI-high or mismatch repair deficient solid tumors, or TMB-H breast tumors that have progressed following prior treatment and have no satisfactory alternative treatment options. TMB-H status is detected via NGS and MSI is detected via immunohistochemistry, NGS, or PCR. The NCCN finds using TMB and MSI to guide pembrolizumab treatment is associated with category 2A evidence (based upon lower-level evidence, there is uniform NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate). Dostarlimab-gxly is indicated for adult patients with MSI-H (category 2A) solid tumors or for TMB-H tumors that have progressed following prior treatment and with no satisfactory alternative treatment options. (NCCN, 2023f).

In the NCCN clinical practice guidelines for uterine neoplasms, the NCCN lists the use of pembrolizumab for TMB-H or MSI-H/deficient DNA mismatch repair (dMMR) tumors as "useful in certain circumstances" as a biomarker-directed systemic therapy for uterine sarcoma and endometrial carcinoma. For uterine sarcoma, as a molecular analysis for sarcoma, the NCCN recommended that "comprehensive genomic profiling with a validated and/or FDA-approved assay is informative for predicting rare pantumor targeted therapy opportunities. The panel recommends testing of at least *NTRK*, MSI, and TMB" (NCCN, 2023v).

The NCCN guidelines for colon cancer advise MSI/MMR testing in all newly diagnosed patients with colon cancer and as a workup in pedunculated or sessile colon polyps (adenoma) with invasive cancer, in colon cancer appropriate for resection (non-metastatic), in documented metachronous metastases by CT, MRI, and/or biopsy, and in suspected or proven metastatic synchronous adenocarcinoma (any T, any N, M1) if not already done. The guidelines also recommend that in MSI-H/dMMR only tumors, resectable synchronous liver and/or lung metastases only, to follow up with synchronous or staged colectomy and resection of metastatic disease. Nivolumab ± ipilimumab or pembrolizumab (preferred) is also recommended in unresectable metachronous metastases where MSI-H patients have received a previous adjuvant FOLFOX/CAPEOX within the past 12 months and in MSI-H patients that are and are not appropriate for intensive therapy. As a continuum of care for advanced or metastatic disease, in MSI-H patients that received previous oxaliplatin based therapy without irinotecan, MSI-H patients with previous irinotecan-based therapy without oxaliplatin, MSI-H patients that received previous treatment with both oxaliplatin and irinotecan, and MSI-H patients that received previous therapy without either irinotecan or oxaliplatin, the NCCN recommends nivolumab ± ipilimumab, dostarlimab-gxly, or pembrolizumab

(preferred) as a subsequent therapy. The NCCN notes that Stage II MSI-H patients may have a good prognosis and do not benefit from 5-FU adjuvant therapy, and that adjuvant therapy should not be given to patients with low-risk stage II MSI-H tumors. The guidelines also state that "testing for MMR/MSI should be done during the initial workup to help with the diagnosis of Lynch syndrome and inform treatment decision-making. (NCCN, 2023h).

In the NCCN clinical practice guidelines for head and neck cancer and esophageal and esophagogastric junction (EGJ) cancers, the NCCN recommends that pembrolizumab treatment be based on testing for MSI, mismatch repair (MMR), and PD-L1 expression if metastatic disease is documented/suspected, or TMB (MSI tested by PCR, MMR tested by immunohistochemistry, PD-L1 expression tested by combined positive score (CPS), or TMB tested by NGS). NCCN also recommends PD-L1 testing for "locally advanced, recurrent, or metastatic esophageal and EGJ cancers in patients who are candidates for treatment with PD-1 inhibitors." These guidelines state that pembrolizumab for TMB-H tumors is useful in certain circumstances as a second-line or subsequent therapy for unresectable, locally advanced, recurrent, or metastatic disease where local therapy is not indicated. Usage of select immune checkpoint inhibitors would be based on "testing for MSI by PCR or NGS/MMR by IHC, PD-L1 immunohistochemical expression, or high tumor mutational burden (TMB) by NGS." Pembrolizumab is also indicated for MSI-H tumors as a form of systemic therapy for non-nasopharyngeal cancers, including lip, oral cavity, oropharynx, hypopharynx, glottic larynx, supraglottic larynx, ethmoid sinus, maxillary sinus, and occult primary. These guidelines also note that comprehensive genomic profiling via a validated NGS assay in a CLIA-approved laboratory may be used for the identification of TMB status. Dostarlimab-gxly is also indicated for MSI-H/dMMR tumors for systemic therapy for metastatic or locally advanced cancer where local therapy is not indicated (NCCN, 2023i, 2023k).

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for occult primary (cancer of unknown primary [CUP]) state that "MSI/mismatch repair (MMR) testing is indicated for patients with CUP; however, it should be noted that the population of patients with MSI-high/MMR-deficient (MSI-H/dMMR) occult primary tumors is generally low." Moreover, they remark determination of TMB by a validated and/or FDA approved assay is a category 2B recommendation (2B: based on lower-level evidence, there is NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate) and a biopsy from a suspected metastatic malignancy should undergo TMB testing during the workup of the tumor. For occult primary tumors, dostarlimab-gxly is recommended as "useful in certain circumstances" only in dMMR/MSI-H tumors while pembrolizumab may be extended to tumors with TMB-H, as well as dMMR/MSI-H (NCCN, 2023o).

In the NCCN clinical practice guidelines for gastric cancer, universal testing, no matter the specimen type, for MSI by PCR is recommended in all newly diagnosed patients and in unresectable locally advanced, locally recurrent, or metastatic disease if not previously performed. Tumors should be interpreted as MSI-Stable, MSI-Low (1-29% of markers exhibit instability, 1 of the 5 National Cancer Institute (NCI) or mononucleotide markers exhibits instability), or MSI-H (≥30% of the markers exhibit instability, 2 or more of the 5 NCI or mononucleotide markers exhibit instability). Of the three targeted therapeutic agents that are FDA approved for gastric cancer, pembrolizumab treatment is based on testing for MSI. As a second-line or subsequent therapy in locally advanced, recurrent, or metastatic disease where local therapy is not indicated, pembrolizumab is recommended by the NCCN as useful for MSI-H or TMB-H tumors and dostarlimab-gxly is recommended for MSI-H tumors (NCCN, 2023j).

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for hepatocellular carcinoma recommends nivolumab + ipilimumab (category 2B) as a first-line systemic therapy that is "useful in certain circumstances" and a subsequent-line systemic therapy if there is disease progression for individuals with TMB-H tumors. The NCCN also recommends dostarlimab-gxly (category 2B) as a subsequent-line systemic therapy if there is disease progression for individuals with MSI-H/dMMR tumors. However, while they do include recommendations for targeted therapies for individuals with MSI-H/dMMR/TMB tumors, they also state that there is "no established role for microsatellite instability (MSI), mismatch repair (MMB), tumor mutational burden (TMB), or PD-L1 testing in [hepatocellular carcinoma] at this time. Immune checkpoint

inhibition has shown clinical benefit leading to regulatory approvals in patients with HCC without selection for MSI, MMR, TMB, or PD-L1 status. (NCCN, 2023l).

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for biliary tract cancers recommend germline testing and/or referral to a genetic councilor for patients with dMMR/MSI-H tumors or a family history suggestive of BRCA1/2 mutation following incidental finding of suspicious mass during surgery, incidental finding on pathologic review with T1b or greater and/or T1a with positive margins, unresectable mass on imaging, jaundice, metastatic disease, or isolated intrahepatic mass with unresectable or metastatic disease biopsy. ": Testing for MSI or MMR deficiency is recommended in patients with unresectable or metastatic gallbladder cancer, intrahepatic CCA [cholangiocarcinoma], or extrahepatic CCA. Testing for TMB is recommended for patients with unresectable or metastatic gallbladder cancer, intrahepatic CCA, or extrahepatic CCA based upon clinical benefit observed across advanced solid tumors" (NCCN, 2023d).

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for neuroendocrine and adrenal tumors recommends that TMB and MSI testing should be considered for adrenal gland tumors and poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma/large or small cell and molecular profiling of tumor tissue should be considered for well-differentiated Grade 3 neuroendocrine tumors. When the tumor type is poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma, large or small cell carcinoma (other than the lung), or unknown primary (poorly differentiated), TMB and MSI testing are noted as appropriate for evaluation of the tumor, although "tumor/somatic molecular profiling should be considered for patients with locoregional unresectable/metastatic disease who are candidates for anti-cancer therapy to identify actionable alterations. For Grade 3, well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumors that are locally advanced/metastatic disease with favorable biology, the guidelines note that in resectable tumors, pembrolizumab is a category 2B (based upon lower-level evidence, there is NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate) treatment for TMB-H tumors. Pembrolizumab is also recommended as a systemic therapy option in TMB-H or MSI-H tumors that are locally advanced, metastatic disease with unfavorable biology (NCCN, 2023m).

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for vulvar cancer recommend considering TMB by a validated and/or FDA approved assay as a pathological assessment for squamous cell carcinoma. NCCN also considers TMB testing to be useful in certain circumstances, specifically as a biomarker directed systemic therapy for second-line treatment for the use of pembrolizumab. NCCN reports that MMR, MSI, PD-L1, neurotrophic tyrosine receptor kinase (*NTRK*) gene fusion, and TMB testing may "also be considered for treatment planning purposes in patients with recurrent, progressive, or metastatic disease" (NCCN, 2023w).

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for ovarian cancer identify TMB testing as a clinically indicated tumor molecular analysis in addition to NGS for *BRCA1/2* mutations and other somatic mutations. They also recommend using tumor molecular analysis "to identify potential benefit from targeted therapeutics" for MSI and TMB in patients with recurrent disease and rising CA-125, regardless of prior chemotherapy status if molecular testing was not done previously in epithelial ovarian cancer/fallopian tube cancer/primary peritoneal cancer. The NCCN guidelines also recommend tumor molecular testing with TMB and MSI if not previously done for low-grade serous carcinoma. For palliative recurrence therapy, the guidelines recommend using pembrolizumab if there is MSI-H/dMMR or TMB-H for malignant germ cell/sex cord-stromal tumors. The guidelines also indicate that pembrolizumab is recommended as a recurrence therapy for epithelial ovarian (including less common ovarian cancers)/fallopian tube/primary peritoneal cancers when the tumors are MSI-H, dMMR solid, or TMB-H and have no satisfactory alternative treatment options (NCCN, 2023p).

In the NCCN clinical practice guidelines for testicular cancer, the NCCN recommends TMB or MSI testing as a third-line therapy in nonseminoma if there is progression after high-dose chemotherapy or other third-line therapies. The guideline also notes that similar to many other cancers, pembrolizumab may be useful in certain circumstances as a third-line chemotherapy regimen for metastatic germ cell tumors

when the tumor has a TMB-H or MSI-H status, both when high-dose chemotherapy has been received and when it has not been received (NCCN, 2023t).

In the NCCN clinical practice guidelines for pancreatic adenocarcinoma, MSI testing is recommended in locally advanced disease when adenocarcinoma is confirmed, in metastatic disease when tumor tissue is available, and in tumors with recurrence after resection. The guidelines recommend pembrolizumab as useful in certain circumstances in metastatic disease with poor performance status (PS) only for MSI-H/dMMR/TMB-H tumors and useful as a subsequent therapy in certain situations only for MSI-H/dMMR tumors for locally advanced/metastatic disease and as a therapy for recurrent disease with both good and poor PS (NCCN, 2023b).

In the NCCN clinical practice guidelines for penile cancer, pembrolizumab is recommended as a subsequent-line systemic therapy for metastatic/recurrent disease in MSI-H/dMMR tumors that have progressed following prior treatment and that have no satisfactory alternative treatment options (NCCN, 2023c).

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for prostate cancer recommend that in castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC), tumor testing for microsatellite instability (MSI-H) or DMMR and HRRm should be considered when CRPC imaging studies are positive for metastases, if the testing has not been previously performed. It also recommends tumor testing for MSI-H or dMMR among patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer and "may be considered in patients with regional or castration-sensitive metastatic prostate cancer. TMB testing may be considered in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer." In the case that MSI-H or dMMR is found, patients should be referred to genetic counseling for evaluation of Lynch syndrome. Prior to docetaxel and novel hormone therapy for M1 CRPC, pembrolizumab may be useful in certain circumstances of MSI-H, dMMR, or TMB≥10 mut/Mb (NCCN, 2023q).

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for rectal cancer state that "Universal MMR or MSI testing is recommended in all newly diagnosed patients with rectal cancer," and that such testing should only be performed by CLIA-approved laboratories. They recommend MSI/MMR testing as an initial workup in pedunculated polyps or sessile polyps (adenoma) with invasive cancer, rectal cancer appropriate for resection, and in suspected or proven metastatic synchronous adenocarcinoma (any T, any N, M1) if not previously done. The guidelines recommend nivolumab ± ipilimumab or pembrolizumab (preferred) as a neoadjuvant treatment for dMMR/MSI-H only resectable synchronous liver only and/or lung only metastases when there is a clear circumferential resection margin (CRM) (by MRI) or involved CRM (by MRI), as a primary treatment in unresectable synchronous liver only and/or lung only metastases or medically inoperable tumors, and as a primary treatment in unresectable metachronous metastases with previous adjuvant FOLFOX/CAPEOX within the past 12 months. As a continuum of care, nivolumab ± ipilimumab or pembrolizumab (preferred) should be considered as a systemic therapy for advanced or metastatic disease in MSI-H patients either appropriate or not appropriate for intensive therapy. Nivolumab ± ipilimumab, dostarlimab-gxly, or pembrolizumab (preferred) is recommended for MSI-H patients with previous oxaliplatin based therapy without irinotecan, MSI-H patients with previous irinotecan-based therapy without oxaliplatin, MSI-H patients with previous treatment with both oxaliplatin and irinotecan, MSI-H patients with previous treatment without irinotecan or oxaliplatin, and in MSIpatients as a subsequent therapy following FOLFOX or CAPEOX ± bevacizumab in patients that did not receive irinotecan or oxaliplatin (NCCN, 2023r).

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for small bowel adenocarcinoma recommend MSI testing as a workup when the tumor is in the duodenum or the jejunum/ileum, as well as in metastatic and or recurring tumors such as metastatic adenocarcinoma. "Universal MMR or MSI testing is recommended in all newly diagnosed patients with SB". These guidelines note that stage II dMMR/MSI-H patients may have improved survival as compared to proficient MMR or MSI-Stable (not confirmed in SBA, extrapolated from colorectal cancer data). The NCCN guidelines recommend nivolumab \pm ipilimumab or pembrolizumab as an initial or a subsequent therapy in MSI-H patients with advanced or metastatic disease

who are both appropriate or not appropriate for intensive therapy and nivolumab \pm ipilimumab, dostarlimab-gxly, or pembrolizumab as an initial therapy for patients with prior oxaliplatin exposure in the adjuvant setting or contraindication (NCCN, 2023s).

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for thyroid carcinoma recommend TMB and MSI testing in multiple forms of thyroid cancer. In papillary carcinoma, follicular carcinoma, and Hürthle cell carcinoma, TMB or MSI is recommended for advanced, progressive, or threatening disease when the tumor is structurally persistent/recurrent locoregional or distant metastatic disease that is not amenable to RAI therapy. In patients with these tumor types with a TMB-H status, pembrolizumab is recommended in tumors with both bone metastases and CNS metastases. In medullary carcinoma, when a locoregional tumor is unresectable and asymptomatic, symptomatic, or progressive pembrolizumab treatment in TMB-H tumors may be useful in certain circumstances. In anaplastic thyroid carcinoma, molecular testing is recommended as diagnostic molecular testing for actionable mutations. In Stage IVC, as part of an aggressive therapy approach, "molecular testing should include BRAF, NTRK, ALK,RET, MSI, dMMR, and tumor mutational burden". Genomic testing for MSI and dMMR should also be performed for actionable mutations in metastatic disease if these tests have not already been performed (NCCN, 2023u).

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for bone cancer, recommend considering TMB or MSI testing as determined by a validated and/or FDA-approved assay to inform the use of treatment options in patients with metastatic chondrosarcoma, chordoma, Ewing sarcoma, and osteosarcoma, but does not recommend either TMB or MSI testing for giant cell tumor of bone as it is not technically a malignant tumor. Pembrolizumab is recommended as a preferred regimen in MSI-H/dMMR tumors and in TMB-H tumors, is useful in certain situations. They also recommend pembrolizumab for "patients with unresectable or metastatic tumors who have progressed following prior treatment and who have no satisfactory alternative treatment options" (NCCN, 2023e).

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for ampullary adenocarcinoma recommend tumor/somatic molecular profiling in patients with locally advanced/metastatic adenocarcinoma who are candidates for anti-cancer therapy to identify uncommon mutations. The NCCN recommends to "consider specifically testing for potentially actionable somatic findings including, but not limited to: fusions (*ALK*, *NRGI*, *NTRK*, *ROS1*, *FGFR2*, *and RET*), mutations (*BRAF*, *BRCA1/2*, *KRAS*, and *PALB2*), amplifications (*HER2*), microsatellite instability (MSI), mismatch repair deficiency (dMMR), or tumor mutational burden (TMB) via an FDA-approved and/or validated next-generation sequencing (NGS)-based assay." The NCCN further notes that "In addition to chemotherapy recommendations, pembrolizumab is a recommended option for all ampullary tumors with MSI-high (MSI-H), dMMR, or high TMB (TMB-H) (≥10 mut/Mb), and nivolumab + ipilimumab is a recommended option for MSI-H, dMMR intestinal type ampullary cancers" and "dostarlimab-gxly is recommended for good and poor PS in tumors with MSI-H or dMMR" (NCCN, 2023a).

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for anal carcinoma note that "microsatellite instability (MSI)/mismatch repair (MMR) testing is not required" because "MSI is uncommon in anal cancer" (NCCN, 2023x).

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for hepatocellular carcinoma recommend that "there is no established role for microsatellite instability (MSI), mismatch repair (MMR), tumor mutational burden (TMB), or PD-L1 testing in HCC at this time. Immune checkpoint inhibition has shown clinical benefit leading to regulatory approvals in patients with HCC without selection for MSI, MMR, TMB, or PD-L1 status" (NCCN, 2023y).

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for pediatric central nervous system cancers mention TMB in terms of palliative systemic therapy for recurrent or progressive disease, noting that "targeted therapy based on the molecular composition of the tumor is recommended for patients with good performance status" including checkpoint blockade for high tumor mutational burden (NCCN, 2023z).

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for soft tissue sarcoma recommends pembrolizumab as useful in certain circumstances as a subsequent line of therapy for advanced/metastatic disease for MSI-H or dMMR tumors (regardless of soft tissue sarcoma sub-type). The NCCN also recommends pembrolizumab or nivolumab \pm ipilimumab as useful in certain circumstances as a subsequent line of therapy for advanced/metastatic disease for TMB-H (\geq 10 mutations/megabase [mut/Mb]) regardless of soft tissue sarcoma sub-type (NCCN, 2023aa).

European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO)

The ESMO has published clinical recommendations for MSI testing based on consensus decisions from the ESMO Translational Research and Precision Medicine Working Group. The recommended first action to assess MSI/dMMR, decided by a consensus with a strong agreement, is the use of immunohistochemistry for the mismatch repair proteins MLH1, MSH2, MSH6 and PMS2. The second method of MSI/dMMR testing, also with a consensus of strong agreement, is the use of PCR-based assessment of microsatellite alterations using five microsatellite markers (including at least BAT-25 and BAT-26). Based on a consensus with a very strong agreement, the ESMO notes that NGS coupling MSI and TMB analysis may represent "a decisive tool for selecting patients for immunotherapy, for common or rare cancers not belonging to the spectrum of Lynch syndrome." They note that the relationships between MSI, TMB, and PD-1/PD-L1 expression are both complex and different based on the type of tumor being assessed. They also note that the most important cancer types where MSI testing should be carried out using IHC to assess MSI-PCR or NGS are endometrial, intestinal (colorectal and small bowel), esophageal (adenocarcinomas and not squamous cell carcinoma), gastric, glioblastoma, and ovarian cancers. (Luchini et al., 2019).

The ESMO has published clinical recommendations for the use of tumor multigene NGS in non-small cell lung cancer, cholangiocarcinoma, prostate, and ovarian cancers. They recommend to "test TMB in well-and moderately-differentiated neuroendocrine tumors (NETs), cervical, salivary, thyroid and vulvar cancers. . . as TMB-high predicted response to pembrolizumab in these cancers." They advise that a large panel of genes could be ordered but that the benefit for the patient and the cost for the public health care system should be taken into consideration. Patient-specific recommendations are summarized in the table below (Mosele et al., 2020).

Tumor Types	General recommendations for daily practice	Special	consideration	ns for
		patients		
Lung adenocarcinoma	Tumor multigene NGS to assess level I alterations.			
	Larger panels can be used only on the basis of specific			
1	agreements with payers taking into account the overall	1		
	cost of the strategy (drug includeda) and if they report	1		
	accurate ranking of alterations. NGS can either be done			
	on RNA or DNA, if it includes level I fusions in the	:		
	panel.			
Squamous cell lung	No current indication for tumor multigene NGS	Using larg	ge panels of gen	es could
cancers			w clinically me	
Breast cancers			s, not detected l	
Colon cancers	Multigene tumor NGS can be an alternative option to	panels or	standard testing	g. In this
	PCR if it does not result in additional cost.	context a	nd outside the	diseases
Prostate cancers			ge panels of go	
	Larger panels can be used only on the basis of specific	recommer	nded,	ESMO
	agreements with payers taking into account the overall	acknowled	uges mai a pane	ent and a
	cost of the strategy and if they report accurate ranking	doctor co	uia aeciae tog	etner to
	of alterations.	oruer a	iarge paner or	genes,
Gastric cancers	No current indication for tumor multigene NGS	μ	no extra cost	
Pancreatic cancers	No current indication for tumor multigene NGS	public nea	alth care system	i, and 11

Hepatocellular	No current indication for tumor multigene NGS	the patient is informed about the
carcinoma	1 to current mateurion for tumor managene (top	low likelihood of benefit.
Cholangiocarcinoma	Multigene tumor NGS could be recommended to	
	assess level I alterations. Larger panels can be used	
	only on the basis of specific agreements with payers	
	taking into account the overall cost of the strategy	
	(drug included a) and if they report accurate ranking of	
	alterations. RNA-based NGS can be used.	
Others	Tumor multigene NGS can be used in ovarian cancers	
	to determine somatic BRCA1/2 mutations. In this latter	
	case, larger panels can be used only on the basis of	
	specific agreements with payers taking into account the	
	overall cost of the strategy (drug included a) and if they	
	report accurate ranking of alterations.	
	Large panel NGS can be used in carcinoma of	
	unknown primary.	
	It is recommended to determine TMB in cervical	
	cancer, salivary cancer, thyroid cancers, well-to-	
	moderately differentiated neuroendocrine tumors,	I .
	vulvar cancer, pending drug access (and in TMB-high	
	endometrial and SCL cancers if anti-PD1 antibody is	
	not available otherwise).	
	F	

anti-PD1, anti-programmed cell death 1; DRUP, drug rediscovery protocol; ESMO, European Society for Medical Oncology; NGS, next-generation sequencing; SCL, small-cell lung cancer; TMB, tumor mutational burden.

^a ESMO recommends using off-label drugs matched to genomics only if an access program and a procedure of decision have been developed at the national or regional level, as illustrated by the DRUP program. (Mosele et al., 2020)

Gı	Guideline Statement		
1.	For patients with CRC being considered for immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy, pathologists should use MMR-IHC and/or MSI by PCR for the detection of DNA MMR defects. Although MMR-IHC or MSI by PCR are preferred, pathologists may use a validated MSI by NGS assay for the detection of DNA MMR defects. Note: MSI by NGS assay must be validated against MMR-IHC or MSI by PCR and must show equivalency.	Strong Recommendation	
2.	For patients with gastroesophageal and small bowel cancer being considered for immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy, pathologists should use MMR-IHC and/or MSI by PCR over MSI by NGS for the detection of DNA MMR defects. Note: This recommendation does not include esophageal squamous cell carcinoma.	Strong Recommendation	
3.	For patients with endometrial cancer being considered for immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy, pathologists should use MMR-IHC over MSI by PCR or NGS for the detection of DNA MMR defects.	Strong Recommendation	
4.	For patients with cancer types other than CRC, GEA, small bowel, and endometrial being considered for immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy, pathologists should test for DNA MMR, although the optimal approach for the detection of MMR defects has not been established.	Conditional Recommendation	
	Note: Assays must be adequately validated for the specific cancer type being tested with careful consideration of performance characteristics of MMR-IHC and MSI by NGS or PCR for the detection of DNA MMR defects.		
5.	For all cancer patients being considered for immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy based upon defective MMR, pathologists should NOT use TMB as a surrogate for the detection of DNA MMR defects. If a tumor is identified as TMB-high, pathologists may perform IHC and/or MSI by PCR to determine if high TMB is secondary to MMR deficiency.	Strong Recommendation	
6.	For cancer patients being considered for immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy, if an MMR deficiency consistent with Lynch Syndrome is identified in the tumor, pathologists should communicate this finding with the treating physician.	Strong Recommendation	

© 2022 College of American Pathologists. All rights reserved.

Gu	ideline Statement	Strength of Recommendation
1.	For patients with CRC being considered for immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy, pathologists should use MMR-IHC and/or MSI by PCR for the detection of DNA MMR defects. Although MMR-IHC or MSI by PCR are preferred, pathologists may use a validated MSI by NGS assay for the detection of DNA MMR defects. Note: MSI by NGS assay must be validated against MMR-IHC or MSI by PCR and must show equivalency.	Strong Recommendation
2.	For patients with gastroesophageal and small bowel cancer being considered for immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy, pathologists should use MMR-IHC and/or MSI by PCR over MSI by NGS for the detection of DNA MMR defects. **Note: This recommendation does not include esophageal squamous cell carcinoma.	Strong Recommendation
3.	For patients with endometrial cancer being considered for immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy, pathologists should use MMR-IHC over MSI by PCR or NGS for the detection of DNA MMR defects.	Strong Recommendation
4.	For patients with cancer types other than CRC, GEA, small bowel, and endometrial being considered for immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy, pathologists should test for DNA MMR, although the optimal approach for the detection of MMR defects has not been established. Note: Assays must be adequately validated for the specific cancer type being tested with careful consideration of performance characteristics of MMR-IHC and MSI by NGS or PCR for the detection of DNA MMR defects.	Conditional Recommendation
5.	For all cancer patients being considered for immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy based upon defective MMR, pathologists should NOT use TMB as a surrogate for the detection of DNA MMR defects. If a tumor is identified as TMB-high, pathologists may perform IHC and/or MSI by PCR to determine if high TMB is secondary to MMR deficiency.	Strong Recommendation
6.	For cancer patients being considered for immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy, if an MMR deficiency consistent with Lynch Syndrome is identified in the tumor, pathologists should communicate this finding with the treating physician.	Strong Recommendation

MSI, microsatelite instability; NGS, next generation sequencing; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; TMB, tumor mutal

© 2022 College of American Pathologists. All rights reserved.

In 2022, the College of American Pathologists issued an evidence-based clinical guideline on the use of mismatch repair and microsatellite instability testing for immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy. These recommendations include guidance for patients with Lynch syndrome, as well as for patients with colorectal, endometrial, gastroesophageal, small bowel, and other types of cancers. Their summary of recommendations is captured below (CAP, 2022).

State and Federal Regulations, as applicable

Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

Many labs have developed specific tests that they must validate and perform in house. These laboratory-developed tests (LDTs) are regulated by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) as high-complexity tests under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA '88). LDTs are not approved or cleared by the U. S. Food and Drug Administration; however, FDA clearance or approval is not currently required for clinical use.

On November 30, 2017, the FDA approved FoundationOne CDxTM (Foundation Medicine, Inc.). This device is a next generation sequencing based *in vitro* diagnostic device for detection of substitutions, insertion and deletion alterations (indels), and copy number alterations (CNAs) in 324 genes and select gene rearrangements, as well as genomic signatures including microsatellite instability (MSI) and tumor mutational burden (TMB) using DNA isolated from formalin-fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens. The test is intended as a companion diagnostic to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with the targeted therapies in accordance with the approved therapeutic product labeling. Additionally, F1CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with solid malignant neoplasms. The F1CDx assay is a single-site assay performed at Foundation Medicine, Inc. (FDA, 2017c).

On August 26, 2020, the FDA approved FoundationOne® Liquid CDx (Foundation Medicine, Inc.). This device is a qualitative next generation sequencing based *in vitro* diagnostic test that uses targeted high throughput hybridization-based capture technology to detect and report substitutions, insertions, and deletions (indels) in 311 genes, including rearrangements and copy number losses only in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*, utilizing circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) isolated from plasma derived from anti-coagulated peripheral whole blood of cancer patients. This device is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling for substitutions and indels to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with solid malignant neoplasms (FDA, 2020b).

Billing/Coding/Physician Documentation Information

This policy may apply to the following codes. Inclusion of a code in this section does not guarantee that it will be reimbursed. For further information on reimbursement guidelines, please see Administrative Policies on the Blue Cross Blue Shield of North Carolina web site at www.bcbsnc.com. They are listed in the Category Search on the Medical Policy search page.

Applicable service codes: 81301, 81457, 81458, 81459, 81463, 81464, 81479, 0037U, 0048U, 0221U, 0239U, 0242U, 0244U, 0250U, 0326U, 0334U, 0379U, 0391U, 0409U, 0428U

BCBSNC may request medical records for determination of medical necessity. When medical records are requested, letters of support and/or explanation are often useful, but are not sufficient documentation unless all specific information needed to make a medical necessity determination is included.

Scientific Background and Reference Sources

- Aggarwal, C., Ben-Shachar, R., Gao, Y., Hyun, S. W., Rivers, Z., Epstein, C., Kaneva, K., Sangli, C., Nimeiri, H., & Patel, J. (2023). Assessment of Tumor Mutational Burden and Outcomes in Patients with Diverse Advanced Cancers Treated with Immunotherapy. *JAMA Netw Open*, 6(5), e2311181. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.11181
- Alborelli, I., Leonards, K., Rothschild, S. I., Leuenberger, L. P., Savic Prince, S., Mertz, K. D., . . . Jermann, P. (2020). Tumor mutational burden assessed by targeted NGS predicts clinical benefit from immune checkpoint inhibitors in non-small cell lung cancer. *J Pathol*, 250(1), 19-29. https://doi.org/10.1002/path.5344
- Andre, T., Shiu, K. K., Kim, T. W., Jensen, B. V., Jensen, L. H., Punt, C., . . . Investigators, K.-. (2020). Pembrolizumab in Microsatellite-Instability-High Advanced Colorectal Cancer. *N Engl J Med*, 383(23), 2207-2218. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2017699
- Boland, C. R., Thibodeau, S. N., Hamilton, S. R., Sidransky, D., Eshleman, J. R., Burt, R. W., . . . Srivastava, S. (1998). A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res*, 58(22), 5248-5257. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9823339
- Bonneville, R., Krook, M. A., Kautto, E. A., Miya, J., Wing, M. R., Chen, H. Z., . . . Roychowdhury, S. (2017). Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. *JCO Precis Oncol*, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1200/PO.17.00073
- CAP. (2022). Mismatch Repair and Microsatellite Instability Testing for Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor Therapy. College of American Pathologists. https://www.cap.org/protocols-and-guidelines/cap-guidelines/cap-guidelines/cap-guidelines/mismatch-repair-and-microsatellite-instability-testing-for-immune-checkpoint-inhibitor-therapy
- Caris Life Sciences. (2020). *MI Tumor Seek*TM https://www.carislifesciences.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/MI-Tumor-Seek-Profile-Menu.pdf

- Caris Life Sciences. (2023, June 29, 2020). *MI Tumor Seek*TM. Retrieved October 28, 2021 from https://www.carislifesciences.com/products-and-services/molecular-profiling/
- Chalmers, Z. R., Connelly, C. F., Fabrizio, D., Gay, L., Ali, S. M., Ennis, R., . . . Frampton, G. M. (2017). Analysis of 100,000 human cancer genomes reveals the landscape of tumor mutational burden. *Genome Med*, 9(1), 34. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-017-0424-2
- Cohen, R., Bennouna, J., Meurisse, A., Tournigand, C., De La Fouchardiere, C., Tougeron, D., . . . Andre, T. (2020). RECIST and iRECIST criteria for the evaluation of nivolumab plus ipilimumab in patients with microsatellite instability-high/mismatch repair-deficient metastatic colorectal cancer: the GERCOR NIPICOL phase II study. *J Immunother Cancer*, 8(2). https://doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2020-001499
- FDA. (2017a). EVALUATION OF AUTOMATIC CLASS III DESIGNATION FOR MSK-IMPACT (Integrated Mutation Profiling of Actionable Cancer Targets). Retrieved from https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/reviews/DEN170058.pdf
- FDA. (2017b). FDA unveils a streamlined path for the authorization of tumor profiling tests alongside its latest product action [Press release]. Retrieved from https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-unveils-streamlined-path-authorization-tumor-profiling-tests-alongside-its-latest-product-action
- FDA. (2017c). FoundationOne CDx Retrieved from https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf17/P170019B.pdf
- FDA. (2020a). FDA approves pembrolizumab for adults and children with TMB-H solid tumors. Retrieved from https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-approvals-and-databases/fda-approves-pembrolizumab-adults-and-children-tmb-h-solid-tumors
- FDA. (2020b). FoundationOne Liquid CDx (F1 Liquid CDx). Retrieved from https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf19/P190032B.pdf
- FDA. (2021, May 21, 2021). SUMMARY OF SAFETY AND EFFECTIVENESS DATA (SSED) RetrievedOctober28,2021from https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf20/P200010S001B.pdf
- Garofalo, A., Sholl, L., Reardon, B., Taylor-Weiner, A., Amin-Mansour, A., Miao, D., . . . Van Allen, E. M. (2016). The impact of tumor profiling approaches and genomic data strategies for cancer precision medicine. *Genome Med*, 8(1), 79. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-016-0333-9
- Georgiadis, A., Durham, J. N., Keefer, L. A., Bartlett, B. R., Zielonka, M., Murphy, D., . . . Sausen, M. (2019). Noninvasive Detection of Microsatellite Instability and High Tumor Mutation Burden in Cancer Patients Treated with PD-1 Blockade. *Clinical Cancer Research*. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-1372
- Guardant. (2023a). *Blood-First, TissueNext Streamlined Biomarker Testing*. Retrieved November 1, 2021 from https://guardant360cdx.com/tissuenext/
- Guardant. (2023b). TREATMENT SELECTION AND RESPONSE MONITORING FOR PATIENTS WITH ADVANCED CANCER. Retrieved October 28, 2021 from https://guardanthealth.com/solutions/#lunar-2
- Hellmann, M. D., Callahan, M. K., Awad, M. M., Calvo, E., Ascierto, P. A., Atmaca, A., . . . Antonia, S. J. (2018). Tumor Mutational Burden and Efficacy of Nivolumab Monotherapy and in Combination with Ipilimumab in Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *Cancer Cell*, 33(5), 853-861 e854. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2018.04.001
- Hellmann, M. D., Ciuleanu, T. E., Pluzanski, A., Lee, J. S., Otterson, G. A., Audigier-Valette, C., . . . Paz-Ares, L. (2018). Nivolumab plus Ipilimumab in Lung Cancer with a High Tumor Mutational Burden. *N Engl J Med*, *378*(22), 2093-2104. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1801946
- Hellmann, M. D., Nathanson, T., Rizvi, H., Creelan, B. C., Sanchez-Vega, F., Ahuja, A., . . . Wolchok, J. D. (2018). Genomic Features of Response to Combination Immunotherapy in Patients with Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *Cancer Cell*, 33(5), 843-852 e844. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2018.03.018
- Illumina. (2023). TruSight Oncology 500. Retrieved from https://www.illumina.com/products/by-type/clinical-research-products/trusight-oncology-500.html?scid=2018-271doco175#productLongDescription

- Klempner, S. J., Fabrizio, D., Bane, S., Reinhart, M., Peoples, T., Ali, S. M., . . . Reddy, P. (2020). Tumor Mutational Burden as a Predictive Biomarker for Response to Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors: A Review of Current Evidence. *Oncologist*, 25(1), e147-e159. https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.2019-0244
- Le, D. T., Uram, J. N., Wang, H., Bartlett, B. R., Kemberling, H., Eyring, A. D., . . . Diaz, L. A., Jr. (2015). PD-1 Blockade in Tumors with Mismatch-Repair Deficiency. *N Engl J Med*, *372*(26), 2509-2520. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1500596
- Luchini, C., Bibeau, F., Ligtenberg, M. J. L., Singh, N., Nottegar, A., Bosse, T., . . . Scarpa, A. (2019). ESMO recommendations on microsatellite instability testing for immunotherapy in cancer, and its relationship with PD-1/PD-L1 expression and tumour mutational burden: a systematic review-based approach. *Annals of Oncology*, 30(8), 1232-1243. https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdz116
- Marabelle, A., Fakih, M., Lopez, J., Shah, M., Shapira-Frommer, R., Nakagawa, K., . . . Bang, Y. J. (2020). Association of tumour mutational burden with outcomes in patients with advanced solid tumours treated with pembrolizumab: prospective biomarker analysis of the multicohort, open-label, phase 2 KEYNOTE-158 study. *Lancet Oncol*, 21(10), 1353-1365. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30445-9
- Marabelle, A., Le, D. T., Ascierto, P. A., Di Giacomo, A. M., De Jesus-Acosta, A., Delord, J. P., . . . Diaz, L. A., Jr. (2020). Efficacy of Pembrolizumab in Patients With Noncolorectal High Microsatellite Instability/Mismatch Repair-Deficient Cancer: Results From the Phase II KEYNOTE-158 Study. *J Clin Oncol*, 38(1), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.19.02105
- Merino, D. M., McShane, L. M., Fabrizio, D., Funari, V., Chen, S. J., White, J. R., . . . Allen, J. (2020). Establishing guidelines to harmonize tumor mutational burden (TMB): in silico assessment of variation in TMB quantification across diagnostic platforms: phase I of the Friends of Cancer Research TMB Harmonization Project. *J Immunother Cancer*, 8(1). https://doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2019-000147
- Mosele, F., Remon, J., Mateo, J., Westphalen, C. B., Barlesi, F., Lolkema, M. P., . . . Andre, F. (2020). Recommendations for the use of next-generation sequencing (NGS) for patients with metastatic cancers: a report from the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group. *Ann Oncol*, 31(11), 1491-1505. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2020.07.014
- MSKCC. (2023). MSK-IMPACT: A Targeted Test for Mutations in Both Rare and Common Cancers. Retrieved October 20, 2021 from https://www.mskcc.org/msk-impact
- NCCN. (2023a). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Ampullary Adenocarcinoma Version 2.2023. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/ampullary.pdf
- NCCN. (2023g, October 26, 2021). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): CervicalCancer.Version1.2022. Retrieved October 12, 2021 from https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/PDF/bone.pdf
- NCCN. (2023v, April 28, 2023). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Uterine Neoplasms Version 1.2022. Retrieved October 12, 2021 from https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/uterine.pdf
- NCCN. (2023w, August 17, 2022). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Vulvar Cancer Version 2.2022 August 17. 2022. Retrieved November 05, 2022 from https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/vulvar.pdf
- NCCN. (2023b, May 4, 2023). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma Version 1.2022 February 24, 2022. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/pancreatic.pdf
- NCCN. (2023c, December 1, 2022). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology Penile Cancer Version 2.2023 January 26, 2022. Retrieved November 05, 2022 from https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/penile.pdf
- NCCN. (2023e, September 28, 2022). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Bone Cancer Version 2.2023 September 28, 2023. Retrieved November 05, 2022 from https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/PDF/bone.pdf
- NCCN. (2023f, March 23, 2023). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines):

 Breast Cancer Version 4.2022 June 21, 2022. Retrieved November 5, 2022 from https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/breast.pdf

- NCCN. (2023h, October 27, 2022). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Colon Cancer Version 2.2022 October 27, 2022. Retrieved November 5, 2022 from https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/colon.pdf
- NCCN. (2023i, September 7, 2022). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Esophageal and Esophagogastric Junction Cancers Version 4.2022 September 7, 2022. Retrieved November 5, 2022 from https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/esophageal.pdf
- NCCN. (2023j, January 11, 2022). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Gastric Cancer Version 2.2022 January 11, 2022. Retrieved November 5, 2022 from https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/gastric.pdf
- NCCN. (2023k, April 26, 2022). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Head and Neck Cancers Version 2.2022 April 26, 2022. Retrieved November 05, 2022 from https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/head-and-neck.pdf
- NCCN. (2023), September 14, 2023). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Hepatocellular Carcinoma Version 2.2023 September 14, 2023. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/hcc.pdf
- NCCN. (2023m, May 4, 2023). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Neuroendocrine and Adrenal Tumors Version 1.2022 May 23, 2022. Retrieved November 05, 2022 from https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/neuroendocrine.pdf
- NCCN. (2023n, December 1, 2022). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Version 5.2022 September 26, 2022*. Retrieved November 5, 2022 from https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/nscl.pdf
- NCCN. (2023o, July 27, 2022). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Occult Primary (Cancer of Unknown Primary [CUP]) Version 1. 2023 July 27, 2022. Retrieved November 5, 2022 from https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/occult.pdf
- NCCN. (2023p, September 16, 2022). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Ovarian Cancer, Including Fallopian Tube Cancer and Primary Peritoneal Cancer Version 5.2023 September 16, 2022. Retrieved November 05, 2022 from https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/ovarian.pdf
- NCCN. (2023q, September 16, 2022). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Prostate Cancer Version 1.2023 September 16, 2022. Retrieved November 05, 2022 from https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/prostate.pdf
- NCCN. (2023r, April 25, 2023). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines):

 Rectal Cancer Version 3.2022 October 27, 2022. Retrieved November 05, 2022 from https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/rectal.pdf
- NCCN. (2023s, October 27, 2022). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Small Bowel Adenocarcinoma Version 2.2023 October 27, 2022. Retrieved November 05, 2022 from https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/small_bowel.pdf
- NCCN. (2023t, January 4, 2022). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Testicular Cancer Version 2.2023 - January 4, 2022. Retrieved November 05, 2022 from https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/testicular.pdf
- NCCN. (2023u, November 1, 2022). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Thyroid Carcinoma Version 3.2023 November 1, 2022. Retrieved November 5, 2022 from https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician gls/pdf/thyroid.pdf
- NCCN. (2023x). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology in Anal Carcinoma. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/anal_blocks.pdf
- NCCN. (2023y). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology in Hepatocellular Carcinoma
- NCCN. (2023z). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology in Pediatric Central Nervous System Cancers Version 2.2023. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/ped_cns.pdf
- NCCN. (2023aa). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology in Soft Tissue Sarcom Version 2.2023
- NCI. (n.d.). MSI-H cancer. https://www.cancer.gov/publications/dictionaries/cancer-terms/def/msi-h-cancer
- Nojadeh, J. N., Behrouz Sharif, S., & Sakhinia, E. (2018). Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *EXCLI J, 17*, 159-168. https://doi.org/10.17179/excli2017-948

- Overman, M. J., McDermott, R., Leach, J. L., Lonardi, S., Lenz, H. J., Morse, M. A., . . . Andre, T. (2017). Nivolumab in patients with metastatic DNA mismatch repair-deficient or microsatellite instability-high colorectal cancer (CheckMate 142): an open-label, multicentre, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol*, 18(9), 1182-1191. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(17)30422-9
- Qiagen. (2023). QIAseq Tumor Mutational Burden Panels. Retrieved from https://www.qiagen.com/us/products/next-generation-sequencing/dna-sequencing/targeted-dna-panels/
- Quy, P. N., Kanai, M., Fukuyama, K., Kou, T., Kondo, T., Yamamoto, Y., . . . Muto, M. (2019). Association Between Preanalytical Factors and Tumor Mutational Burden Estimated by Next-Generation Sequencing-Based Multiplex Gene Panel Assay. *Oncologist*, 24(12), e1401-e1408. https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.2018-0587
- Ready, N., Hellmann, M. D., Awad, M. M., Otterson, G. A., Gutierrez, M., Gainor, J. F., . . . Ramalingam, S. S. (2019). First-Line Nivolumab Plus Ipilimumab in Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer (CheckMate 568): Outcomes by Programmed Death Ligand 1 and Tumor Mutational Burden as Biomarkers. *J Clin Oncol*, *37*(12), 992-1000. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.18.01042
- Ritterhouse, L. L. (2019). Tumor mutational burden. *Cancer Cytopathol*, 127(12), 735-736. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncy.22174
- Rizvi, N. A., Hellmann, M. D., Snyder, A., Kvistborg, P., Makarov, V., Havel, J. J., . . . Chan, T. A. (2015). Cancer immunology. Mutational landscape determines sensitivity to PD-1 blockade in non-small cell lung cancer. *Science*, 348(6230), 124-128. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa1348
- Samstein, R. M., Lee, C. H., Shoushtari, A. N., Hellmann, M. D., Shen, R., Janjigian, Y. Y., . . . Morris, L. G. T. (2019). Tumor mutational load predicts survival after immunotherapy across multiple cancer types. *Nat Genet*, *51*(2), 202-206. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0312-8
- Si, H., Kuziora, M., Quinn, K. J., Helman, E., Ye, J., Liu, F., . . . Raja, R. (2021). A Blood-based Assay for Assessment of Tumor Mutational Burden in First-line Metastatic NSCLC Treatment: Results from the MYSTIC Study. *Clin Cancer Res*, 27(6), 1631-1640. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-20-3771
- Singal, G., Miller, P. G., Agarwala, V., Li, G., Kaushik, G., Backenroth, D., . . . Miller, V. A. (2019). Association of Patient Characteristics and Tumor Genomics With Clinical Outcomes Among Patients With Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Using a Clinicogenomic Database. *JAMA*, 321(14), 1391-1399. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2019.3241
- Snyder, A., Makarov, V., Merghoub, T., Yuan, J., Zaretsky, J. M., Desrichard, A., . . . Chan, T. A. (2014). Genetic basis for clinical response to CTLA-4 blockade in melanoma. *N Engl J Med*, *371*(23), 2189-2199. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1406498
- Stahler, A., Stintzing, S., von Einem, J. C., Westphalen, C. B., Heinrich, K., Kramer, N., . . . Heinemann, V. (2020). Single-nucleotide variants, tumour mutational burden and microsatellite instability in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer: Next-generation sequencing results of the FIRE-3 trial. *Eur J Cancer*, 137, 250-259. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2020.07.003
- Van Allen, E. M., Miao, D., Schilling, B., Shukla, S. A., Blank, C., Zimmer, L., . . . Garraway, L. A. (2015). Genomic correlates of response to CTLA-4 blockade in metastatic melanoma. *Science*, 350(6257), 207-211. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad0095
- Wei, B., Kang, J., Kibukawa, M., Arreaza, G., Maguire, M., Chen, L., Qiu, P., Lang, L., Aurora-Garg, D., Cristescu, R., & Levitan, D. (2022). Evaluation of the TruSight Oncology 500 Assay for Routine Clinical Testing of Tumor Mutational Burden and Clinical Utility for Predicting Response to Pembrolizumab. *J Mol Diagn*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoldx.2022.01.008
- Willis, C., Fiander, M., Tran, D., Korytowsky, B., Thomas, J. M., Calderon, F., . . . Stenehjem, D. D. (2019). Tumor mutational burden in lung cancer: a systematic literature review. *Oncotarget*, 10(61), 6604-6622. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.27287
- Willis, J., Lefterova, M. I., Artyomenko, A., Kasi, P. M., Nakamura, Y., Mody, K., . . . Odegaard, J. I. (2019). Validation of Microsatellite Instability Detection Using a Comprehensive Plasma-Based Genotyping Panel. *Clinical Cancer Research*. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-1324
- Woodhouse, R., Li, M., Hughes, J., Delfosse, D., Skoletsky, J., Ma, P., . . . Dennis, L. (2020). Clinical and analytical validation of FoundationOne Liquid CDx, a novel 324-Gene cfDNA-based

- comprehensive genomic profiling assay for cancers of solid tumor origin. *PLoS One*, 15(9), e0237802. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237802
- Wu, H. X., Wang, Z. X., Zhao, Q., Wang, F., & Xu, R. H. (2019). Designing gene panels for tumor mutational burden estimation: the need to shift from 'correlation' to 'accuracy'. *J Immunother Cancer*, 7(1), 206. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40425-019-0681-2
- Yarchoan, M., Albacker, L. A., Hopkins, A. C., Montesion, M., Murugesan, K., Vithayathil, T. T., . . . Jaffee, E. M. (2019). PD-L1 expression and tumor mutational burden are independent biomarkers in most cancers. *JCI Insight*, 4(6). https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.126908
- Yarchoan, M., Hopkins, A., & Jaffee, E. M. (2017). Tumor Mutational Burden and Response Rate to PD-1 Inhibition. *N Engl J Med*, *377*(25), 2500-2501. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc1713444
- Zhao, P., Li, L., Jiang, X., & Li, Q. (2019). Mismatch repair deficiency/microsatellite instability-high as a predictor for anti-PD-1/PD-L1 immunotherapy efficacy. *J Hematol Oncol*, 12(1), 54. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-019-0738-1

Medical Director review 1/2023

Medical Director review 7/2023

Medical Director review 1/2024

Policy Implementation/Update Information

- 4/20/22 New policy developed. BCBSNC will provide coverage for 1) tumor mutational (TMB) testing (Note 1) for solid tumors (Note 3) done on tissue or liquid biopsy (Note 2) is considered medically necessary for individuals being considered for Pembrolizumab (Keytruda) therapy and 2) microsatellite instability (MSI) testing (Note 3) by tissue or liquid biopsy (Note 2) for all solid tumors is considered medically necessary for individuals being considered for Pembrolizumab (Keytruda). (lpr)
- 9/30/22 Added CPT 0334U to Billing/Coding section. (lpr)
- 2/7/23 Reviewed by Avalon Q4 2022 CAB. Updated "when covered" section for clarity. Removed Note 2 and consolidated into coverage criteria. Table of solid tumors updated to match NCCN guidelines. Added College of American Pathologists table (CAP). Updated policy guidelines and references. Added PLA code 0334U to Billing/Coding section; removed PLA 0050U. Removed related policies section. Medical Director review 1/2023. (lpr)
- 3/31/23 Added PLA code 0379U to Billing/Coding section. (lpr)
- 8/15/23 Reviewed by Avalon Q2 2023 CAB. Medical Director review 7/2023. Added related policies under description section. Updated policy guidelines and references. Updated table of solid tumors in policy guidelines section to match NCCN guidelines. Added PLA code 0391U to Billing/Coding section. (lpr)
- 9/29/23 Added PLA code 0409U to Billing/Coding section for 10/1/23 code update. (lpr)
- 12/29/23 Added PLA code 0428U and CPT codes 81457, 81458, 81459, 81463, 81464 to Billing/Coding section for 1/1/2024 code update. (lpr)
- 2/21/24 Reviewed by Avalon Q4 2023 CAB. Medical Director review 1/2024. Updated policy guidelines and references. Table of solid tumors updated to match NCCN guideline updates. (lpr)

Medical policy is not an authorization, certification, explanation of benefits or a contract. Benefits and eligibility are determined before medical guidelines and payment guidelines are applied. Benefits are determined by the group contract and subscriber certificate that is in effect at the time services are rendered. This document is solely provided for informational purposes only and is based on research of current medical literature and review of common medical practices in the treatment and diagnosis of disease. Medical practices and knowledge are constantly changing and BCBSNC reserves the right to review and revise its medical policies periodically.